

Fredrick Töben Reviews:

Belzec, Sobibor, Treblinka
Holocaust Denial and Operation Reinhard
A Critique of the Falsehoods of Mattogno, Graf and Kues

A Holocaust Controversies White Paper, First Edition December 2011

<http://holocaustcontroversies.blogspot.com>

**Jonathan Harrison, Roberto Muehlenkamp, Jason Myers,
Sergey Romanov, Nicholas Terry**

Enquires can be directed to questions.hc@gmail.com

PROLOGUE to Review

Think on these things from:

Bertrand Russell: *The Impact of Science on Society*, 1952

Google Books - <http://books.google.com.au/books>

"For some reason which I have failed to understand, many people like the system [scientific totalitarianism] when it is Russian but disliked the very same system when it was German. I am compelled to think that this is due to the power of labels; these people like whatever is labelled 'Left' without examining whether the label has any justification."

[FT comments: The former was internationalist and run by a Talmudic thought pattern that opposed nature, while the latter was nationalist and run by a Germanic thought pattern that worked with nature.]

"The completeness of the resulting control over opinion depends in various ways upon scientific technique. Where all children go to school, and all schools are controlled by the government, the authorities can close the minds of the young to everything contrary to official orthodoxy. Printing is impossible without paper, and all paper belongs to the State. Broadcasting and the cinema are equally public monopolies."

"All this is not imaginary, it is daily and hourly reality. Nor, given oligarchy, is there the slightest reason to expect anything else."

"There are three ways of securing a society that shall be stable as regards population. The first is that of birth control, the second is that of infanticide or really destructive wars, and the third that of general misery except for a powerful minority. All these methods have been practised: the first, for example, by the Australian aborigines; the second by Aztecs, the Spartans and the rulers of Plato's Republic; the third in the world as some Western internationalists hope to make it and in Soviet Russia."

"Gradually, by selective breeding, the congenital differences between rulers and ruled will increase until they become almost different species. A revolt of the plebs would become as unthinkable as an organized insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton. (The Aztecs kept a domesticated alien tribe for the purposes of cannibalism. Their regime was totalitarian)" - p63 [10]

"Diet, injections, and injunctions will combine, from a very early age, to produce the sort of character and the sort of beliefs that the authorities consider desirable, and any serious criticism of the powers that be will become psychologically impossible. Even if all are miserable, all will believe themselves happy, because the government will tell them that they are so."

1. By way of an Introduction - some background aspects of my world view that shape my moral and intellectual value-system, and reveal my blind spots.

Whenever the ideal of truth as a justification of a world view is deemed to be important, all too often obsessive credentialism makes it necessary to paint a validation picture of offered commentary that ought to be self-evident – as in my response to this 570-page work of minute detail purportedly proving the 'Holocaust-Shoah' to be an historical fact.

My world view rests on the Kantian Categorical Imperative – Kategorische Imperativ – and its reflective formulation in the 1788 written work: *Critique of Practical Reason*.

*

Zwei Dinge erfüllen das Gemüt mit immer neuer zunehmender Bewunderung und Ehrfurcht je öfter und anhaltender sich das Nachdenken damit beschäftigt: Der gestirnte Himmel über mir und das moralische Gesetz in mir.

Two things fill the mind with ever new and increasing admiration and awe, the more often and persistent it thinks about them: the starry heaven above me and the moral law within me.

*

The first signifies scientific enquiry and the second personal morality. Then, for the record, let me add three positive comments made in 2002 about my person:

Fredrick Töben is a man of considerable courage. Whatever one thinks of his views, one can respect a dissident who sticks to his extremely unpopular opinions just because he believes in them – Israel Shamir, Jaffa-Tel Aviv, Palestine.

The advantage of communist censorship was its transparent ugliness and its open lunacy. The disadvantage of the so-called Western liberal democracy is its feigned freedom, and its 'cool' lunacy. Communism used to kill the body but it spared the soul. The 'liberal democracy' kills the soul while leaving the body of a zombie. The revisionist Fredrick Töben – or we might better call him a non-conformist or a latter-day dissident – is well aware of the mendacity of the democratic discourse and delirious myths which shape our modern world: both are enforced by criminal codes all over the glorious West. He rejects them with precision and all due incision. There is no flight for Töben and other free thinkers like him – only a long fight. As Nietzsche used to say, 'a free man is a fighter'. – Dr Tomislav Sunic Zagreb, Croatia.

To opine on aspects of Jewish propaganda is courageous; to go public with such honest opinions is suicidal. As such, I believe Fredrick Töben has chronic literary suicidal tendencies. – Mohammed Hegazi, Melbourne, Australia.

Of course, you can Google my name and find numerous contrary comments about my person, something that does not bother me in the slightest, and I shall certainly not employ someone who charges \$1000,- a month to manipulate Google statistics so that only favourable comments appear in the search engine. The former Federal Court of Australia judge Marcus Einfeld is allegedly employing such a person.

This fiddling of statistical information is an important point because the Internet is rife with manipulated data that does not accord with the truth of a matter. There are liars, bloody liars and statisticians. Hence the quest for popularity, personal or subject through Internet statistics, produces nothing but false consciousness, delusions and fantasies, and outright fiction that individuals attempt to pass off as facts grounded in the physical world.

The Internet social media craze has become a mythic maker especially when youngsters celebrate their thousand + and whatever number friend on Twitter or Facebook. Such friendships are not put

to the test over time, especially when the going-gets-tough and political correct movements either develop or implode.

Note how the Global Warming/Climate Change debate is fraught with believers who fiddle the books because they wish to impose a global/universal taxation system on all citizens, thereby guaranteeing that institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF, who are rumoured to be the guardians of the collected carbon tax, are then able to ensure the United Nations has the funds available to continue its work of global outreach – of doing good and helping the poor of this world to improve their exploited and enslaved lives.

That YouTube statistics are manipulated is a fact, and I have reports from individuals who tracked the hits counter on contentious video clips, then waited for YouTube and Google officials to skew such counters to either a popular or 'unnoticed' level. This is especially so in matters Holocaust-Shoah where any postings are subjected to censorship.

Sometimes, though, even in the openly controlled television media items slip through the security net. In Australia this regularly happens during the December-January summer holiday period when relief editors man the media controls.

Remember Herman Rosenblat, the Holocaust survivor who for 10 years sold his concentration camp survival story to popular US television programs as a physical fact?

On 18 February 2009 ABC's Good Morning America asked Rosenblatt why he lied about that apple his future wife daily threw to him over the fence into the concentration camp:

*

Rosa Rosenblat: I used to come by every day, bring the apple I had in my jacket and piece of bread, and say: 'I'll see you tomorrow'.

GMA: Why did you do it? Why did you tell such a big lie to so many people for so long?

Rosenblat: It was no lie. It was my imagination, and in my imagination, in my mind, I believed it. Even now I believe it that she was there and that she threw the apple to me.

GMA: How can you say it wasn't a lie, it wasn't true and you know it's not true?

Rosenblat: Yes, it's not true but in my imagination it was true....

GMA: Herman and Rosa Rosenblat told their false story publicly for more than a decade but it all fell apart about six weeks ago after Holocaust scholars proved that it was physically impossible for prisoners to approach the fence at the concentration camp where Herman Rosenblat was kept and that Roma's family was two hundred miles away at the time.

Why did your wife agree to go along with this. Did she ever express any reservations?

