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Roger Scruton:
On Trump’s Victory 13 November 2016

Traveling in the American heartland at the beginning of this week I was struck by a peculiar fact. Nowhere did I catch sight of a poster or placard exhorting people to ‘Vote Hillary’ or ‘Vote Trump’. Behind every porch there was a householder preparing to vote for one or the other. The porches which had in past elections been plastered with proud declarations of commitment were empty. This reticence lasted through the day of the election itself. It has been customary for the returning officers to provide each voter leaving the electoral station with a sticker saying ‘I voted for X or Y’ giving the name of the chosen candidate. These stickers would be proudly and cheerfully displayed for the rest of the day.

This time the stickers were still given out, but they said only ‘I voted’.

In this and many other ways the nation revealed its shared sense of shame. The attitude was, we have to make a choice, but we wish it were not this one. And surely neither candidate would have stood a chance against a rival who was genuinely worthy to be president. That said, you still need to explain a result that few people predicted, and which the media and the opinion polls dismissed as a remote possibility.

As in our own parliamentarian election and the referendum over Brexit, the opinion polls were wide off the mark. And the explanation of this touches on the real meaning of the result. In America as in Britain the indigenous working class has been put out of mind, even overtly disparaged by the media and the political class. All attempts to give voice to their anxieties over immigration, over the impact on their lives of globalization, and the spread of liberal conceptions of sex, marriage and the family have been dismissed or silenced. Indeed, these people who have been cut adrift...
from an old form of life but not provided with a new one have been castigated with gross and ill considered abuse. For the liberal elite the disinherited working classes are racist, sexist, xenophobic, homophobic, islamophobic. And while uttering these insults opinion formers revel in the fact that they do not have to live where these people live, and that they can build around themselves a society of emancipated, tolerant and progressively minded companions who would not dream of descending to the level of such narrow minded bigots.

It is for this reason that many who intended to vote for Trump would not have confessed to their intention. Charges like racist are intimidating. They imply that your feelings are not just erroneous but wicked. They brand you as an outcast, a reject with no place in the nation’s future. So you shut up and conceal your feelings. But your feelings are real all the same, and when the opportunity comes to express them with your vote, rather than your tongue, you do so.

I am not talking about the silent majority, I am talking of the despised minority, wrongly despised but despised all the same and reacting to contempt as all people do by hiding from it. That explains why the polls will always underestimate the numbers of these people, whose identity has been disrupted by the global changes, who have no means to adapt to them and who therefore vote in order to cling to an old and threatened way of life.

And two factors greatly inflamed the feelings of these people in the weeks prior to the American election. First in a speech Hillary Clinton repeated the charge of racism, sexism, xenophobia, homophobia and you name it, dismissing Trump supporters as a basket of deplorables. She thereby confirmed their view that well heeled liberals have no real interest in the fate of those who pay the cost of liberal policies. Moreover seeing themselves insulted in this way did something to endear Trump to working class voters, since the very same insults were heaped on him. And then in an ill judged departure from convention President Obama weighed in on Hillary Clinton’s behalf with an all out assault on Trump, underlining his defects of character and unfitness for office. Right though he was, Obama merely reinforced the feeling among the deplorables that a vote for Hillary was a vote for more of the same, whereas they wanted change, a change in the whole agenda of government. Now a Trump is promising change, and in his elephantine stumbling through the political landscape, he has hit on one or two changes that are dear to the indigenous working class. Hence his triumph, and hence the great problem that the world as a whole and America in particular must now confront.

Seldom, if ever, has a head of state been voted into office, with his reputation so much in shreds and his character so thoroughly despised, not merely at home but also around the world.

Trump has power now but little or no authority and certainly none of the charismatic authority that enabled Obama to turn the focus of American political culture away from capitalist enterprise towards the socialist welfare state. It is too early to say whether Trump will provide himself with wise advisers. It is already clear he is making the mistake that David Cameron made, namely the mistake of surrounding himself with advisers who agree with him. The real adviser is the one who disagrees and who gives you valid reasons for doing so.

All this is of great importance to us. Trump’s policy pronouncements are by no means consistent, but about one thing he seems certain, which is that America must curtail its global commitments – direct its attention not outwards to the world but inwards to its vital interests, and cease to spend its resources on ungrateful nations that cannot be bothered to look after themselves.

Americans now resonate to this message. They have had enough of the Islamist madness and would rather develop the nation’s resources to ensuring that the madness does not come to
America, then risk the lives of their young men and women in the place where it begins. They do not understand why the European Union exists if it does not provide for its own defence.

And insofar as the global economy means opening the country to competition that undercuts American wages many Americans have had enough of it.

Meanwhile, President Putin has assembled on his western borders forces sufficient to overrun Poland, Rumania, Slovakia, Sweden and the Baltic states in a space of days. Thanks to the European Union’s freedom of movement provisions most of those countries have lost a great deal of their young who have fled westwards, leaving behind them one of the greatest demographic crises in the history of modern Europe. None of the countries on Russia’s western border could now raise a conventional army capable of repelling an organized invasion. Only one thing stands between them and Russian dictatorship, and that is NATO which commits American troops and strategic weapons to the defence of every member of the alliance.

Trump would like to undo that alliance. America, he believes, has been providing a free ride to countries that have taken a cavalier attitude to their own defence and exploited the American tax payer, and he sees no advantage in this for America. Moreover, withdrawing from NATO differs from most of Trump’s policy suggestions to date in that it can be done without provoking an immediate social and economic crisis.

No wonder Mr Putin has received the news of Donald Trump’s election with such unconcealed joy. We must hope that Trump can be persuaded to see things differently. Maybe he will understand that America has a vital interest to the continuing defence of Europe. Everything will depend on those advisers, not only on whether he listens to them, and listening does not seem to be high on the list of his qualities, but also on whether they will have the guts to stand up to him when he is wrong.

The great merit of the American system is contained in the famous checks and balances of the constitution – the procedures that prevent the emergence of monopoly powers in any of the branches of government.

Three and a half centuries ago Spinoza argued that a virtuous government is not a government exercised by virtuous people, but a government that remains virtuous even when exercised by villains.

I cling to my belief that Spinoza’s observation intended as a rebuke to the Calvinist authorities of Holland remains true of the United States of America and that America will continue to be on the side of civilization, even with a president who doesn’t yet know what civilization means.

*https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X9tZ3pmHKz5

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

A Philosopher Falls:
How Roger Scruton Was Toppled by the Usual Suspects
April 14, 2019/40 Comments/in Featured Articles /by Tobias Langdon

This is an anti-Semitic sentence. And so is this. And this one too. How do I know? Because they’re written in English, the language of Chaucer, Shakespeare and Dickens. Those stale pale males were all anti-Semites, therefore English is an anti-Semitic language and all sentences written in English are implicitly seething with bigotry and hate. QED.

Combatting Corbyn
The logic couldn’t be clearer and many people look forward to the day when it can be deployed against anyone whom the Jewish community disapproves
of. For example, Jews in Britain have been trying to topple the Labour leader Jeremy Corbyn for years. They want a decent politician to take his place, someone who will, like Tony Blair and Theresa May, obey Jewish orders without question and support Israel without limit. But so far Jews haven’t managed to topple Corbyn. Again and again they’ve produced what is, to them, incontrovertible proof of his anti-Semitism. Dismayingly, ordinary Labour members have refused to accept it. Imagine how much easier it will be when English is declared an inherently anti-Semitic language. Corbyn will instantly be guilty of spewing anti-Jewish hate in countless speeches, media interviews and newspaper columns during his entire political career. He won’t simply be toppled as Labour leader: he’ll be locked up for life as a fully certified anti-Semite.

**Defining anti-Semitism as they please**

And let’s have no nonsense about Jews and their allies using English themselves. That’s completely irrelevant. As the contrite and humbled leftist Billy Bragg pointed out after he fell from grace with Jews: “I failed to recognise the right of the Jewish community to decide for themselves what does and doesn’t constitute racism [against them].” It’s the Macpherson principle, and I made a very insensitive response to someone’s question that implied that I knew better than the Jewish community about what is and isn’t [anti-Semitic]. … It denied them the right to decide what is a racist attack on their community, and that’s wrong and I apologise for it.”

By “Macpherson principle,” Bragg means the principle set out by the judge Sir William Macpherson in the enquiry into the martyrdom of the Black teenager Stephen Lawrence: “The definition of a ‘racist incident’ will now include incidents categorised in policing terms both as crimes and non-crimes. It will now encompass ‘any incident which is perceived to be racist by the victim or any other person’.” Accordingly, if Jews say something is anti-Semitic, it is anti-Semitic – no ifs, ands or buts. And if Jews choose to say that Jeremy Corbyn is anti-Semitic because he speaks English, then no-one should argue with them. If anyone does argue, then that person too is anti-Semitic. It couldn’t be simpler: Jews can define anti-Semitism however they please and against whomsoever they please.

**A classic anti-Semitic trope**

This brings me to the right-wing philosopher Sir Roger Scruton. In “The Value of Victimhood,” I described how he had been condemned as an anti-Semite in November 2018. After he was appointed as an advisor on architecture to the Conservative government, his leftist enemies went digging for dirt to use against him and uncovered a speech he had made in Hungary some years before. He had criticized George Soros and said that “Many of the Budapest intelligentsia are Jewish, and form part of the extensive networks around the Soros empire.” Labour MPs like Luciana Berger, a heroine of the Jewish community, declared that Scruton was obviously an anti-Semite. George Soros is Jewish and Scruton had criticized Soros for being powerful and influential. Such criticism is a classic “anti-Semitic trope,” therefore Scruton is an anti-Semite and the truth of Soros’s power and influence is irrelevant. Having deployed this logic, Berger and her allies demanded that Scruton be dismissed from his role serving the Conservative government.