Rosenblat: Because she loves me.

GMA: Why's she not here today?

Rosenblat: Because I don't want her to be here today. It's too much – too much going on.

GMA: Was it difficult for your wife to have go out very publicly and tell a story that she knew wasn't true?

Rosenblat: It was, it was, but she loves me so much that if she thinks that's good for me she'll go along with it.

GMA: Rosenblat is remarkably unrepentant about his years of lying.

Rosenblat: I pronounce my love for you, forever. ...

*

It is such nonsense that enabled me to formulate a title for the paper I delivered at the 2006 Teheran Holocaust Conference: '**The Holocaust has no reality in space and time, only in memory**'.

But of course there is much more to this fiction that accuses Germans of a most heinous crime – because verbal representations are clearly found in Old Testament writings where it is stated the Hebrew God directs the slaughter of women and children, for example, of which since 1945 Jews and their proxies accuse Germans of having perpetrated on world Jewry. The Holocaust-Shoah's mythical mindset clearly has Old Testament precedents built into it, as well as homicidal thought patterns that are clearly evident in detailed Talmudic writings, which make up the ethical standards and moral guide of most Orthodox Jews.

When American presidents unashamedly lie about their personal lives and promote matters Holocaust-Shoah, or embrace the 2003 Weapons of Mass Destruction - WMD lie, or worse still, vigorously condones the 9:11 lies, and then the Rosenblat lies are merely another example of a mindset that within our Western democracies even academics and lawmakers/politicians unashamedly embraced – and continue to embrace.

The truth of a matter has become irrelevant – and this is the core of what is causing the decline of the West and the adoption of Holocaust-Shoah as a substitute religion beneficial, among others, to Jews and Israel at the expense of Germans/nationalists and Muslims/Palestinians/Iranians: the adoption of the Talmudic Marxist atheistic death dialectic combined with Pharisaic usury principles. For this kind of dialectic win-lose mindset truth is deemed to be a mere social construct – truth is what you want it to be – rather than truth being the foundation, the bedrock of our civilisation.

Just remember, whenever engineers begin to fiddle the books with their calculations and measurements, in effect creating a physical structure built on lies, then the structure they build will collapse. So, too, it is with any mental structure that a lying mindset constructs, for example, the Marxist ideology or the Holocaust-Shoah myth sold as a physical fact but protected legally from a thorough examination. Germans are accused of having committed atrocious crimes yet they are not permitted to mount a defence against such allegations because such a defence would hurt the feelings of those who believe in the Holocaust-Shoah myths. The Rosenblat Phenomenon has been encouraged to blossom in so-called western democracies and Germans lack the moral and intellectual courage to oppose it because of basic creature comforts. Hence the few individuals who embrace Revisionism know full well that it will mean in most instances a social and professional death sentence.

But fortunately such nihilistic mindset that propagates such Holocaust-Shoah lies also contains its own self-destructive internal logic, as the Rosenblat example exemplifies. Revisionists didn't even have to expose Rosenblat's lies. There are numerous such cases on record where Revisionists merely smiled at such fraudsters riding on the Holocaust-Shoah myth gravytrain. Hence, where lies are told personal relationships break down and a society begins to rot, and it is only for some time that legal constraints hold together the rotting social fabric. History teaches us how under the weight of official lies the moral, social and legal duties with which most citizens are imbued are crushed. Hence in order to deflect from the inevitable social disintegration the global trend is to crass consumer hedonism, which however directly results in producing a cynical-nihilistic world view where the only freedom citizens enjoy is the freedom to go shopping – never mind if you are one of the millions unemployed and have no money to spend!

As Dr Robert Faurisson reminded us when the 2003 WMD was exposed as a lie and used as a pretext for the US to invade Iraq, the next global lie of recent times to break down will be the '9:11 was done by a bunch of Muslim terrorists' lie. Anyone with some understanding of fires and explosives knows that buildings don't collapse the way we have been told the World Trade Centre Twin Towers were brought down – not by planes but by controlled demolition; and we need not even mention how Building 7 collapsed in the absence of any planes hitting it because Larry Silverstein admitted that he decided to 'pull it'.

Hence, the official conspiracy theory, though solidified through two huge insurance payouts, is just that – a lie told to justify Anglo-American-Zionist global war mongering designed to save a crumbling global economic power structure.



On 6 October 2011 Töben comments on presstv.com - new world order 'breaking down'
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yR0ur6gMIaQ>

... and note Ron Paul's political comments at
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d1t4O9CcZQ0>.

This now global lie that postulated 'terrorism' as an evil driving force needed to be fabricated to fill the ideological vacuum left after the Soviet Union and its dependencies started to disintegrate at the end of 1989 and into the 1990s, thereby securing the global supremacy of the Anglo-American-Zionist predatory capitalistic world view for some decades to come.

The most comprehensively developed recent historical lie – the Holocaust-Shoah lie, which states that during World War Two Germans systematically exterminated European Jewry in homicidal gas chambers – still stands because it is set in legal concrete and it serves well those individuals who see Germans as a threat to their economic existence. However, since its formal legal protection began during the late 1970s and early 1980s massive cracks have appeared within its structure, and that is thanks to individuals such as Arthur Butz, Robert Faurisson, Germar Rudolf, Fred Leuchter, Willis Carto, Ernst Zündel, Michael Hoffman, among others. Of course, most recently, the notable Holocaust-Shoah scholars Carlo Mattogno, Jürgen Graf and Thomas Kuess, are now under attack in the latest works by Holocaust believers.

On 20 April 1988 *The Leuchter Report*, as part of the Ernst Zündel Toronto, Canada, Holocaust trial, rocked the complacent Holocaust-Shoah believers with the scientific evidence that Zyklon B gas was not found in the alleged homicidal gas chambers, in particular, at Krema II Auschwitz-Birkenau. Two years later the world was informed that The 20 plaques carrying the 4 million deaths number were removed and subsequently replaced with plaques claiming about 1.5 million were murdered. Note that the six million figure was not reduced.

Poland lowers Auschwitz toll

30 The Toronto Sun, Wednesday July 18, 1990

WARSAW (UPI) — A government commission has lowered the number of people believed to have perished at Auschwitz during World War II.

It drops the number from four million to 1.5 million and conceded the overwhelming majority were Jews.

The revision doesn't change the accepted historical figure of six million Jews killed by the Nazis.

The decision to revise the official Auschwitz number was a rejection of figures released after the war by the Soviets, who still have the records kept by the Nazis.

It is also in line with figures used by historians in West Germany, the U.S. and Israel who have documented the number of victims of the Holocaust.

Poland's former communist regime relied on the four-million figure in a bid to make Auschwitz a symbol of Nazi oppression of all peoples, not just the Jews.

Then we have to bear in mind that according to *The World Almanac and Book of Facts* in 1934 after the National Socialists took power in Germany there were 15, 630,000 Jews in the world.

After the war, in 1945, the reference book lists 58,000+ more Jews. Think about it and consider that this is a Jewish source.

The whole numbers nonsense is indicative of the Holocaust-Shoah story's basic narrative: It adjusts to Revisionists exposing the believers' outright lies by fabricating new figures.

Jonathan Harrison, Roberto Muehlenkamp, Jason Myers, Sergey Romanov and Nicholas Terry have produced a 'committee book' that in minute detail attempts to persuade the disbeliever that the Holocaust-Shoah indeed has a reality in space and time and exists not only in the memory of the survivors who have all too often been proven to be fabricators, if not outright liars as Rosenblat proved to be.