**Luciana Berger is denouncing you!**

Jews in Britain “overwhelmingly back” that government, but did any Conservative-supporting Jews spring to Scruton’s defence and denounce Berger for her dishonesty? Of course not. Fake accusations of anti-Semitism are standard practice in Jewish culture and no-one wanted to weaken their effect by criticizing Berger. Nor did Scruton criticize her or condemn other Jews for accepting her dishonesty. Instead, he indignantly denied that he was anti-Semitic in any way, thereby accepting the general legitimacy of the charge and merely rejecting its particular application to him. This denial was enough and he managed to maintain his role as advisor.

**Roger and Out**

But leftists hadn’t given up trying to topple him. They were merely biding their time. In late March 2019, an SJW called George Eaton at the very woke New Statesman asked Scruton for an interview. Stupidly, Scruton agreed. He repeated his criticisms of Soros and of the concept of “Islamophobia,” and added further criticism of the Chinese communist party for imposing conformity on the Chinese people. Eaton took the quotes, dishonestly edited them, and sent them out on Twitter as examples of Scruton’s vileness and bigotry. Here’s one:

If you were told that a man had said to a magazine interviewer, “Each Chinese person is a kind of replica of the next one and that is a very frightening thing,” you might assume the man was a racist. That was certainly the impression New Statesman Deputy Editor George Eaton intended to create when he blared that quote on Twitter under the description “Roger Scruton on the Chinese.” Except that the famous British philosopher, whom Eaton interviewed for the April 12 issue of his magazine, wasn’t talking about the Chinese people. He was talking about their Communist government. Anyone who bothered to click through to the full interview would find a longer version of the quotation which makes that clear in the preceding
sentence: “They’re creating robots out of their own people by so constraining what can be done.”

After reading Eaton’s tweets, other leftists emitted squeals of horror at Scruton’s crime-think and the so-called Conservative government did what conservatives have been doing for many decades. It surrendered abjectly to the left, sacking Scruton for what it called his “unacceptable comments.”

George Eaton celebrates the fall of a crime-thinker
Indeed, some so-called conservatives went further than surrender. They emitted leftist squeals of their own, fully accepting leftist ideology and the need to battle the demonic forces of hate. An SJW called Alex Wickham reported that: “Tory MP Tom Tugendhat told BuzzFeed News: ‘Antisemitism sits alongside racism, anti-Islam, homophobia, and sexism as a cretinous and divisive belief that has no place in our public life and particularly not in government.’” Tugendhat, the chairman of the Foreign Affairs Committee in parliament, is expressing mainstream Jewish views on race and the need to crush free speech. And so it should be no surprise that he is of “Austrian-Jewish” and “Polish-Jewish descent.”

The Mystery Minority
Of course, Scruton has again indignantly denied that he is an anti-Semite. He has received warm support and sympathy from Mark Steyn, Douglas Murray, Brendan O’Neill and many other right-wing and libertarian commentators. These commentators have assailed the left for its deceit and totalitarianism, excoriated the Tories for their cowardice and capitulation, and proved in minute detail that Scruton has been treated with outrageous unfairness. But reading their commentary on the Scruton affair has reminded me of this poem by T.S. Eliot:

Macavity’s a Mystery Cat: he’s called the Hidden Paw —
For he’s the master criminal who can defy the Law.
He’s the bafflement of Scotland Yard, the Flying Squad’s despair:
For when they reach the scene of crime — Macavity’s not there!

And when you reach the scene of crime — Macavity’s not there!
You may seek him in the basement, you may look up in the air —
But I tell you once and once again, Macavity’s not there!

(“Macavity: The Mystery Cat,” T.S. Eliot, from Old Possum’s Book of Practical Cats, 1939)

There’s a Macavity in the Scruton affair. But it’s not a Mystery Cat that’s “not there.” Rather, it’s a Mystery Minority. This is because no-one, from Scruton himself to the most minor of his many defenders, has referred to the central role of Jews in his downfall. Scruton has issued an ironically titled “Apology for Thinking” in the Spectator in which he notes that: “We in Britain are entering a dangerous social condition in which the direct expression of opinions that conflict – or merely seem to conflict – with a narrow set of orthodoxies is instantly punished by a band of self-appointed vigilantes. We are being cowed into abject conformity around a dubious set of official doctrines and told to adopt a world view that we cannot examine for fear of being publicly humiliated by the censors.”

The Board of Deputies: “We are satisfied.”
But who are the “self-appointed vigilantes” and “censors”? Scruton doesn’t say. Let me correct his omission with this quote from the Jewish Chronicle:

“A Board of [Jewish] Deputies spokesperson said: ‘As soon as we saw Roger Scruton’s unacceptable comments we contacted the government to make our concerns heard. We are satisfied the right decision has been made to dismiss him.’” I suggest that the hand of BoD was decisive in this affair, Muslims also called for Scruton’s sacking, but the Tories have stoutly resisted loud Muslim calls for an official enquiry into the party’s “Islamophobia,” so Muslim views aren’t important to them.
Jewish views, on the other hand, are very important to the Tories. As I pointed out in "Love Islam, Hate Freedom," ambitious Tories put in years of grovelling before Jewish audiences at Conservative Friends of Israel and the Community Security Trust. If a Tory wants to become prime minister, he has to have the Jews on his side. And that's why I think Scruton was sacked after the Board of Deputies “contacted the government” about his “unacceptable comments.” After all, the Tories used the same words to justify his sacking: they said that Scruton had made “unacceptable comments.” And compare what happened when the Tory MP Suella Braverman was exposed in early April 2019 for using the horrendous “antisemitic trope” of “cultural Marxism.” She was first “rebuked” by the Board of Deputies, then called in for a “discussion” with the Board, just as the fiery contrarian Rod Liddle had been after he seemed to make “offensive online” comments about a visit to Auschwitz in about 2009.

Suella is certified kosher

After its “discussion” with Braverman, the Board certified that she is kosher: “Conservative MP Suella Braverman is ‘in no way antisemitic’, the Board of Deputies has declared after meeting her amid concern about her denouncing ‘cultural Marxism’, a word with anti-Jewish origins.” I find this “declaration” by the Board highly disturbing. As a democratically elected politician, Suella Braverson is supposedly answerable to no-one but her constituents. Why on earth was she being interrogated by an unelected organization representing a tiny ethnic minority? Why on earth didn’t she tell the Board of Deputies to go take a running jump at a rolling bagel? Because she didn’t dare, that’s why. The Board of Deputies are quite clearly, in Roger Scruton’s words, acting as “self-appointed vigilantes” and “censors” who control politicians with “fear of being publicly humiliated.” But Scruton won’t criticize the Jews who behave like this and who were central to his sacking. Nor will any of Scruton’s supporters. And Scruton won’t criticize the dishonest and self-serving concept of “anti-Semitism,” which was quite clearly the model for the dishonest and self-serving concept of “Islamophobia.”

Think “Blink”

As I’ve pointed out before, Jews in the West enjoy power without scrutiny. But in fact they’re not like “Macavity: The Mystery Cat,” because Macavity is “not at the scene of [the] crime.” Jews are at the scene of the crime, again and again. They were central to Scruton’s sacking and they are central to the war on free speech. If Roger Scruton and other noisy defenders of Western civilization don’t recognize this, they’re idiots. If they do recognize it, they’re obviously frightened to say so. But what would happen to them if they spoke out against Jewish power? Like James Watson after his comments on Black genetics, they would lose their reputations and their incomes. But they wouldn’t starve and they wouldn’t be executed. In past ages, Westerners like Edmund Campion and Giordano Bruno accepted death in horrendous ways rather than deny their convictions. Nietzsche was right when he said that we had entered the age of die letzten Menschen, the Last Men who “need warmth” and have “a regard for health.” The Last Men live for comfort, hate conflict, and blink when they lie. Sir Roger Scruton is supposedly a great thinker. By his craven behaviour towards Jews, he’s proved that he’s really a great blinker. He’s a Last Man who will make no real sacrifice to defend the West. Fortunately for the West, its survival doesn’t depend on Scruton and his allies.

Sophie Johnson says: April 14, 2019 at 11:30 pm

'Fortunately for the West, its survival doesn't depend on Scruton and his allies.'

No. And that is just as well, for a West dependent on them would be badly let down. But on whom can the West depend, especially as capable slappers-down of insolent Jewish poseurs who attack and destroy decent people who seek the truth and the freedom to voice it? There is you, of course, Mr Langdon, and your TOQ colleagues, and pockets of decency elsewhere. But you are all bigots, antisemites and racists don'tcha know. The heck! There is absolutely no one but her constituents. Why on earth was she publicly humiliated.” But Scruton won’t criticise the Jews who behave like this and who were central to his sacking. Nor will any of Scruton’s supporters. And Scruton won’t criticise the dishonest and self-serving concept of “anti-Semitism,” which was quite clearly the model for the dishonest and self-serving concept of “Islamophobia.”

Think “Blink”

As I’ve pointed out before, Jews in the West enjoy power without scrutiny. But in fact they’re not like “Macavity: The Mystery Cat,” because Macavity is “not at the scene of [the] crime.” Jews are at the scene of the crime, again and again. They were central to Scruton’s sacking and they are central to the war on free speech. If Roger Scruton and other noisy defenders of Western civilization don’t recognize this, they’re idiots. If they do recognize it, they’re obviously frightened to say so. But what would happen to them if they spoke out against Jewish power? Like James Watson after his
Or for a bigger dose look at my search engine www.biglies.org/mileswmathis/index.html and find at least half a dozen supplementary pieces on ‘Lindbergh’. The whole thing as regards WW2 was to blackwash opponents of Jews wanting war.

- **Thorgrun** says: April 16, 2019 at 10:56 am
  The hostile elite are still hacking at the Lone Eagle, now 75 years later. The People be damned for not wanting to join in another war in Europe, that is the spin today. FDR is a war criminal in my opinion.

- **John McArthur** says: April 14, 2019 at 11:42 pm
  What they seek to eliminate (so called anti-semitism) they actually create by crucifying innocent people.
  - **Sophie Johnson** says: April 15, 2019 at 3:31 am
    Or so we hope. But it does seem that those 'consequential' antisemites are maintaining a deep silence (with very few exceptions) for fear of being the next targets of the chosenites. We've all heard them beating their breasts.
  - **Dolores** says: April 15, 2019 at 6:29 am
    Planned! Beheaded for saying Jesus in the future! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bNjoPYgAoDc
  - **Dave W.** says: April 15, 2019 at 2:28 pm
    Completely agree. I had no idea about the extent of Jewish power until I started to notice how often they crush people.

- **Dolores** says: April 15, 2019 at 12:17 am
  We can recognise the truth that Jews, Zion backers and Israel are anti-Christian, therefore we have the human right to protect our selves, our families, our culture, our language and our ancient laws against them!
  - **Sophie Johnson** says: April 15, 2019 at 3:37 am
    We do have that human right, Dolores. What we lack ever more in this area are our civil, political and legal rights. All those areas are fully controlled by the self-chosen.

- **Trenchant** says: April 15, 2019 at 12:50 am
  Perhaps he could be invited to participate in a TOO podcast with Dr. MacDonald.
  - **Pierre de Craon** says: April 15, 2019 at 10:41 am
    Excellent suggestion; seconded heartily.
  - **ronehjr** says: April 15, 2019 at 5:45 am
    When are white people going to realize it should not only be permissible, but necessary, to be anti-Semitic to at least the precise degree that semites are anti-white?
    - **Rerevisionist** says: April 20, 2019 at 7:40 am
      The problem has been the secrecy of Jews. Many people have no idea they exist; just ask people, inquiring out of curiosity, do they have view on Jewish issues? This is why there has to be educational outreach if you think popular awareness is important.
  - **Dolores** says: April 15, 2019 at 6:27 am
    Beheaded for saying Jesus! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bNjoPYgAoDc
  - **Frank Smithers** says: April 15, 2019 at 9:42 am
    The Dream
    I had a dream the other night. A wild, crazy dream. In that dream, millions of White people all over the West held a giant “sick-out.” Tired of being treated like second-class citizens by the politicians and the bureaucrats who are doing the bidding of the Jews and the liberals, the White people simply failed to show up for work one day. They were “sick” for a day. And then, the big sick-out happened again, and again, and again.
    Thank God, it was only a dream.
    - **TJ** says: April 16, 2019 at 10:11 am
      Whites can form a union and go on strike.

- **RoyAlbrecht** says: April 15, 2019 at 10:26 am
  “…but did any Conservative-supporting Jews spring to Scruton’s defence and denounce Berger for her dishonesty? Of course not. Fake accusations of anti-Semitism are standard practice in Jewish culture and no-one wanted to weaken their effect by criticizing Berger. Nor did Scruton criticize her or condemn other Jews for accepting her dishonesty. Instead, he indignantly denied that he was anti-Semitic in any way, thereby accepting the general legitimacy of the charge and merely rejecting its particular application to him. This denial was enough and he managed to maintain his role as advisor.”
  This is an important example and deserves to be more strongly emphasized:
  At the moment Jews still have the upper hand in most societal infrastructural environments and are able to rely upon (((coordinated onslaughts))), based on past constructs, to overwhelm their prey into submissive denial-like retreats.

[cut]
[Mod. note: Please don’t ramble. It’s best to make a short point.]
  - **Dolores** says: April 16, 2019 at 7:14 am
    True learn the law! Planned!
Beheaded for saying Jesus in the future! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bNjoPYqAoDc Too True!

Arch Stanton says: April 15, 2019 at 12:08 pm
I fail to understand why Jews are allowed to define our language. One way we can all make a difference is by refusing to accept the Jews’ terminology, e.g., “anti-Semite”, “Nazi”, “Gay,” “Black,” “Guns,” “911” are Jewish wordsmithing constructs designed to plant certain, specific images into the subconscious that will then play out on a conscious level. These are cleverly designed short, bullet words, that reprogram our thoughts and scramble our brain waves.

One can instead say with perfect legitimacy, “National Socialist,” “Homosexual,” “Negro,” “firearms,” “September 11th or Trade tower attacks.” I await the day when a politician says, “Anti-Semite? Never! I have nothing against the Palestinians. “I’m not anti-Semitic I’m anti-Jewish!

We can even construct our own terminology. For instance, of late I have begun using the term “Judeocommunism” to identify the roots behind that political system based on fear, terrorism and murder. Since there is no problem identifying Christianity’s roots with the term “Judeo-Christianity,” why not Judeocommunism? Note the lack of a hyphen? That is to make the concept seamless in the same manner that Jew or “Judeo-Christianity” goes back at least 2000 years (with the Tribe’s destruction of Jesus Christ). And even longer (with their hassling of the Pharoahs). So, the question is.....how do we win this battle and maintain our White/Christian/European cultures? The solution is not a top down but a bottoms up process. We cannot expect our elected leaders to help, as they are fearful of the financial/political power of the Tribe. We must teach our kids/friends/families the truth of the situation and to turn off Time/Newsweek and show them the power of the Golden Rule in our daily affairs. We are lucky to have Dr MacDonald and Andrew Joyce as our leaders and need to support TOO as best we can.

Remember that this battle is a marathon, not a sprint.....and a lonely one at times as well. Hang in there – you’re not alone.

Gnome Chompsky says: April 16, 2019 at 6:57 pm
I like your comments on words, and Judaeocommunism is certainly apt for Russia until the late thirties, the Bela Kun govt. in Hungary, the post-WWII govt. there and in some other (not all) Warsaw Pact, and much of the so-called New Left in the west.

However, I do object to the term ‘Judaeo-Christianity’. It was only coined as a narrow theological term by nth. European theologians in the late 19th century, exclusively to refer to the Judaizing faction in early Christianity (which, of course, lost). The term only appears with its modern usage in the late 1940s, and remained rare until the late 1960s and 70s.

So, as it is clearly a ploy by (((them ))), I would recommend total avoidance, unless prefixed by ‘so-called’ or similarly treated.

ptero*actyl says: April 17, 2019 at 2:56 am

Jack Davidson says: April 15, 2019 at 1:23 pm
Remember that this battle is not a new one.....it goes back at least 2000 years (with the Tribe’s destruction of Jesus Christ)....and even longer (with their hassling of the Pharoahs). So, the question is......how do we win this battle and maintain our White/Christian/European cultures? The solution is not a top down but a bottoms up process. We cannot expect our elected leaders to help, as they are fearful of the financial/political power of the Tribe. We must teach our kids/friends/families the truth of the situation and to turn off the TV, stop reading Time/Newsweek and show them the power of the Golden Rule in our daily affairs. We are lucky to have Dr MacDonald and Andrew Joyce as our leaders and need to support TOO as best we can. Remember that this battle is a marathon, not a sprint.....and a lonely one at times as well. Hang in there – you’re not alone.

@Gnome – we see the similar term a lot these days ‘Judeo-Christian heritage’ eg in connection with the Notre-Dame fire on Twitter, and its use serves to make the Christians believe the Jews are their allies.

In Christian churches the format is often to have one reading from the O.T. and one from the N.T. Moses, David, etc seen as heroes, with Jews being the victims of the Exodus, and this message over the years must create a lot of empathy for Jews amongst the Christians. In fact we see this for many Christian Americans who put the Israel flag alongside the US one in their Twitter profile.

So the Christian religion has made the West very Jew-friendly, and hence the common usage of ‘Judeo-Christian heritage’. As fewer and fewer go to church this love of the Christians for the Jews will diminish.

Dame fire on Twitter, and its

Too True!

Jack, excellent thoughts. just before reading this article a video on John McCain was seen. Becuz he kissed the arse of the “best of all people” he obtained election funds from all over the USA. Yes, we are very lucky to have KMac and Joyce. A key to opening the door of anti-EuroMan propaganda is as KMac has composed; mainly, to realize they bribe the elite. That’s why EuroMan might disappear in the West.

Bruno says: April 16, 2019 at 4:28 am

Dolores says: April 16, 2019 at 7:19 am
YES: stop politicians taking their money, make them answerable to us.

- *TJ* says: [April 16, 2019 at 10:22 am](https://cfoi.co.uk/communities-secretary-james-brokenshire-mp-we-stand-shoulder-to-shoulder-with-the-jewish-community/)

  If we don’t hang together we shall surely hang separately.

- *Pat Etheridge* says: [April 18, 2019 at 6:12 am](https://cfoi.co.uk/communities-secretary-condemns-antisemitism-and-praises-jewish-community-for-towering-contribution/)

  We don’t have time for a marathon. Within thirty years, a mere blink of the eye, the story will be over. In the U.S., a country that once contained a ninety percent white majority, whites will be a minority within twenty years. Other Western nations will quickly follow suit. As this process occurs, our chances of successfully pushing back against it become smaller and smaller. The initial Leftist “march through the institutions” took between a century and a century and a half to accomplish. Their “march” now has the necessary momentum to obliterate us. There is a very narrow window of opportunity ahead of us. We thus cannot have our own long march through the institutions. The clock is winding down.

- *John* says: [April 15, 2019 at 1:34 pm](https://cfoi.co.uk/communities-secretary-james-brokenshire-mp-we-stand-shoulder-to-shoulder-with-the-jewish-community/)

  Scruton was fired by Communities Secretary James Brokenshire MP:

  Since the 1990s we have globally seen the rise of this phenomenon whereby now it is almost too obvious to state that the concept “Antisemitism” – much like “racism” and “Holocaust denial”, among others – has been “weaponized”. In Sir Roger’s case the attack on his moral and intellectual integrity stems directly from his fearless quest for cultural integrity – the search for basic truths, which he did so well in his 2016 book: *The Ring of Truth – The Wisdom Of Wagner’s Ring Of The Nibelung.*

  The Anti-Wagnerites, who thrive on subjective infantile Freudian-Marxist nonsense, will never forgive Sir Roger for stating some basic truths about Richard Wagner’s creative genius:

  “In his creative work he devoted himself to the highest of ideals, with no special pleading on his own behalf and with an urgent and objective vision of what is at stake in human life. Indeed, he was a great moralist, and the lessons expounded in his later works are as pertinent today as they were when he first announced them. He worked conscientiously on behalf of a vision that he wished urgently to share, and gave time and energy not merely to projects of his own but to the works that he admired, and to the public culture which for so long refused to admit him. He inspired love in both men and women, and was as likely to squander his borrowed money on others as on himself.”
It is obvious that Wagner was way ahead of what Freud cobbled together and passed off as his insights about the human condition some decades later.