Then there is the basic factual stumbling block about Holocaust-Shoah authenticity. It is a recorded fact that in the so-called Western free-and-democratic countries to doubt and question an Holocaust eye-witness's story is sacrilege – an antisemitic act! The public media reaction to the Islamic Republic of Iran holding a Holocaust conference in Teheran in December 2006 was nothing but spectacularly instructive on how an open debate on the topic is feared, so much so that the western media missed the subtlety of the conference's intentions. It offered 'a review of the Holocaust' and not a questioning of the Holocaust-Shoah – but even that was enough to have the Iranian President, Dr Mahmoud Ahmadinejad labelled a 'Holocaust denier'.

Laws in Germany and other European countries send out strong messages to anyone who dares question the Holocaust-Shoah orthodoxy. They criminalise anyone who can be found to be 'defaming the memory of the dead' or to be doubting the Holocaust-Shoah's three pillars on which the narrative rests: six million Jews died; systematic state extermination; homicidal gas chambers as the murder weapon.

Such laws aim to protect especially so-called Jews, specifically Holocaust victims, from having to justify their personal narrative and their continuous compensation claims. There are even demands afoot to have first and second, if not third generation 'Holocaust survivors' compensated! Academics have been attacked by university committees that abhor any deviation from the official dogmatic version of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative. During 1983 the University of Göttingen stripped Judge Wilhelm Stäglich of his doctorate in law because he had written *The Auschwitz Myth*, which incorporated his memory of time spent as a soldier at Auschwitz Concentration Camp. New Zealand academic, Dr Stuart Joel Hayward, recanted and was subsequently awarded with an academic post in England but not before also suffering a mental breakdown on account of having his life and that of his wife and children threatened. These are just two examples of academics processed legally because they refused to believe unquestioningly matters Holocaust-Shoah outside of the designated parameters where the three pillars stand as sentinels guarding the official Holocaust-Shoah narrative.

When anyone uses the nonsense concept 'antisemite' it reminds me of the fact that when the Bolsheviks established the Soviet Union one of the first concepts that was criminalized was 'antisemitism'. After all, to most Russians at the time it was obvious that this so-called 'revolution' was Jew-controlled. If a person was accused of being an antisemite, then this attracted the death sentence. If a person was accused of being a Revisionist, it attracted a life-time GuLag banishment.

The use of the concept 'antisemite' to further an argument's veracity is indicative of having lost the plot and unable, objectively, to meet an opponent's argument. The use of the term in the text before me indicates the writers fail the objectivity test because by using the term they do two things:

1. This is a nonsense concept because it is a language designation. Thus to label anyone an 'antisemite' also means being against the Arabic-speaking peoples whose language is a Semitic

language, as is Hebrew. Thus the usual response to being labelled an 'antisemite' attracts the correct response: 'I am not against the Arabic and Hebrew-speaking peoples';

2. The claim that Jews are racially Semites, and hence anyone opposing Jews, for whatever reason, is an 'antisemite', has been legally enshrined in Western liberal democracies through racial discrimination laws. Interestingly, if Arabic-speaking Muslims make use of these racial discrimination laws their matter usually does not proceed to judgment.

The latest criminal case in Australia involving racial discrimination laws is Brendon O'Connell in Western Australia who had an altercation with a young Jew at a pro-Palestine protest meeting in Perth where also a number of individuals protested against Israeli fruit imports to Australia. On account of this verbal altercation and the posting of same on YouTube, O'Connell was convicted and sentenced to three years jail – a massive injustice.

Recently, and like Jack van Tongeren before him, O'Connell was offered banishment from Western Australia for early release, thereby highlighting how deeply entrenched in Talmudic legalese Western Australia has become where Australians are sent into internal exile, something reminiscent of Talmudic-Marxist practices in Soviet Russia.

Also remember in this context that a day after his birthday, on 21 April 1933, Adolf Hitler and the National Socialists enacted a law that banned kosher slaughter, a major pillar of the Jewish religion. Germans had had enough of such a mindset that so cruelly treated animals.

Note that this emerging liberated German mindset is supposed to have committed the most horrendous crimes that make up the Holocaust-Shoah narrative. Germans opposed cruelty to animals and were in favour of living with nature as opposed to elevating themselves above nature. Thus such cruel pillars of the Jewish religion had to be outlawed – kosher slaughter, circumcision and other unnatural matters listed in Talmud.

The Jew fury knew no bounds and succeeded in smearing Germans with the Holocaust-Shoah lies, something that admirably suited the Anglo-American-Zionist financial internationalists. This mindset felt threatened by what was emerging out of the ruins of the Weimar Republic and the depression, something Carl Jung claimed at the time seemed to be like the emergence of a new religion. It is thus understandable that Talmudists felt threatened and so had no alternative but to derail a political liberation movement, which attempted to enshrine the concept 'autarky' within the German body politics. Its defeat then gave rise to feverish minds, such as the authors of this latest Holocaust-Shoah believer book, which to this day attempt to continue the enslavement and the re-education of the Germanic mindset that quests for freedom, among other impulses, from Talmudic influences.

I am reminded of Professor Arthur Butz's 12-point analysis of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative:

"I wrote many years ago, in the Foreword to my book [The Hoax of the Twentieth Century](#), that my historical investigations were motivated by my "Noting the obvious ways in which this legend is exploited in contemporary politics, notably in connection with the completely illogical support that the U.S. extends to Israel". That political judgment of mine didn't make me a revisionist; the investigations that were thereby motivated made me a revisionist. I found rubbish."

To this I add my personal validation – self-serving, if you wish – of my own work begun in 1994: after a 17-year legal battle involving Australia's Jews that saw me spend time in German, English and Australian prisons. In July 2011 I defeated their final act of censorship that aimed to stop me from functioning – bankruptcy.

I am in the privileged position to claim Jews tried to harm me, conspired against me. Let me repeat: Jews set out to cause me harm, to destroy my livelihood because I question their imposed Holocaust-Shoah narrative. I am fortunate in that I can even state that I survived this Jew conspiracy by receiving help from the most unlikely quarters of society, thereby defeating Jew-initiated legal action specifically designed to take matters Holocaust-Shoah out of public discourse.

And still I hold to my maxim: 'Don't blame the Jews; blame those that bend to their pressure'. After all, in our brief earthly existence the 'battle of the wills' is the most natural phenomenon – and as a minority group of individuals Jews have always understood how to manipulate the majority as their proxy servers.

Hence, I refuse to fall into the mindset that thrives on pulling out the victim card, which enables Jews and their proxies to counter by using the usual shut-up words, those discussion stoppers Revisionists only know too well: 'hater', 'Holocaust denier', 'anti-Semite', 'racist', 'Nazi', 'xenophobe', 'terrorist'.