- Curmudgeon says: April 16, 2019 at 7:51 am
  Thank you for your observations about Wagner, Mr. Toben. I don’t think I had reached my teens when I came under the spell of Wagner’s musical genius. It was probably 8 – 10 years later that I became aware of his political views, which I found insightful, and also became aware of the campaign, by the usual suspects, to belittle his talent. Fast forward 40+ years, and to a conversation I was having with a music student about Wagner. He said that Wagner was seen as pedestrian by his contemporary critics, and that much of his work was pedantic. My only response was that his views would not be shared by Franz Liszt and that it is true his opera works required complex staging, they are far from pedantic. I then asked if he could name any composer until Wagner who wrote his own libretto for operas. He didn’t have a reply to any of what I had said. I see this person on a regular basis and years later, I am still waiting for an answer to my question. The brainwashing begins early.

  - Pierre de Craon says: April 17, 2019 at 11:44 am
    Dear Curmudgeon,
    In the event that you or Mr. Toben don’t know of it, let me recommend that you try to find a copy of “I Saw The World End: A Study of Wagner’s Ring,” by Deryck Cooke. There has never to my knowledge been a deeper, more appreciative study (certainly in English) of Wagner as a profound artist and assiduous scholar or of his central masterwork. The book’s readers have not been especially numerous, but none of whom I know has considered it anything short of transformatively illuminating. What’s more, once it has been read, 95 percent of others’ writings about Wagner and the Ring are revealed as pretentious rubbish or exemplars of pig ignorance. As an addition to Mr. Toben’s very just comments, the highest compliment I can pay Scruton’s “Ring of Truth” is that most of it falls within the happy 5 percent.

- Curmudgeon says: April 16, 2019 at 7:51 am
  It is more than obvious by now that anyone in power is probably always self-censoring so as not to offend the Jews. You can see that they are chomping at the bit to vilify and destroy anyone who dares speak the truth about their abhorrent tactics. Surely it is time to re-think their strategies. Surely there should be some point where people have had a belly full of being dictated to and perhaps it is time to speak out collectively rather than individually. After all, they are a very small percentage of the population in the U.K. and the U.S. I am sure that regarding their loss of income, there could be some sort of crowdfunding set up so they do not have to end up penniless. If more courageous people were to speak up knowing that they would be supported then maybe others will follow. Why can’t their own tactics be used against them for a change? They have gotten away with this for decades.

  - pterodactyl says: April 17, 2019 at 2:34 am
    @ChilledBee
    “they are chomping at the bit to vilify and destroy anyone who dares speak the truth about their abhorrent tactics”
    By now they must have made a lot of enemies, and not a good tactic in the long run I would have thought. History repeats.

- Biff Loman says: April 16, 2019 at 10:04 am
  If the victim gets to decide then let’s ask gay black youth Taj Patterson to judge whether a hate crime was committed by the Shomrim patrol who beat him while calling him racist names in Brooklyn. The perps got off with community service. This case really should have surfaced during the Jussie Smollett gabfest since it makes a perfect counterpoint. The attack on Patterson was real and yet no senator said a word about it. It was hard enough to get the cops to follow up on it, let alone turn it into a national convulsion.

- TJ says: April 16, 2019 at 8:25 pm
  This week, a video surfaced of a Harvard professor, Steven Pinker, which appeared to show him lauding members of a racist movement. The clip, which was pulled from a November event at Harvard put on by Spiked magazine, showed Mr. Pinker referring to “the often highly literate, highly intelligent people who gravitate to the alt-right” and calling them “internet savvy” and “media savvy.” The clip went viral. The right celebrated; the left fumed. The neo-Nazi Daily Stormer website ran an article headlined, in part, “Harvard Jew Professor Admits the Alt-Right Is Right About Everything.” A tweet of the video published by the self-described
“Right-Wing Rabble-Rouser” Alex Witoslawski got hundreds of retweets, including one from the white-nationalist leader Richard Spencer.

“Steven Pinker has long been a darling of the white supremacist ‘alt-right,’” noted the lefty journalist Ben Norton. “And he returns the favor.” Others reacted to the rumor with simple exasperation: “Christ on a crutch,” said the liberal commentator and biologist PZ Myers, who also wrote a blog post denouncing Mr. Pinker for this supposed alliance. The idea that Mr. Pinker, a liberal, Jewish psychology professor, is a fan of a racist, anti-Semitic online movement is absurd on its face, so it might be tempting to roll your eyes and dismiss this blowup as just another instance of social media doing what it does best: generating outrage. https://tinyurl.com/y4ta92vs

pterodactyl says: April 17, 2019 at 2:30 am

We can observe from the article that their tactics are never to generate goodwill – ie one good turn deserves another, instead their tactics always seem to be based on threats of what harm they can cause if we do not comply. They must be making a lot of enemies with their tactics, which will not end well for them in the long run.

This approach could arise from their wiring, which comes from their different genes, which are more arab-like than Western individualistic.

Imagine two individualistic, Western farmers, and one runs out of seeds and borrows some from his neighbour, then the one who borrowed (as a white man) then has feelings that can be described as ‘gratitude’ or ‘obligation’ or ‘goodwill’. This is the basis of the success of the individualistic West, ie mutual co-operation. It can be summarised by ‘You scratch my back and I’ll scratch yours’.

But what if ((they)) are wired differently? What if no amount of helping them will ever elicit the slightest gratitude? What if they are wired to be incapable of gratitude, incapable of responding to goodwill by returning goodwill? What if they are wired to only feel obligation to the tribe and that is all? In that case, even if you give them $35b a year they will still feel no friendship or goodwill in return, and will just feel hostility, not because they have been wronged in any way, but simply because that is how they are wired to be – to be hostile to anyone outside the tribe. We see the same trait in other non-European races. They simply cannot feel goodwill no matter how much you help them, no matter how much Christians keep praising them.

So back to the farmer. If ((they)) were to lend their neighbour seeds they would only do it if the other farmer agreed to pay double the cost back at harvest time – ie take advantage of the situation, and if they ever got the chance to ruin their neighbour and buy up his land for a cheap price, they would seize it, even if 10 years previously the white farmer had done them great favours. I do not suggest all Js are like this, but is it possible that a tendency to be like this in the race could be traced to a gene that makes the person feel zero obligation back to those who offer friendship and help?

I once worked in a building looking after computers and often helped the very wealthy orthodox Jewish landlord with his computer who had a tatty office in the same building FOR FREE. None of this was done officially, there was no time keeping, and I was not sent up by my boss so I did it in an individual capacity as a neighbour. Years later my company left the building and there was a small room which I asked to rent. We agreed the rent (no discount) and as we were about to sign the contract ((he)) announced a ‘service charge’ on top that he had never mentioned before. I just said forget it and left. The landlord clearly felt no obligation to return the favours I had done him over the years. And all the while wearing orthodox dress and in so doing representing his religion. He clearly felt no urge to create a positive image of his religion.

TJ says: April 18, 2019 at 4:03 pm

PD- Re the third paragraph above- I am reading A Life of One’s Own: Individual Rights and the Welfare State [David Kelley] “Anyone interested in the moral legitimacy of the welfare state must deal with the arguments of this book” (((Ellen Frankel Paul))) (((Cato)))

May I post two paragraphs? Thank you.

“The defects of government programs made apparent the virtues of private ones. Private charities could give aid, not as a right, but as an investment in the recipient that carried with it the obligation on his part to develop the habits that would make him independent. Charles Lock Mowat, grandson of the founder of the Charity Organization Society in England, noted that the society had a ‘sternly individualistic philosophy, and paid the poor the compliment of assuming they shared it.’ Private charities could discriminate, in a way that government could not, between worthy and unworthy applicants for aid, distinguishing the innocent victims of misfortune and the struggling poor from those who were indolent, or criminal, or abusing drugs or alcohol.
The Associated Charities of Boston said in one report that public relief ‘created a dependent feeling, a dry rot, which leads the recipient of city bounty to look at it as a reward for destitution.’ Mary Richmond, who was general secretary of the Charity Organization of Baltimore, noted that such relief is the least desirable form of aid because it ‘comes from what is regarded as a practically inexhaustible source, and (((people))) who once receive it are likely to regard it as a right, as a permanent pension, implying no obligation on their part.’ As a result of that sentiment, outdoor relief was abolished in New York in 1874, Brooklyn in 1878, Philadelphia in 1879, and numerous other cities by the turn of the century.”

Jews are on welfare, without getting a check directly. They have devious ways of getting their fair share. Most common welfare is needs paid for by society. Jewish welfare is gifts paid for by Whiteys. As Nathaniel Branden [Natan Blumenthal] put it: “It’s an alternate means of survival.” He means that for Jews, a way to live comfortably without work. . . . by kibitzing [a kibitzer is one offering unsolicited advice during a card game].

T says: April 18, 2019 at 10:26 am

Tobias Langdon writes: ‘By “Macpherson principle,” Bragg means the principle set out by the judge Sir William Macpherson in the inquiry into the martyrdom of the Black teenager Stephen Lawrence: “The definition of a ‘racist incident’ will now include incidents categorised in policing terms both as crimes and non-crimes. It will now encompass ‘any incident which is perceived to be racist by the victim or any other person.’”

Regarding Billy Bragg and his unwittingly having trampled over sensibilities involving that very much loaded pc term/concept of ‘racism’ wouldn’t the more accurate term for the related ‘Macpherson principle’ as described be ‘Parson’s Principle’, or perhaps ‘Orwell’s Principle’?