In this context, of interest is that after defeating this bankruptcy action, and all round paying out \$75,000, my four-year YouTube account was deleted. The reason given was that I offended community standard by uploading a clip dealing with the Bolshevik Holocaust. I had downloaded a clip from YouTube and re-named it: '**Talmudic inspired political action leads to Holocaust in Communist countries.**'

Here is the item I sent through my email list and posted on my website www.toben.biz

From: YouTube Service [mailto:service@youtube.com]

Sent: None

To: toben@toben.biz

Subject: YouTube Video Notification

-YouTube | Broadcast Yourself™

Regarding your account: **FredrickToben**

The YouTube Community has flagged one or more of your videos as inappropriate. Once a video is flagged, it is reviewed by the YouTube Team against our Community Guidelines. Upon review, we have determined that the following video(s) contain content in violation of these guidelines, and have been disabled:

- **Talmudic inspired political action leads to Holocaust in Communist countries - (FredrickToben)**

Because this is the third violation of the Community Guidelines in six months and you have received previous warnings, your account has now been terminated. Please be aware that you are prohibited from accessing, possessing or creating any other YouTube accounts.

For more information on YouTube's Community Guidelines and how they are enforced, please visit the [Help Centre](#).

Sincerely,

The YouTube Team

Copyright © 2011 YouTube, LLC

From: Fredrick Toben <toben@toben.biz>

Date: Sat, Aug 27, 2011 at 3:28 AM

Subject: FW: YouTube Video Notification - end of the line for Toben's fiddle with You Tube

To: Michael <reporternotebook@gmail.com>

Cc: info@adelaideinstitute.org

It's finally happened... ... note the offending topic – we live in interesting times – and I can now relax and stop exciting those who fear the truth – the immature, the liars and slaves who have no home within their own minds because their world view is built on a lie – hence their frenzied and irrational feverish mind going in overdrive in order to control free thinkers by any means.

I sense Anthony Lawson may be the next because he is getting too close to the truth in matters 9/11 and other such insider jobs that benefit the racist, Zionist state of Israel, among others.

Did you notice the matter about that terrible Nigerian bombing and how this is aimed to divide Nigeria into southern Christian and northern Muslim states? And guess what political power has become active in resource-rich Nigeria?

*** Nigeria: Energy Sector - Israeli, Austrian Investors Explore Opportunities *
Investors and representatives of governments of Israel and Austria recently gathered in Lagos to explore opportunities in Nigeria's energy and agricultural sector, at the instance of the International Strategic Alliance Committee (ISAC), a group of Nigerian professionals in Diaspora. <http://allafrica.com/stories/201108241227.htm>**

Then do some research and find out when Israel and Nigeria signed a security pact – I sense another Libya coming on!

Cheers

Fredrick Töben

One email response on this matter sent to me indicates there are dissenters everywhere who question official conspiracy theories:

From: Brian Ezrin brian.ezrin@hotmail.com

Sent: Sunday, 28 August 2011 10:32 AM

To: Steve Campbell

Cc: toben@toben.biz

Subject: RE: YouTube Video Notification - end of the line for Toben's fiddle with You Tube

Importance: High

**As a Jew with a sense of honour and a thirst for the truth, I hold Dr. Fredrick Toben in the highest respect and admiration, and I view the actions of YouTube as a tragic consequence of Dr. Toben's commendable efforts! I hope he resurfaces, Steve!!
Brian**

Of course, when someone I know who possesses moral and intellectual integrity I join in defending such individuals, as I did when Gordon Duff of *Veterans Today* attempted to character assassinate Anthony Lawson – lawson911@gmail.com. In August 2011 I wrote:

I sense Anthony Lawson is a man of integrity, a free thinker who has stumbled on to deceptive structures that remind me so much of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative: the individuals who believe in the official conspiracy called the Holocaust-Shoah – or 9/11, 7/7, Norway, etc - are either ignorant of the physical facts, lying, or – what is worse – both.

Then, for the record, let me state that three individuals with whom I had worked for some time and who all had Jewish mothers, began to attack my moral and intellectual integrity. One of these was furious about my settling the court costs forming the basis of the bankruptcy proceedings. 'You're giving the Jews money', he claimed. 'It would have been better to declare yourself bankrupt!' Little did he realize that I would have lost my home of 25 years and be inconvenienced in so many different ways that would have not made it possible for me to write this response.

Finally, it is on the public court record that Prime Ugly Jew, Steven Lewis, who in 2010 crowed at a political gathering that he was about to bankrupt me, didn't want the money I offered him in settlement but instead wanted me to be declared a bankrupt. Those who on 21 June 2011 saw him standing at the bar table with me in the Sydney Federal Magistrates Court before Registrar Hedge noticed that she literally had to order him out of the courtroom so as to settle with me. Lewis stood there frozen, refusing to move out because he wanted the Registrar to activate his Creditors Petition, which was subsequently struck out and dismissed by her on account of the debt having been settled.

With this above background information to hand I can now review my first electronic book using the timeless concepts of **FORM** and **CONTENT**.

2. FORM

On 31 December 2011 I received in an email text and attachment containing this 570-page book with the following message:

From: HC Inquiries questions.hc@googlemail.com
Sent: Saturday, 31 December 2011 4:04 AM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: Critique of Mattogno, Graf, and Kues by Holocaust Controversies
From: **HC Inquiries** <questions.hc@googlemail.com>
Date: Fri, Dec 30, 2011 at 11:11 AM
Subject: Critique of Mattogno, Graf, and Kues by Holocaust Controversies
To: Carlo Mattogno
Cc: Thomas Kues, Jürgen Graf

To the attention of Jürgen Graf, Carlo Mattogno, and Thomas Kues:

Please see the attached critique of your works concerning Aktion Reinhard. It has also been made available on [Google Documents](#), [RapidShare](#), and [Wayback Machine](#).

Over one year ago, Mr. Graf challenged the Holocaust Controversies blog to provide a "detailed and comprehensive critique" of one of a number of books he offered. By that time we had already decided not to limit ourselves to one Revisionist work, but the three works on the Reinhard camps ([Treblinka](#), [Belzec](#), and [Sobibor](#), which was earlier discussed in the Akte Sobibor brochure). These three works repeat and rely upon one another to such an extent that it would be silly to look at one in isolation. More information on our motivations can be found in the introduction to the work.

Our formal critique also provides a test for those Revisionists who claim to be interested in 'open debate'. We encourage those Revisionists who control their own public website to announce the existence of the critique as well as provide a direct link to its contents. We have also established conditions for a response in our conclusion.

Since the critique originally began to appear on the HC blog on Christmas Eve, various responses have arisen from Revisionists on internet forums. When one HC supporter posted web links and a brief introduction to the critique on the CODOH Revisionist Forum and invited critical Revisionist comments on the work (open debate, right?) his post was quickly deleted by the powers-that-be. This was the second time that reference to the critique was censored on the CODOH forum. Other Revisionists have simply engaged in base invective, without offering any substantial criticisms of our work. One fellow-traveller even decided to distract attention by faking an email purportedly from us. We trust that you will join us in unreservedly condemning such puerile antics.

Happy Holidays,

HC Team

P.S. This letter has been sent to other Revisionists as well as professional scholars who we feel would be interested in its content.

The book begins with a dedication to Harry Mazal, and has an extensive **Table of Contents** wherein all chapter headings and sub-headings are listed but not numbered. So as to give the reader an overview of the book I have reproduced the Table of Contents, adding the Chapter numbers.

The **Introduction** is followed by eight chapters, the headings of which indicate that the topic under discussion in the book will be comprehensively dealt with:

- 1. The Hoax That Dare Not Speak Its Name**
- 2. Nazi Policy**
- 3. Aktion Reinhard and the Holocaust in Poland**
- 4. So Where Did They Go? "Resettlement" to the East**
- 5. Gas Chambers at the Aktion Reinhard Camps**

6. Death Camp Witnesses
7. Mass Graves
8. Burning of the Corpses

The contents of this 11-page **Conclusion** will be referred to later.

A one-and-a-half page **Afterword: A Special Note by Jason Myers** is appended to the body of the book. It signifies that there is no money to be made if you decide to become a Revisionist scholar and that it is pure idealism – the search for truth – that keeps Revisionists going, but more on this later.

The impressively extensive and comprehensive **Bibliography** section is divided into **Unpublished Sources** and **Published Sources**, and only a closer look reveals further divisions.

A. Unpublished Sources lists court cases and transcripts from countries that after the end of World War Two stood in judgment of the Axis Powers: Germany – Poland – Russian Federation – Belarus – Ukraine- Latvia – Czech Republic – Netherlands – France – United States – United Kingdom – Nuremberg Documents – Trial of Adolf Eichmann, Documents.

B. Published Sources further divides into:

1. Published Primary Sources, which lists 85 books, for example: *Augenzeugenbericht zu den Massenvergasungen*, VfZ 2, 1953, pp.177-194; *Eine Schuld, die nicht erlischt. Dokumente über deutsche Kriegsverbrechen in der Sowjetunion*. Cologne: Paul Rugenstein Verlag, 1987; Klarsfeld, Serge, *Vichy – Auschwitz. Die Zusammenarbeit der deutschen und französischen Behörden bei der ‚Endlösung der Judenfrage‘ in Frankreich*. Nördlingen, 1989; Kulka, Otto Dov and Eberhard Jäckel (eds), *Die Juden in den geheimen NS-Stimmungsberichten 1933–1945*. Düsseldorf, 2004; Manoschek, Walter (ed), *‘Es gibt nur eines für das Judentum – Vernichtung’. Das Judenbild in deutschen Soldatenbriefen 1939-1944*. Hamburg, 1995; Witte, Peter et al (eds), *Der Dienstkalender Heinrich Himmlers 1941/42*. Hamburg, 1999.

2. Memoirs, at page 537, lists 23 books, for example: Rosenberg, Heinz, *Jahre des Schreckens... und ich blieb übrig, daß ich Dir's ansage*. Göttingen: Steidl Verlag, 1985; Willenberg, Samuel, *Revolt in Treblinka*. Warsaw, 1992 (Hebrew original: 1986).

3. Official Publications has eight listings, for example: Central Commission for Investigation of German Crimes in Poland (ed.), *German crimes in Poland*, Warsaw 1946; *IMT, The Trial against the Main War Criminals before the International Military Tribunal, Nuremberg, 1945-1946*; *Israel, State of, Ministry of Justice, The Trial of Adolf Eichmann. Record of Proceedings in the District Court of Jerusalem*, Jerusalem 1993; *Nazi conspiracy and aggression / Office of United States Chief of Counsel for Prosecution of Axis Criminality*. Washington : U.S. G.P.O, 1946-1947. 11 vols.

4. Contemporary Publications surprisingly lists only eight books, for example: Apenszlak, Jacob (ed), *The Black Book of Polish Jewry. An Account of the Martyrdom of Polish Jewry under the Nazi Occupation*. New York, 1943; *Poland Fights, Oswiecim – Camp of Death*, New York, 1944;

5. Secondary Literature, at pages 538 to 564, contains a listing of 510 books many of them of recent date and edited by a collective. The recent Irving–Lipstadt London 2000 trial and the 2011 Demjanjuk trial are also covered but not the German and Austrian trials of, among others, Revisionists Germar Rudolf, Horst Mahler, Sylvia Stolz, Ernst Zündel, Wolfgang Fröhlich, Gerd Honsik.

Here’s a sample of some of the references cited:

Alberti, Michael, *Die Verfolgung und Vernichtung der Juden im Reichsgau Wartheland 1939-1945*. Wiesbaden, 2006; Angrick, Andrej and Witte, Peter, *The "final solution" in Riga: exploitation and annihilation, 1941-1944*. Oxford: Berghahn, 2009; Angrick, Andrej, 'Annihilation and Labor: Jews and Thoroughfare IV in Central Ukraine' in Ray Brandon and Wendy Lower (eds), *The Shoah in Ukraine*, Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 2006; Angrick, Andrej, 'Georg Michaelsen – Handlungsreisender der 'Endlösung' ' in Mallmann/Paul (eds), *Karrieren der Gewalt*, pp.156-165; Angrick, Andrej, *Besatzungspolitik und Massenmord. Die Einsatzgruppe D in der südlichen Sowjetunion 1941-1943*. Hamburg, 2003; Benz, Wolfgang and Distel, Barbara (eds), *Der Ort des Terrors. Geschichte der nationalsozialistischen Konzentrationslager*. Band 8: Riga-Kaiserwald, Warschau, Vaivara, Kauen (Kaunas), Plaszow, Kulmhof/Chelmno, Belzec, Sobibor, Treblinka. C.H. Beck: Munich, 2008; Benz, Angelika, *Der Henkersknecht: Der Prozess gegen Joh (Iwan) Demjanjuk in München*, Berlin: Metropol, 2011; Breitman, Richard, *Official Secrets: What the Nazis Planned, What the British and Americans Knew*. New York, 1998; Browning, Christopher R., *Evidence for the Implementation of the Final Solution, defence submission, Irving v Lipstadt*, 2000; Cüppers, Martin, *Wegbereiter der Shoah. Die Waffen-SS, der Kommandostab Reichsführer-SS und die Judenvernichtung 1939-1945*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 2005; Desbois, Patrick, *The Holocaust by Bullets*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008; Longerich, Peter, *Hitler's Role in the Persecution of the Jews by the Nazi Regime: Electronic Version*, defence document in Irving v. Lipstadt, 2000; Longerich, Peter, *The Unwritten Order: Hitler's Role in the Final Solution*. Stroud: Tempus, 2001; Pelt, Robert Jan van, *The Case for Auschwitz. Evidence from the Irving Trial*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2002; Wefing, Heinrich, *Der Fall Demjanjuk: der letzte grosse NS-Prozess. Das Leben, der Prozess, das Urteil*. Berlin: Beck, 2011.

6. 'Revisionist' Literature, lists 98 books containing the major Revisionist works.

The final page of this book, 570, lists the **Archival and Journal Abbreviations** used in the text, **Journals** referenced and **Frequently Cited books**.

Unfortunately there is no **Index** of either **Names** or **Subjects**, which seriously limits the usefulness of this book as a source for research. Although there is a tendency for popular factual books to be published without an Index, in a tome of 570 pages it should still be obligatory to have an index. I am thinking of computer programs that do it swiftly, but then recall how my editor still relies on ploughing through a book, page-by-page, to assemble an Index that assists the reader to gain an even greater detailed overview of what has been written, in this instance, from page 1 to page 570. An Index would in this age of information overload assist in clarifying sometime confusing, contradictory, repetitious, irrelevant and deceptive material.

The book contains 2300 **Footnotes**.