Parson’s it will be recalled was Winston’s co-worker at the Ministry of Truth, whom like Winston, had also found himself arrested for ‘thought crime.’ I could see how someday we might see Orwell’s 1984 and Animal Farm banned as ‘hate’ literature (‘hatelit?) as his writings hit too close to home: Are you guilty?’ said Winston. ‘Of course I’m guilty!’ cried Parsons with a servile glance at the telescreen. ‘You don’t think the Party would arrest an innocent man, do you?’ His frog-like face grew calmer, and even took on a slightly sanctimonious expression. ‘Thoughtcrime is a dreadful thing, old man,’ he said sententiously. ‘It’s insidious. It can get hold of you without your even knowing it. Do you know how it got hold of me? In my sleep! Yes, that’s a fact. There I was, working away, trying to do my bit — never knew I had any bad stuff in my mind at all. And then I started talking in my sleep. Do you know what they heard me saying?’

He sank his voice, like someone who is obliged for medical reasons to utter an obscenity.

“Down with Big Brother!” Yes, I said that! Said it over and over again, it seems. Between you and me, old man, I’m glad they got me before it went any further. Do you know what I’m going to say to them when I go up before the tribunal? “Thank you,” I’m going to say, “thank you for saving me before it was too late.”

http://www.george-orwell.org/1984/17.html

Barkingmad says: April 19, 2019 at 8:37 am

“The Last Men live for comfort, hate conflict, and blink when they lie.”

To deliberately avoid actions which would result being chased up into the hills penniless, starving and friendless, is not my idea of wanting to “live for comfort”.

There are some things in this world (actually, a lot of things) which have no value or good result if only a very few people are doing them. Look at Dominique Venner. Now, let us suppose that 100 persons who thought as he did shot themselves simultaneously in churches (and other buildings) all over France. Would it automatically mean the immediate end of our Troubles? Probably not — but I don’t think that the media or the useless general public would pretend that nothing important had occurred. A seed would be planted. If Venner planted a seed, it is of the slow-germinating variety.

From The New Yorker d/May 22, 2013: And last night, thousands of right-wing mourners staged a torchlight parade in the cathedral plaza. They carried French flags, and spoke of the suicide as a noble gesture in defense of a France that was “proud of itself.” Their tribute echoes that of Le Pen’s daughter and heir, Marine, the leader of the anti-immigrant National Front. “All of our respect to Dominique Venner,” she wrote in Twitter post, “whose final and eminently political gesture is a wake-up call to the people of France.”

*https://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2019/04/14/a-philosopher-falls-how-roger-scruton-was-toppled-by-the-usual-suspects/*
Dear All,

Apologies for the lack of recent updates. First of all, some positive news.

Last Friday, January 10th (full moon), I was again in the dock for my appeal against the National Probation Service (NPS), this time at Derby Crown Court. To recap, the NPS had brought a retroactive breach trial against me for publishing on my personal website during the year-long Prohibited Activity Order which required me not to post or participate on “social media outlets”.

Once again, there were slight procedural errors: the Crown’s barrister, Mr Christopher Jeyes, had not received the appropriate skeleton argument in good time, apparently preventing the Crown and NPS from providing disclosure on how the decision to breach me had been reached. The main issue here is Campaign Against Antisemitism (CAA) applying political pressure and meddling in my case – a fact they have freely admitted on several occasions.

Sitting with two magistrates, HHJ Egbuna told the court that it would be wrong to listen to the evidence and submissions without first establishing whether or not the decision to breach me constituted abuse of process. I agreed to an adjournment. A two-day re-trial has been scheduled for June 26th and 27th. The most interesting revelation was the admission by the opposition that the decision to breach me had not been taken by my probation officer’s superior, but by someone “from the level above”.

And now for the not-so-good news. As I walked out of court, I was arrested under Section 127 of the 2003 Communications Act by one of four plain clothes policemen – two of whom had been sitting in court. I had half-expected it, having ignored another request for an interview under caution. Still, I was annoyed. Ex-Britain First Intel Officer, half-Jewish Paul Besser, was there to represent Joe Glasman’s Maccabees, Third Division (see below).

As we reached the station, the officer who was driving (who I later discovered was local and just helping out with a colleague) radioed the desk. He announced that they were bringing in a woman “under the Public Order Act”. Police lie to each other, as well as to the general public: a nest of vipers.

Of course, in hindsight, if I had resisted and these officers had been caught on film using violence against me to drag me into an unmarked car... I was interviewed about an Internet podcast, the link to which had been shared on my GAB account. Replying mostly no comment to their questions, it lasted an hour and a half and was utterly Orwellian. No surprises for guessing the name of the “victim” - Stephen Silverman, CAA Director of Investigations and Enforcement. Most questions came directly from Silverman's own witness statement. Others were no doubt the result of police (combined with the intelligence services?) seeking information, as well as a deliberate attempt to have me incriminate myself.

Apparently, because “most people” believe in the gas chamber narrative, anyone who doesn't believe is not “the norm”. I couldn’t help laughing at the irony of being interrogated by police detectives who unquestioningly believe every aspect of the mainstream “Holocaust” narrative - and more: there were, according to DC E. of Lincolnshire Police, homicidal gas chambers at Belsen.

The entire two-hour long Internet podcast had been transcribed, and the offending passages highlighted in yellow. At one point, when my solicitor was absent from the room and the recording was halted with the tape still running, I asked the detectives if they had any actual crime to investigate, for example, child sex trafficker Ghislaine Maxwell, still free to travel the world at leisure. They told me they were unable to answer...

I wondered why both of these men were “good cops” and where the “bad cop” might be. I soon found out. When the interview was over and I was taken back to the lobby, I noticed sitting behind the desk sergeant a dark skinned, uniformed man with large brown eyes, long hair and a black bandanna. His expression was not friendly. I was led back to
A Victorian couple is flying a flag featuring a swastika over their home in a small town in the state's north-west, reigniting calls to ban people publicly displaying the symbol.

The red and black flag, which has a swastika surrounded by several other Nazi-related symbols, has been flying for at least several weeks over a private property in the Mallee town of Beulah, about four hours from Melbourne. Its presence has outraged several neighbours, who have complained to police, and has been condemned by both the state government and the opposition.

Several neighbours have complained to police about the flag.

Beulah police have received multiple complaints from neighbours about the flag over the past fortnight. "We are currently investigating and awaiting legal advice as to what, if any, offences have been committed," Leading Senior Constable Shayne Riggall said.

The matter has also been brought to the attention of Yarriambiack Shire Council, however, chief executive Jessie Holmes said the council did not have the power to request the home owners take it down.

The chairman of the Anti-Defamation Commission, Dr Dvir Abramovich, who has been campaigning nationally to ban the sale and public displays of Nazi materials and insignia, described the flag as a "stomach-turning outrage that is a stain on our community".

"The flying of the Nazi flag in the skies of our nation is like plunging a dagger in the heart of Holocaust survivors and spitting in the face of the Diggers who fought to defeat Hitler and his genocidal regime," he said. "In the aftermath of the massacres in Christchurch, Halie, El Paso, San Diego and Pittsburgh, perpetrated by white supremacists, and a dramatic surge in anti-Semitism in Australia, we should be alarmed about anyone who so openly identifies with the unspeakable crimes of the Third Reich.

CAA, a registered "charity", faces further embarrassment following Friday's publication of Joe Glasman's "Christmukah" video by The Electronic Intifada, (see also December, in my recent Review of 2019). Glasman's copyright claims were a case of closing the stable doors after the horse had bolted.

"We are aware the flag is causing a level of concern. What the flag represents is not in line with the values of council and we are disappointed it is causing offence in the community," she said. 

"However, we don't have a particular level or provision that enables us to act on that. We have received no specific complaint from a person in the community but it has been raised with us that it's there."

When contacted by The Age, home owner Cheryl Lawdorn, who bought the house with her partner last year, defended her right to fly the flag over her own home because of her German ancestry.

The Age
The flag flying over a home in the Victorian town of Beulah.

The controversy over the flag comes as the state government prepares to review Victoria's anti-vilification laws after Reason Party MP Fiona Patten pushed to widen the Racial and Religious Tolerance Act.

Ms Patten's bill aims to widen the state's legislation to include hate speech targeting people for their gender, disability, sexual orientation or gender identity. At present, laws only covers racial and religious vilification and have resulted in just one prosecution in 17 years.

It has been referred to the legal and social issues committee in the lower house and a bill could be debated next year.

It is expected the committee will look at the public display of paraphernalia such as swastikas.

Liberal MP James Newbury, who is the deputy chair of the legal and social issues committee, condemned the flying of the flag and said he believed it had "no place" in society.

"The Nazi swastika represents racial supremacy and is in reality a symbol of mass genocide. The Victorian Parliament is currently reviewing our state's anti-vilification laws. My view is that the flag incites hatred and has no place in our modern society," he said.

A government spokesman said: "Items that promote hatred and violence have no place in Victoria – it's disgusting that someone thinks it's appropriate to fly this flag.

"Last year the legislative assembly's legal and social issues committee was asked to look at the effectiveness of existing anti-vilification laws and the possible expansion of protections."

The inquiry will look at the effectiveness and enforcement of the act, whether it should be extended to protect groups who don't currently fall under the act, how to address online vilification and whether there is increasing vilification in Victoria.


******

**Mail-Times**

Beulah man not taking Nazi flag down despite outcry

* **Alexander Darling**, January 14 2020 - 2:50PM

OUTCRY: The flag flying in Beulah today. Picture: ALEXANDER DARLING

A Beulah man who made statewide headlines after raising a flag with Nazi symbolism has said he will not be taking it down. Bill, who wished not to have his full name printed, said he had had the flag in his garden for a month, having received it as a gift.

He said he had not received any complaints from neighbours, the council or police prior to a story running in a Melbourne newspaper on Tuesday morning.

"I'm not taking it down. If the flag was a banned article I could understand, but you can buy (Nazi flags) on the internet, it's not illegal," he said. "No one came and asked about the flag. I might have considered taking it down if someone came to my door and asked nicely."

Bill said he had been flying the flag to represent his German ancestry. On Tuesday afternoon it remained up, but had been lowered.

His carer Cheryl, who also declined her surname being used, said she was considering legal action against the Melbourne newspaper, which she says incorrectly printed that the flag was hers.

"It has nothing to do with me, but the damage is done," she said.