Here is the **Table of Contents**:

Introduction	6
Overview and Historiography of Aktion Reinhard.....	14
Sources	25
Structure of the Critique.....	33
1. The Hoax That Dare Not Speak Its Name	38
Wartime Reports	43
Investigations and Trials	69
2. Nazi Policy	90
Extermination of Soviet Jews, June 1941-March 1942.....	94
Evolution of Europe-Wide Final Solution, September - December 1941.....	109
Local Exterminations: Chelmno, Serbia and Reich Jews in RK Ostland	120

The Europe-Wide Final Solution, January 1942 – March 1943	131
Killing of Soviet Jews, August-December 1942.....	139
Conclusion.....	143
3. Aktion Reinhard and the Holocaust in Poland.....	145
The Origins of Aktion Reinhard.....	154
Extermination and Labour.....	181
Mattogno’s ‘Resettlement’ Shell Game	211
4. So Where Did They Go? “Resettlement” to the East	239
The Excruciatingly Slow Evolution of the Revisionist “Resettlement” Thesis	239
“Resettlement” for MGK	243
Realities in the Occupied Soviet Territories	252
The Ostland	254
Ukraine.....	262
The Alleged Fate of the ‘Resettled’ Jews.....	266
5. Gas Chambers at the Aktion Reinhard Camps	276
A “Humane” Solution: Poison Gas and the Development of the Gas Chambers	276
The Original & Second Gas Chambers at Belzec and Sobibor.....	284
The Treblinka Camp.....	294
Property Plunder.....	308
The Gassing Engine: Diesel or Gasoline?.....	316
Corpse Color	328
Archaeology of the Gas Chambers.....	333
Gas Chamber Ventilation.....	341
6. Death Camp Witnesses	344
MGK’s Methodology (or lack thereof)	346
Treatment of Witness Testimony	350
Direct and Indirect Witnesses	354
Dishonest Treatment of SS Witnesses	363
Hypocritical Use of Witness Evidence.....	368
Witness Convergences	378
7. Mass Graves.....	382
Number, Dimensions and Contents of the Mass Graves.....	383
Mattogno et al’s Claims: Nature and Purpose of Archaeological Investigations	400
Human Remains Found.....	407
Capacity of the Graves	416
Soil Removed from the Graves	427
Groundwater Pollution	429
The "Actual" Surface of the Graves.....	431
Density of Corpses in the Graves.....	437
8. Burning of the Corpses	440
Cremation Devices, Methods and Times	441
Fuel Requirements.....	457
Duration of Cremations.....	490
Cremation Remains	505
Why Cremation?	514
Conclusion	517
Afterword: A Special Note by Jason Myers	528
Bibliography	530
Unpublished Sources.....	530
Published Sources.....	533

3. CONTENT – the story keeps on changing, or – moving the goal posts!

Usually I begin looking at a book's content by considering what is written in the **Introduction**, but while glancing through the 570-page text I was struck by the book's

3.1 'Afterword: A Special Note by Jason Myers'.

This two-page 5-paragraph item gives a brief outline of what caused the author's mental development – from critically examining the Holocaust-Shoah narrative as a Revisionist to becoming a Holocaust-Shoah believer, thereby accepting the official Holocaust-Shoah conspiracy theory, namely that during World War Two Germans conspired against and exterminated European Jewry.

A mental shift within one's belief system is a normal mind-development when subjected to a critical flow of information. Since the Internet made it possible for anyone with a point-of-view to express it, much like the printing press enabled individuals to read primary sources without having to rely on 'interpreters', the official orthodoxy of a matter, especially in politics, needed to be couched in credible terms – the spin-doctors had to go into overdrive. Thinking individuals soon realizes how lies flourished not only in politics but in all human spheres of endeavour.

In ordinary non-life-threatening matters, in gossip situations generally, there is a need for lots of information, and in defamation actions, for example, generally the truth of a matter/statement is a defence. But when a matter has reached critical proportions where a billion dollar industry depends on programs flourishing for whatever purpose, then fudging the books/figures is not a rare event, as was the case with the Climate Change believers who fudged emails containing vital information that would 'prove' the truth of the basic premise.

Generally, though, an exchange of views is a healthy activity because it helps to clarify matters, especially contentious issues. As more information comes to hand we then revise points-of-view and long-held opinions – ideally speaking, of course. If the information content is such that it is new and touches on our value-system that makes up our belief system, then we actually become full-blown Revisionists and begin critically to re-evaluate our held beliefs, again – ideally speaking. Simply put, we engage in critical thinking – and thinking about things is hard work for those who worry about the truth content of new information coming their way.

The reasons offered why Myers became a believer do not convince. He had embraced Revisionism 'at a young and defiant age ... to get back at the standard bearers ... contrarian reasons ... naïve youth ... seeking to show my 'superior' knowledge' ... far too confident in my own cleverness

Such comments sadly reflect more on Myers' state of mind, on a lack of moral and intellectual courage where the truth of a matter is unimportant and where games are played. Such a mindset still seeks approval, acceptance and rewards, and it fears entering an enquiry where the quest for truth is arduous and often fraught with danger and with no immediate rewards. Think of Fred Leuchter and how because of his 1988 trip to Auschwitz ended his career – all because his research proved that the buildings sold to the gullible public as 'homicidal gas chambers' were not and could not have functioned as such killing places.

The hallmark of Revisionists is their staying power, their patience in perhaps witnessing the emergence of truth at some future date. Arthur Schopenhauer's wise words illustrate this process well: First Truth is ridiculed; then it is violently opposed, and then finally it is accepted as a given. In matters Holocaust-Shoah we have passed through the first two stages and are now in the third stage.

The classic example how a belief system has shifted to bring it in accord with the physical facts is the move from the geocentric world view to the heliocentric world view, of which Galileo is the prime example of a man who re-canted in order not to be burned at the stake for expressing the heretical view. Giordano Bruno was burnt at the stake for not re-canting his belief, and he is famous for allegedly having said: 'Perhaps it is you who delivers my sentence with more fear than I receive it'. Bruno's views on church matters and Galileo's views on the solar system threw the Ptolemaian 'circles-within-circles' into disarray and threatened the Catholic Church's absolute power and its official orthodox view of the planets moving in perfect circles around the earth.

The Holocaust-Shoah belief system is similarly constructed to the geocentric world view, and although its enforcement does not cause stake-burning, it certainly has through legal persecution destroyed the livelihood of many fine and morally upright dissenting men and women.

Jason Myers also notes there is 'an anti-Semitic presence among the majority of deniers', something that indicates to me where he stands in his mental development. By pulling out the 'antisemitic card' Myers limits his field of enquiry and thus cannot fully comprehend all the many issues that impact on Revisionist issues. And I can only assume that like his co-editors, he embraces the notion that dissenters of official orthodoxies are merely 'conspiracy nuts', if not outright liars.

When Myers writes that during doubtful moments he would consult the writings of Mattogno, Graf and Kues '... to ease my concerns about the validity of revisionism in general ...', he fails to understand that Revisionism is an heuristic method – and that all thinking individuals are revisionists which, as new information comes to hand, is the essence of a mind evaluating and re-evaluating information/theories/explanations.

At this point we should note the fact that much of critical historical information is still locked up in national archives, and that the Holocaust-Shoah narrative is legally protected because it has become an orthodoxy. Thus an open-ended research program remains an ideal only. So, when Myers writes, 'I can safely and unhesitatingly state that my abandonment of revisionism was the correct choice, as I believe any impartial and objective look into the evidence would attest.', then he abandons the values he claims he has embraced and that make up his conceptual framework, namely impartiality and objectivity. He can safely travel the world and collect written and oral evidence from anyone, and the only limit imposed on him is that he hold to the Holocaust-Shoah dogma's three pillars and not dispute or question, nor doubt them: 1. During World War Two six million Jews were killed by the Germans/Nazis; 2. through a process of systematic state extermination, 3. mainly in homicidal gas chambers and mobile gas vans.

Revisionists are thinkers who fearlessly question the Holocaust-Shoah believers' premises on which their belief rests – namely the above three pillars. I, for example, am

not allowed in Australia to doubt or publicly question these three pillars, and so the Holocaust-Shoah narrative is legally protected in Australia.

Myers, somewhat foolishly, hasn't realized that you can justify anything verbally, as we saw happening so blatantly when on 5 February 2003 Colin Powell – and Ernst Zündel was arrested at his home in Tennessee – before the UN Security Council held up a vial that proved Saddam Hussein had Weapons of Mass Destruction.

I recall how I spent this day at Bradley Smith's home, and we had to laugh when Powell's presentation was accompanied by some trucks image appearing behind him on a screen, suggesting to us the image of the mobile gas vans of which Holocaust-Shoah believers, such as Myers, make so much of in proving their version of physical events.

Of course, this lie didn't last too long, but interestingly the official 9:11 lie has now lasted ten years. Such current lies are almost carbon copies of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative – a physical event is explained with an overarching body of lies. Anyone who knows just a little about physics and chemistry knows that the World Trade Centre Twin Towers were brought down by controlled demolition, not by the fire caused by the planes crashing into them – and that Building 7 likewise was brought down, as Larry Silverstein, the owner of the towers and the beneficiary of two huge 'terrorist events' insurance payouts, informed the world: 'We decided to pull it'.

As to Myers' reference that he can sympathize with Jean-Claude Pressac, it confirms my view that Revisionists are the thinkers while the Holocaust-Shoah believers are non-critical – in fact, remain believers of a narrative that cannot be sustained anymore. I visited Pressac at his pharmacy and then at his home in 1997. He said that his Revisionist stance still stood and that he regretted the fracture between himself and Faurisson. Although he was still communicating with other notable Revisionists, it became more difficult because he needed to make a living and Jewish power in France was too great for him to oppose. He recounted how Klarsfeld 'spat' through the telephone at him for refusing to accept all of the three pillars of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative.

Pressac's Auschwitz investigation, he claimed, indicated that the manufacturers of the crematoria ovens, Topf & Söhne, had the capacity to make homicidal gas chambers. Pressac had obtained and in his possession the complete Topf & Söhne company files. I wonder what happened to this material. It reminds me when the Mufti of Jerusalem died, the man who during the war spent time in Berlin. Robert Fisk claims that after his death someone collected his library and took it to Israel. A few years ago there appeared a comment in some paper that the Mufti had been aware of the 'Holocaust gassings', or words to that effect. That's the major problem emerging out of a study of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative because too many prohibitions have been placed on open and free research. The narrative is a constructed justification without any reference to the truth of the matter – it happened and anyone who doubts it will be punished.

In essence it is Myers' silly notions about Revisionism that impact directly on his quality of research. To the younger generation of Revisionist thinkers it needs to be stressed that there is no money to be made from becoming a dissenter, a Holocaust questioner, a so-called 'Holocaust denier' who blindly refuses to believe in a narrative that is deeply flawed methodologically and intellectually. In fact, if an individual seeks the truth of an historical matter, especially concerning what happened during World War Two, then

beware and ensure you are financially secure and safeguarded as to having a home. Revisionists are dismantling a multi-billion dollar industry of lies and deceit, and it is only natural of those who have profited and made a living out of it that they will make use of any instrument that enables them to hold on to their source of income. Such individuals don't care about truth-telling because for them truth is a social construct and anything that can be justified to be the truth will be adopted.

There are no scruples surrounding those minds that believe in and continue to construct and embellish the Holocaust-Shoah narrative. Far from it, they are experts in defaming anyone who disagrees with them, and the usual shut-up words or discussion stoppers are used because they've lost the argument: 'hater', 'Holocaust denier', 'anti-Semite', 'racist', 'xenophobe', and now even 'terrorist'. Revisionists have won the argument on paper but they lack the power to break through the legal shroud that still protects the official Holocaust-Shoah narrative.

3.2 'Introduction'.

When I read the *Introduction's* first sentence I knew by its tone and content that we have in this book nothing but a theoretical justification of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative where truth as a guiding ideal has become irrelevant.

Consider: '**From the earliest days of their movement, Holocaust deniers have largely centred their arguments on the Auschwitz death camp.**'

Such nonsense aims to distort the perspective of historical investigations and turn research impulses upside down. After all, Revisionists are responding to the claims made by the Holocaust believers, which until the early 1970s consisted of fanciful nonsense. Not until Willis Carto established the **Institute for Historical Review - IHR** was there a concerted effort made by anyone systematically to refute the rubbish that made up the Holocaust-Shoah narrative. Fortunately, Revisionists have left a detailed record of what Holocaust-Shoah believers had to change in response to the exactitude of Revisionists' research. Who still remembers that the soap story at one time was believed to be a fact – Germans turned Jews into soap!

As to Auschwitz – it was the believers' pride-and-joy until the Revisionists systematically demolished it, which was done by Ernst Zündel in his Toronto trials, especially the 1988 re-trial where for the last time a Holocaust-Shoah witness and 'matters of fact' were openly canvassed in court. The reaction to **The Leuchter Report** and later to **The Rudolf Report** began the shift away from Auschwitz because Revisionist research had demolished this camp as an extermination camp. Works that claim to have refuted the scientific argument are following the pattern now adopted by those who wish to 'prove' that Climate Change/Global Warming is real. There is a frenzy within the scientific community and those who are sceptical and rely on the scientific method – which never produces a 100 per cent proof of a theory – are now labelled 'climate change deniers', just a little shy of those dreaded 'Holocaust deniers'!

To this day no-one has fulfilled the Faurisson challenge: 'Show me or draw me the homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz'. Whatever Roberto Muehlenkamp writes about this challenge is mere words, huff-and-puff-and-bluff words at that. I have a court order that even prevents my questioning the existence of homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz! It is worse in Germany, Austria and other European countries. Truth does not require legal protection but lies certainly do.

In 1996 it was Robert-Jan van Pelt and Deborah Dwork in their: **Auschwitz. 1270 to the present**, wherein Krema I was de-commissioned, and Krema II at Auschwitz-Birkenau became the focal point.

In 2002 Fritjof Meyer dared place the 'murder machine' in two non-existent farm houses. He escaped legal prosecution in Germany because he claimed that his analysis did not doubt the Holocaust-Shoah, that it remains an historical fact.

In Errol Morris' film: ***Mr Death. The Rise and Fall of Fred A. Leuchter, Jr***, 12 May 1999, it is Robert-Jan Van Pelt who makes the following claims:

Leuchter is a victim of the myth of Sherlock Holmes. A crime has been committed. You go to the site of the crime and with a magnifying glass you find a hair, or you find a speck of dust on the shoe. Leuchter thinks that is the way reality can be reconstructed. But he is no Sherlock Holmes. He doesn't have the training. It was not that he brought any experience, the specific experience needed to look at ruined buildings. The only experience he had was design modifications for the Missouri gas chambers in Jacksonville.

*

Auschwitz is like the holy of holies. I prepared years to go there and to have a fool come in, come in completely unprepared, it's sacrilege. Somebody who walks into the holy of holies and doesn't give a damn.

So, now scientific research has become a matter of observing some kind of religious ritual!