Premier Daniel Andrews today called for the flag to be taken down. "The people who are displaying that desppicable flag, this is disgusting, it is absolutely disgusting behaviour," he told a press conference. "And if there's any decency in that household they will take that flag down immediately."

Mr Andrews said that anti-semitism as on the rise and that the Jewish community had "made that point to me many, many times. The stats show that. And it is completely unacceptable for anybody to be flying what is perhaps the ultimate symbol of hate. And that is that flag," he said. "That is just disgusting behaviour. Nothing justifies what whatsoever and it should come down."

Yarrambiack Shire chief executive Jessie Holmes said the council was contacted by a Beulah police officer late last week after the officer received complaints about the flag.

"He wanted to know what legislative mechanisms we had to remove it, and we don't have any," she said. "We are working with Victoria Police. Obviously best scenario is the owners take the flag down. From council's perspective this doesn't represent Beulah at all or the wider community. We will work with any statutory agency that has the authority or mechanism to remove it, as we consider it offensive. Beulah is a great town and doesn't deserve the negative publicity. The flag does."

A Beulah resident who did not wish to be named said she thought the flag was "disgusting". "It's not a good look for the town. I don't think most people in town knew the flag was up," she said.

Beulah resident Michelle said she thought flying the flag was "not right" and it wasn't a good look for the town. "I don't think it (the attention the flag is receiving) will have a long-term impact on Beulah," she said.

The Mail-Times has contacted Beulah police for comment.

Read more: Neo Nazis hold training camp in Grampians


********

Nazi flag removed from Australian home following pressure from neighbors and a Jewish lawmaker

By **Henry Benjamin**, 16 January 2020

SYDNEY, Australia (JTA) — An Australian woman has taken down the Nazi flag she was flying from her home following a joint effort by neighbors and local police, as well as a Jewish member of Parliament.

Cheryl Lawdron told reporters that she had German ancestry and had the right to fly the flag featuring a large swastika and other Nazi symbols on her property in the country town of Beulah.

A Beulah man who made statewide headlines after raising a flag with Nazi symbolism has said he will not be taking it down. Bill, who wished not to have his full name printed, said he had had the flag in his garden for a month, having received it as a gift.

He said he had not received any complaints from neighbours, the council or police prior to a story running in a Melbourne newspaper on Tuesday morning.

"I'm not taking it down. If the flag was a banned article I could understand, but you can buy (Nazi flags) on the internet, it's not illegal," he said. "No one came and asked about the flag. I might have considered taking it down if someone came to my door and asked nicely."

Bill said he had been flying the flag to represent his German ancestry. On Tuesday afternoon it remained up, but had been lowered.

His carer Cheryl, who also declined her surname being used, said she was considering legal action against the Melbourne newspaper, which she says incorrectly printed that the flag was hers.

"It has nothing to do with me, but the damage is done," she said.

Premier Daniel Andrews today called for the flag to be taken down. "The people who are displaying that desppicable flag, this is disgusting, it is absolutely disgusting behaviour," he told a press conference. "And if there's any decency in that household they will take that flag down immediately."

Mr Andrews said that anti-semitism as on the rise and that the Jewish community had "made that point to me many, many times. The stats show that. And it is completely unacceptable for anybody to be flying what is perhaps the ultimate symbol of hate. And that is that flag," he said. "That is just disgusting behaviour. Nothing justifies what whatsoever and it should come down."

Yarrambiack Shire chief executive Jessie Holmes said the council was contacted by a Beulah police officer late last week after the officer received complaints about the flag.

"He wanted to know what legislative mechanisms we had to remove it, and we don't have any," she said. "We are working with Victoria Police. Obviously best scenario is the owners take the flag down. From council's perspective this doesn't represent Beulah at all or the wider community. We will work with any statutory agency that has the authority or mechanism to remove it, as we consider it offensive. Beulah is a great town and doesn't deserve the negative publicity. The flag does."

A Beulah resident who did not wish to be named said she thought the flag was "disgusting". "It's not a good look for the town. I don't think most people in town knew the flag was up," she said.

Beulah resident Michelle said she thought flying the flag was "not right" and it wasn't a good look for the town. "I don't think it (the attention the flag is receiving) will have a long-term impact on Beulah," she said.

The Mail-Times has contacted Beulah police for comment.

Read more: Neo Nazis hold training camp in Grampians


********

Nazi flag removed from Australian home following pressure from neighbors and a Jewish lawmaker

By **Henry Benjamin**, 16 January 2020

SYDNEY, Australia (JTA) — An Australian woman has taken down the Nazi flag she was flying from her home following a joint effort by neighbors and local police, as well as a Jewish member of Parliament.

Cheryl Lawdron told reporters that she had German ancestry and had the right to fly the flag featuring a large swastika and other Nazi symbols on her property in the country town of Beulah.
Southwick called on the premier of Victoria, Daniel Andrews, to “Introduce stronger laws to stamp out the public display of the swastika in Victoria.”


---

**Volkslehrer**

**Wewelsburg - 8 Januar 2020**

Auf meiner Reise fand ich mich eines Tages am Mittelpunkt der alten Welt wieder - der Wewelsburg. Damals verbreitete die SS dort Hass und Terror. Heute tut es die BRD. Doch sehet und höret selbst. (Da ich drinnen nicht filmen durfte, muss ein knapper Bericht von draußen genügen.)

*https://volkslehrer.info/videoarchiv/vivat-wewelsburg

Ich war in Marburg unterwegs... mir fehlten stellenweise die Worte. Doch sehet und höret selbst!*  
*https://volkslehrer.info/videoarchiv/schnen-neben-hlich-stadtrundgang-marburg-heimat

**Schuldig Nürnberg - 13. Januar 2020**

*https://volkslehrer.info/videoarchiv/notagainnuremberg

**Zwei 'N' in Nürnberg - Teil 1 – Wiedervorlage**


**Post von Freunden und Feinden –**

Das muntere Postauspacken geht weiter. Diesmal gab es zwei ganz besondere Zusendungen: eine von Ursula Haverbeck und eine vom Sonnenstaatland. Ich habe mich über beide gleichermaßen gefreut! Außerdem gab es richtig was zum Lachen und viele gute Wünsche. Doch sehet und höret selbst!  
*https://volkslehrer.info/videoarchiv/postvonfreunden-und-feinden

---

**Why the big bang was not the beginning**

*First hints are emerging of a universe that existed before our own: an alien world of chaos where time, space and geometry were yet to form*  
https://www.newscientist.com/article/mg23731690-700-why-the-big-bang-was-not-the-beginning/

Compare the Big Bang hypothesis with the current ideological issue: Global Warming/Climate Change, and much like the Holocaust-Shoah belief/ideology, the Big Bang has outlived its critical usefulness...

---

On 13 Jan 2020, at 05:59, Michael Santomauro

reporternotebook@gmail.com wrote:

Moroccan-born French-Jewish Holocaust denier Jacob Cohen delivered a talk titled “The Holocaust and the Zionist Agenda” at the Lawyer’s Club in Rabat, Morocco, at an event commemorating the 10th anniversary of what the organizers termed “the 2008 Gaza Holocaust.” Cohen said that the Nuremberg Tribunal had been a “victor’s court” that had arbitrarily decided that six million Jews had been killed in the Holocaust, and that there had been no mention of the Holocaust until the 1970s, which was a convenient time for the affair to surface because “it fit well with the history of the Middle East [and Israel].” He added that the Holocaust has become a “new religion” complete with its own dogmas, rituals, martyrs, saints, courts, anti-blasphemy rules, and disbelievers – the Holocaust deniers. Cohen also stated that the Zionists are always a step ahead and looking for something for their propaganda.

*https://youtu.be/rlDFRwCP8P0

---

Victorian state lawmaker David Southwick of the Liberal Party contacted the municipal council, local police and residents to assist in coordinating efforts to have the flag removed.

The incident drew condemnation from the local community. The flag was removed late on Tuesday evening.

“Once again, this incident demonstrates the rising wave of anti-Semitism and racial hatred in Victoria and highlights the need for greater State Government action to crack down on incidents such as these in the future,” Southwick, who is Jewish, said in a statement. He told the Jewish Telegraphic Agency that “a Nazi flag displayed in a small fruit farming country town that has people from different multicultural backgrounds, including Jewish and Muslim, shows that nobody is safe from this hate.”

Did the Big Bang really happen? Scientist disputes universe's origin story
Is it time to let go of the Big Bang hypothesis?

Read on: *https://www.inverse.com/article/62192-scientist-disputes-big-bang-theory
* Nobel-Winning Scientist: I Have No Idea if the Big Bang Happened
* Why the big bang was not the beginning | New Scientist

Jan27 International Day of Commemoration in Honor of the Revisionists Who Introduced Sanity to the Auschwitz “Death Camp” Narrative
Greetings and welcome from the Jan27.org committee. We are made up of scientists, engineers, literary text experts, historical researchers, free-speech advocates, skeptics, and the just plain intellectually curious. We invite you to join us!

We chose January 27 for our day of commemoration because of the ceremonies taking place on this date at the United Nations in New York City and at the Auschwitz-Birkenau Memorial Museum in Poland. That commemoration is named the “International Day of Commemoration in Memory of the Victims of the Holocaust.”

We thought it would be a good idea to add our voices to the many that are being heard in those places, telling their stories of gas chambers, torture, starvation, and genocide. Our stories are about science, the laws of physics, technical possibilities and impossibilities, common sense and the constant lowering of the death tolls. We bring Good News! For example:

Right at the very spot that the story of 6 million murdered Jews is being told today and every day, these plaques tell the real story. Up until 1990, the plaque on the left was in place, in several languages, in front of the Auschwitz-Birkenau Monument at the Poland memorial, claiming that four million people were killed here “by nazi murderers” in the five years between 1940 and 1945.

What made them “re-check” and suddenly “discover” (rather admit) their error? Only the growing body of critical, scholarly and scientific writings that demonstrated the impossibility of four million people being murdered in “gas chambers” and then cremated to ashes at Birkenau.

The answer to why the 4 million figure was used in the first place is that it was deemed necessary at the 1946 Nuremberg Tribunal as a support and justification for the fantastic 6 million total number of Jews “murdered.” Who demanded the 6 million number? You should be able to answer that yourself.

But more, the official Auschwitz-Birkenau death number now stands even lower at 1.1 million. There are holocaust historians in good standing who have reduced it to 900,000 and even 700,000. How much lower will it go?

This is just one example of the many changes in the holocaust story that the official establishment has been forced to make due to the critical scrutiny and investigation (at great risk to their own life and liberty) of those we call Revisionists.

The work of Revisionism is ongoing; the resistance to it is deeply entrenched. Therefore our commemoration honors the brave Revisionists who have introduced sanity to what began as a wildly improbable account based entirely on wartime atrocity propaganda. With this annual commemoration we are …

**CALLING FOR A RETURN TO SANITY**

Our first order of business is to honor those holocaust revisionists who are now deceased, among them many of the very first pioneers who blazed the trail for those who followed. We list them here in order of the appearance of their first revisionist work, and we include the persecution they suffered at the hands of the entrenched “Holocaust” forces. Our esteemed honorees are:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Maurice Bardèche</strong>, France, <em>Nuremberg or the Promised Land</em> (1948); book seized and banned in France, author sentenced to a year in prison.</th>
<th><strong>Paul Rassinier</strong>, France, <em>Crossing the Line</em> (1949), second book <em>The Lie of Ulysses</em> (1950); denounced in the French Natl. Assembly, taken to trial but acquitted, expelled from the French Socialist Party, the SFIO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Louis Marshalko</strong>, Hungary, <em>The World Conquerors: The Real War Criminals</em> (1958); lived in exile from Soviet-ruled Hungary from 1945 on; was refused entry into the United States.</td>
<td><strong>François Duprat</strong>, France. <em>“The Mystery of the Gas Chambers”</em> (1967 article); distributed French edition of Richard Verrall’s <em>Did Six Million Really Die?</em> (1974), Murdered by an automobile bomb blast that left his wife crippled; a “Jewish Remembrance Commando” claimed responsibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Louis Fitzgibbon</strong>, Britain, <em>Katyn</em> (1971) plus 6 other books on Katyn through 1979.</td>
<td><strong>Thies Christophersen</strong>, Germany, <em>Die Auschwitz-Lüge/The Auschwitz Lie</em> (1973); served a year in prison, expelled from his homeland, forced to live in exile in Denmark (where his home and business were repeatedly attacked), Belgium, Switzerland and finally Spain until his death in 1997.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>William David McCalden</strong>, USA, <em>Nuremberg and Other War Crimes Trials</em> (1978), pen name Richard Harwood; first director of the Institute for Historical Review; attacked and beaten by Irv Rubin and the Jewish Defense League.</td>
<td><strong>Dr. Wilhelm Stäglich</strong>, Germany, <em>The Auschwitz Mythos</em> (1979); dismissed from his position as a Judge with a reduced pension; University of Göttingen withdrew his Doctoral degree.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To these men we owe our gratitude and our commitment to carry on so that their sacrifices, and those of others still living, will not have been made in vain. It’s a sad fact that in our societies, it is not acknowledged that

**REVISIONISTS HAVE RIGHTS TOO!**

---

**Ernst Zündel**, Canada/Germany, published and distributed *Did Six Million Really Die?*, prosecuted in 1985 and 1988 in the famous Canadian “False News” trials, his ’88 conviction overturned in 1992. In 1995, his home/business was firebombed by Jewish terrorists. Two years solitary confinement in Canadian high-security prison on German warrant, then 5 years imprisonment in Germany after a trial there.

Released March 1, 2010, not allowed to return to his wife in the USA. Authored *Setting the Record Straight: Letters from Cell #7* (2004).


---

**Gerd Honzik**, Austria, *Freispruch für Hitler?/Acquittal for Hitler?* (1988), writer and poet, given numerous fines and jail sentences in Austria, fled to Spain until extradited back to Austria on EU-wide arrest warrants in 2007. In 2009 sentenced to 5 years prison; paroled in 2011 due to age, moved to Hungary until his death in 2018.


**Roger Garaudy**, France, *The Founding Myths of Modern Israel* (1996); fined $50,000 in France.

---


**Dariusz Ratajczak**, Poland, “Tematy niebezpieczne/Dangerous Themes” (1999); book seized; dismissed from teaching at Opele University; banned from all Polish universities for three years; forced into menial, odd jobs. Discovered dead in his car in 2010.

**Dr. Robert H. Connell**, USA, articles and reviews (2002-2004)

---

**Jürgen Rieger**, Germany, Lawyer who successfully defended Ernst Zündel in 1981 and later – for this he was attacked and beaten unconscious, left near dead, his car blown up, his reputation and career ruined.

**Doug Christie**, Canada. Lawyer who defended Ernst Zundel in Canada for 20 years (1985-2005) – for this he was threatened by judges and law societies for “contempt” and “unprofessional conduct.”

---

To these men we owe our gratitude and our commitment to carry on so that their sacrifices, and those of others still living, will not have been made in vain. It’s a sad fact that in our societies, it is not acknowledged that

**REVISIONISTS HAVE RIGHTS TOO!**

---

Special appreciation to:

**To these men we owe our gratitude and our commitment to carry on so that their sacrifices, and those of others still living, will not have been made in vain. It’s a sad fact that in our societies, it is not acknowledged that**

***
How and Why the UN Resolution Came About
The UN Decides a Universal Ban on Revisionism
By Robert Faurisson, 17 November 2005

On November 1st, unanimously and without a vote, the representatives of the 191 nations making up the UN adopted—or let be adopted—an Israeli-drafted resolution proclaiming January 27th “International Day of Commemoration in memory of the victims of the Holocaust”.

Moreover, the resolution “Rejects any denial of the Holocaust as an historical event, either in full or part”.

Historical revisionism thus sees its existence acknowledged by the whole world, a fact proving that it has some life in it, but, at the same time, this decision means that the revisionists find themselves struck with the reprobation of all the countries of the world.

As for the “State” of the Vatican, which has no seat at the UN, it had, as early as in 1992, declared: “There is no historical revisionism that can call into question the inhuman abyss of the Holocaust” («Non c’è revisionismo storico che possa rimettere in discussione l’abisso disumano dell’Olocausto») (L'Osservatore Romano, 7 November 1992).

The history of human societies and religions is rich in prohibitions, bannings, excommunications but, whereas, up to a recent past, the victims could, at least in principle, hope to find a refuge outside of their land or group of origin, here the condemnation is, for the first time ever, of universal character. It is thus confirmed that historical revisionism is a phenomenon of exceptional nature and also that the Jews, yet once more, have been able to obtain exorbitant privileges.

A sleight of hand by the Jews

It was through a sleight of hand that the Israeli delegation succeeded in getting this resolution passed. It proceeded in a manner like that of a campaign against paedophilia, have obtained a law prohibiting, on the Internet, communication relating to paedophilia and ... to revisionism!

To begin, they asked: “Is paedophilia not a horror in itself?” The response was “yes”. Their second step was to add: “Is paedophilia on the Internet not to be banned by a specific law?” The response, there again, was “yes”. As a third step the associations concluded: “Let’s fight, accordingly, to obtain a law against paedophilia and ... revisionism [which they called ‘negationism’].”

For his part, the President of the General Assembly, the Swede Jan Ellasion, had the deftness on November 1st to ask orally whether anyone was opposed to the resolution aimed at commemorating the “Holocaust”.

No hands being raised, he declared, without prior recourse to a vote of any kind, that the resolution was thereby adopted, the text of which contained in one of its provisions the condemnation of any form of “Holocaust” revisionism. The draft was approved by the United States in utter disregard of the guarantees of freedom of opinion provided by the first amendment to its constitution.

And, most remarkably, this Israeli text was accepted by the Arabo-Moslem countries, including Iran. All those present approved, or let pass with soft verbal restrictions, a resolution originating from the Jews that goes so far as to condemn the right of free research on a historical subject.

The UN act assumes only a political and not a juridical character. Still, since it provides that the Secretary General will have to report on the measures subsequently taken within the framework of the resolution, the revisionists will have reason to fear consequences for themselves of a judicial or administrative nature, for instance, as regards border and airport police, authorisation to enter and stay in certain countries or the issuing of visas. The resolution will serve morally to justify and facilitate extradition measures taken against revisionists.

“What we have come to understand is that the holocaust is the secret weapon of psychological warfare of the Powers That Be, which they use to expand and maintain their militaristic empire, to justify wars and subjugation, to foist their financial, economic and cultural system upon others against their will.”

From the Editors of “Auschwitz: The Case for Sanity,” 2010, page 12

JAN27: International Day of Commemoration in Honor of the Revisionists Who Introduced Sanity to the Auschwitz “Death Camp” Narrative
* http://jan27.org/

1. In 1939, there were officially nearly 15,700,000 Jews in the world.(1) After the Second World War, that number had risen to over 18,000,000 Jews.(2) What this means is that of the 15,700,000 original Jews on the planet, 6,000,000 were allegedly gassed, leaving only some 9,000,000-plus Jews on Earth. However, the world Jewish population somehow rebounded - and doubled -
to over 18,000,000 in less than nine years - an astronomical feat.

2. From the beginning, there was always the 'SIX MILLION' of which 4,000,000 were allegedly gassed at Auschwitz. Then, in 1990, it was discovered that only 1.5 million were supposedly gassed at Auschwitz, a difference of 2.5 million less. Yet, the sacred Six Million figure remained untouched and unchallenged, even though no instant replacement for the 2.5 million was ever found.

3. During this time frame, the Director of the Auschwitz State Museum, Dr. Francisczek Piper, announced that the so-called gas chamber there had been fabricated by the Soviets AFTER the war (as a propaganda device to keep the world focused on the evils of Nazi Germany while the Bolshevik communists continued to consume as much of Europe as they could). Dr. Piper's statement is on videotape.