Fred Leuchter's response is scientific: **I expected to see facilities that could have been used as gas chambers. I expected to see areas that were explosion-proof. I expected to see areas that were leak-proof. There have to be holes in walls or areas where they had exhaust fans and pipes. There has to be something to remove the gas after it has been put into the room. There has to be some kind of a device to heat the chalk pellets and sublimate the gas to get it to go into the air. These things didn't exist.**

Van Pelt continues setting the scene but he forgets that physical facts speak for themselves and do not need to be interpreted, unless there is a deceptive logic behind the research – as, exists for example, the 9:11 narrative claiming that planes caused the WTC Twin Towers to burst into flames and collapse, just like a controlled demolition.

Auschwitz is very, very different from the place it was during the war. Everything has changed three or four times since that camp operated as an extermination camp. The barracks are fifty years old, they're moldy, they smell bad. It's not the smell of the war -- it's the smell of decay, of fifty years of being exposed to the elements. There's no way that when you go to the crematoria you really can understand what it was to be led there as a victim, to have to undress and be led in the gas chamber. But when you are in the building archive it is possible to re-imagine what the place was like during the war.

The first time I came into the archive I was stunned. I had found a mission, I had found a task, I'd found a vocation. When you go to Birkenau there is very little left and to suddenly have in that room that concentration of evidence. There is a tactile reality, an incredible texture, the texture of making that camp.

If Leuchter had gone to the archives, if he had spent time in the archives, he would've found evidence about ventilation systems, evidence about ways to introduce Zyklon B into these buildings, evidence of gas chambers, undressing rooms. But then of course, I don't think he knows German, so it wouldn't have helped very much.

Note Van Pelt's statement: **But when you are in the building archive it is possible to re-imagine what the place was like during the war.**

This is exactly the subjective state of mind that a scientist attempts to avoid falling into when engaged in research. It is reminiscent of the Rosenblat mindset: 'It was not a lie. In my mind it was true!'

Then, of course, the Holocaust-Shoah believers always claim most Revisionists are conspiracy nutters. Here is Van Pelt explaining a problem:

There was a code. The Germans had a coded language. You never talk about extermination, you always talk about "special action," or "special treatment." There was a very clear policy; words like gas chamber would not be used. The letter of Bischoff of the 29th of January, is a kind of exception in this, because it is a letter which is written by a person who manages the whole operation, and who himself had established a policy that you would never use the word 'gas chamber.' Somebody in the architecture office underlined the word vergasungskeller, literally "gassing basement", and put on top a note: "SS Untersturmführer Kirschneck". Which means, Kirschneck should be informed about this slip. And it doesn't occur after that. The Nazis were the first Holocaust deniers. Because they denied to themselves that it's happening.

This is the mindset that the authors of the current volume have fully embraced. It is their interpretive powers, so they allege and that Revisionists do not possess, which enables them to prove the Holocaust-Shoah to be true.

Then later in the **Introduction** the authors trot out the usual suspects as reliable witnesses, something Revisionists and even Believers have shown to be unreliable. Jan Karski remains a fraud in the Rosenblat mould except that Karski's evidence has been incorporated in so many Holocaust-Shoah narratives that it has become an embarrassment to even note his name in this book.

A further crucial report, combining information compiled by Oneg Shabes with Polish underground sources, was brought out by the Polish underground courier Jan Karski in November 1942.

In the second paragraph of the **Introduction** the deception continues with the claim that it is Revisionists who shifted away from Auschwitz, especially after the **Irving v Lipstadt** London 2000 trial. It is claimed that Revisionists lost the argument in open court.

Such a statement is pure rubbish. Irving offered to cease legal action and make a donation to charity if the court would visit Auschwitz- Krema II at Birkenau. He stressed that his focus would be on the gassing procedure 'bottle-neck' caused by victims waiting in the undressing room, bodies waiting to be taken to the small lift that took them to the cremation ovens, and the actual cremation of bodies. This physical process: arrival – undress- gas - cremate – dispose of ash can be worked out, can be calculated in real time.

My December 2006 Teheran Holocaust Conference presentation focused on the Treblinka process where additional steps were brought in: arrival – undress – gas – **bury – exhume – cremate** – and that 800,000 bodies! That's according to the official historiography of the camp.

But any Revisionists of note who dares make such statements in open court in a country where the Holocaust-Shoah narrative is legally protected will face additional jail-time because owing to his mindset he cannot be re-habilitated while in prison and so must serve out the full sentence, as have Sylvia Stolz, Germar Rudolf and Ernst Zündel of recent date.

Hence the false claim made by the authors of this committee book:

Such a failure certainly puts the lie to the oft-heard demand by Revisionists that they want open debate on the Holocaust.

It is not possible to discuss in open forum matters Holocaust-Shoah when laws have been enacted and, for example under the European Arrest Warrant, they are classified under racism and xenophobia.

But the tone adopted by the author collective gets more desperate and abusive, as can be expected where bully-boy Muehlenkamp is active:

It was also a chance to enjoy the satisfaction of exposing shoddy and deceitful history. We feel that, despite the claims of some commentators that refuting Holocaust denial is a waste of effort, the opportunity to debunk the output of pseudoscholars is one that should be taken for its own sake. It does not mean that we regard deniers as equal debating partners on an intellectual or ethical level; instead, we proceed in the knowledge that deniers operate in ignorance and bad faith.

The above three sentences speak for themselves and this kind of tone, which pervades throughout the 570-page volume indicates to me that the authors are actually overcompensating because they are either ignorant of the physical facts, lying or both. Anyone secure in the knowledge of a factual matter does not have to adopt such aggressive stance, and this indicates to me there is something seriously wrong with these individuals' value system. Why is civilized discourse not possible? It is the bully-boy who has legal protection and now seeks to hammer home his view of things because he knows the Revisionists have their hands legally tied.

Also the claim to popularity, of receiving so many hits on their website reminds me of the matter that Gordon Duff raised when he claimed he was more popular through the Google search results than I was – as if I cared about popularity ratings! – and as two ladies have berated my telling a tasteless story, I shall not repeat my little story about the prisoner who bemoaned the fact that no matter what he did he could not gain popularity among his inmates. I'm surprised that this author collective needed to stress such matters because Truth stands on its own and does not need any popularity contest, certainly not a personality popularity contest.

That Graff, Mattogno and Kues are criticised for lack of credentials reminds me of C S Peirce on whose theory of fallibilism I wrote my thesis. Peirce, the developer of the logical form of abduction – theory formation - also was criticised for not being absolutely certain of some facts, which he considered to be praise meant as blame! In science nothing is absolute – and this is where the climate change proponents are failing their credibility test, especially when they claim that 'the science of climate change is settled'.

Hence, the following comment is a nonsense:

... for none of the three have submitted anything for a peer-reviewed journal (no denier work is peer-reviewed as there simply are no peers for them), nor do any of them have university credentials. Thus, there is no justification that compels academics to automatically take them seriously. Indeed, this critique shows why Revisionist arguments are anything but.

This reminds me of those who, like Prof Lipstadt, accuse anyone who disagrees and refuses blindly to believe her rubbish called Holocaust-Shoah to be a HATER. She is actually describing her own mindset but desperately trying to deflect from it, and it is a typical bully tactic of huff-and-puff-and-bluff.

AND NOW GRAF with his email of Saturday, 7 January 2012 8:59 PM comes to the rescue and I can cease continuing to wade through the remaining 500+ pages.