4. But there's more. The International Red Cross, formally and officially reported that less than 300,000 internees of all nationalities in the German camps died of all causes, including old age. (3) And of these, barely more than half were Jews. Most of these died in several severe typhoid epidemics caused by wartime conditions, which claimed many lives throughout Germany - including those of doctors, nurses and camp administrative personnel.

5. Even these deaths were too much for the German authorities. On December 8, 1942, Heinrich Himmler, chief commandant of all detention facilities, issued an order stating categorically: "The death rate in the camps must be reduced at all costs."

6. In all of German-occupied Europe there were 2.4 million Jews. After the war, 3.8 million Jews claimed "survivor" benefits from the German government.

7. It was a miracle. According to The New York Times of Sunday, January 4, 1987, celebrated survivor Elie Wiesel recalled "the day the Soviets arrived at Auschwitz." However, in a speech to the National Press Club in Washington D.C., reported by the Jewish Telegraph Agency on April 11, 1983, he had a different recollection.

(4) He noted that he was "one of the survivors liberated at Dachau by the U.S. Army" on April 15, 1945 - and thus became the only prisoner of war to hold the distinction of being liberated from two different camps in World War II.

8. Not to be outdone by The Wiesel, famous "Nazi-hunter" Simon Wiesenthal, knowled at age 96, knowing that, according to BBC News, he had personally survived no fewer than 12 Nazi "death camps."

9. In 1948, a story appeared about a hapless Jewish girl who was done in by the Nazis. It was written with a ballpoint pen, something that did not appear on the market until after the war. It was called "The Diary of Anne Frank." This document remains highly controversial to this day.

10. So, where did this 'SIX MILLION' figure come from? For that, we must go back to one Ilya Ehrenburg (5), chief Soviet propagandist during the Second World War who later died in Israel. It was Ehrenburg who first coined the mystic number on Dec. 22, 1944 long before tens of thousands of Jewish internees in German camps, given the choice of staying to be "liberated" by the Communists or fleeing West with their German captors, did not hesitate to choose the latter option.

You are encouraged to do your own research on these and scores of other questions relating to World War 2 and the German concentration labor camps.

Notes

1. The American Jewish Committee cites a figure of 15,688,259.
3. When the Red Cross interviewed thousands of freed camp inmates at the end of the war, asking whether they had witnessed alleged "gassings," the response was universally negative. According to IRC document #9925, June, 1946: "The detainees themselves have not spoken of them."
4. In his book Legend of Our Time, New York, 1982, The Weasel explained: "Things are not that simple, Rebbe. Some events do take place but are not true; others are - although they never occurred."
5. The monstrous lies of this Jewish psychopath succeeded in creating a climate of rabid, anti-German hate which led to the death of millions. Here is a typical example of the genocidal daily fare served up to Soviet troops, taken from an Oct. 1944 leaflet to the Red Army: "Kill! Nothing in Germany is guiltless, neither the living nor the yet unborn. Follow the words of Comrade Stalin and crush the fascist beast in its lair. Break the racial pride of the German woman. Take her as your legitimate booty. Kill, you brave soldiers of the glorious Soviet army!"

Anja Boettcher jps73

This is simply a lunatic's rant by an uncivilised USAist and Nazi, which provides nothing but lies, denial of contrary evidence and distortion of facts.

Nazis and Anglosaxonism are closely related - as both root back to the perverted social-Darwinist mindset, born in Protestant extremism and suprematism. You all are nothing but the even more perverted duplicates of your neocon masters, who thirst for destroying Russia and the whole of Europe.

Nobody has any clue of Germany and any respect for Germans who cheers up the most despicable destroyer of my country: Mr scumbag Adolf Hitler, who even intended the elimination of Germans, after they had not achieved to turn his lunatic and hate-driven anti-Russian genocide project into reality.

Hitler, when not successful in eliminating Russian people and the Russian nation, ordered the destruction in his Nero Decree the elimination of Germans and the complete destruction of Germany, when Stalin countered with: "The Hitlers will come and leave, but the German nation will remain."

Without being supplied by the Red Army in May 1945, Berliners would have died miserably - thanks to suicidal Hitlerite grey wolves and their destruction of Berlin's basic life infrastructure (water and food supplies).

No sane German of dignity would do anything with the illiterate Austrian scumbag's grave, if his corpse had survived the coward's suicide, than to piss on it.

With contemptuous greetings - a Catholic German whose ancestors date back to the Merowinger and Carolinger, centre of German culture and science in the Middle Ages, the city in which scumbag Hitler received lousier results in the October 1932 election than in every other on German territory and in which, apart from socialist resistance, 3000 locally patriotic Catholic working class boys,
Edelweiß Pirates, left their lives for fighting the genocidal usurper and uncultured bigmouth, the nobody who had neither proper command of the German tongue nor a least bit of education, while the youngest of these courageous resistance fighters were only 14 years of age.

USAists hate Russians. USAists hate Germans. USAists envy Europe for our cultural tradition and want to eliminate it. Nazis here are nothing but lackeys of Neocons and thus celebrate the destroyer of Europe, whom Russians defeated with huge bloodtoll, while USAists want to complete what Hitler could not: the eradicating of Europe.

You are doomed and damned, fascists.

-----------------

Tim Webb - Anja Boettcher

Adolf Hitler rescued Germany from Jewish-inflicted post-WW1 depravity and destitution by the simple expedient of providing for the printing of 1 RM for every hour a German man worked, and this work was not provided by arms factories, but by building your estimable autobahn network, and by providing interest-free loans to German farmers, and to all who wished to work the land. So successful was he in this endeavour that millions of the destitute returned to work, and within less than a decade, Germany was once more the hegemon of Europe.

This could not be allowed to continue, as the Jewish exists as a parasite upon the goyim, by lending him money he does not have, and demanding the return of the counterfeit loan plus interest. This of course is why he had "Arbeit macht Frei" - rather than "Soap and Lampshades" written above the gates of Auschwitz.

His attack upon the Jewish hell-hole which Russia had devolved into, with the full support of Jewish bankers in the US, was occasioned not because of some variation of genocidal intent, but because Stalin had built hundreds of offensive airfields backed up with assault troops and weaponry on Germany's borders, and so Hitler knew if he did not attack first, the Jewish would plunge Europe into a nightmare such as the one we are beginning to see imposed upon us again today.

But well done anyway, you gave it your best shot, but we are too wise in the ways of the world to fall for your rabid foaming at the mouth.


---

**MSNBC’s Joy Reid:**

**Trump’s Fault That Nazis Are ‘Walking Around’ in America**

On Sunday’s broadcast of MSNBC’s “AM Joy,” host Joy Reid blamed President Donald Trump for what she called Nazis “walking around” in America.

Reid said, “What we’re kind of all getting to is Donald Trump — we were talking about this in the hair and makeup room as well — he produces a kind of anxiety in people that is so profound. It’s part of the reason people are obsessively watching this show and Rachel Maddow. It’s like Rachel Maddow is sort of their psychologist at this point. People are afraid of not just what he can do but what he’s bringing out in people. The kind of people he is surfacing in the United States. You have swatting happening and Nazis walking around. It is a scary time.”

She continued, “You look at the polling on what Donald Trump has done, and rather than make people feel more confident, it’s made people more anxious. Here is the anxiety level in 2018 versus 2019. People are more anxious. Do people feel safer after the strike on Iran? They feel less safe. Everything he does makes people feel worse. So do you think that redounds in particular to any particular candidate?”

Writer Tony Schwartz said, “Let me start with the notion that energy is contagious. It’s disproportionately contagious when you have more influence. So the elevated nervous system of Trump, the anxiety of Trump gets communicated both to his supporters and to his antagonists.”

Follow Pam Key on Twitter @pamkeyNEN

A trip down memory lane
- why two people see the same thing but remember it differently

By Hilary Harper on Life Matters

You both attend the same event or witness the same incident. Have you noticed your recollection of what happened might be in complete contrast to who you were with?

So why might two people see the same thing but have different memories?

And how easy is it to retrieve a memory, or even make a memory in the first place?

Can a work of fiction about the Holocaust be inaccurate?

Last week, the author John Boyne pointed out what he saw as a troubling trend in publishing. “I can’t help but feel that by constantly using the same three words, & then inserting a noun, publishers and writers are effectively building a genre that sells well, when in reality the subject matter, & their titles, should be treated with a little more thought & consideration,” he wrote on Twitter.


The most notable response came from the Auschwitz Memorial’s Twitter account: “We understand those concerns, and we already addressed inaccuracies in some books published. However, [John Boyne’s book] The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas should be avoided by anyone who studies or teaches about the history of the Holocaust”.

They linked to an article on an educational site, run by the Holocaust Survivors’ Friendship Association, which criticised Boyne’s story of the friendship between a Jewish child and the son of a Nazi officer, as historically inaccurate.

John Boyne declined to read this article, he said, because of three minor inaccuracies in the first paragraph. He was quickly excoriated by other Twitter users (he has since deleted all of his tweets), but the point on which Boyne and the Auschwitz Memorial agree – that there is a proliferation of ill-conceived Holocaust fiction – is worth exploring.

The Holocaust in literature has long been a source of tension. Theodor Adorno said: “To write a poem after Auschwitz would be barbaric.” Elie Wiesel wrote: “A novel about Treblinka is either not a novel or it’s not about Treblinka.”

These lines are quoted by Dr Helen Finch, an associate professor and lecturer on, among other things, “literature and the Holocaust” at the University of Leeds. She adds, however, that not writing about the Holocaust was never an option.

“That’s not a way to deal with human experience... What literature can do is imagine the unimaginable and put it in a different kind of context.”

There was writing about the Holocaust from the beginning, she says, but it took a long time to filter into the mainstream. “The breakthroughs were made by [The Diary of] Anne Frank. I think the play particularly was huge. Then in 1978 there was a big television programme called Holocaust starring Meryl Streep.”
The Holocaust in literature has long been a source of tension, and recent novels on the topic are being criticised as ill-conceived by historians.

In the 1940s and 1950s, writers were so horrified about the crimes of the Holocaust they couldn’t imagine how to find words or a literary form to describe it. File photograph: AP

According to Ruth Franklin, author of A Thousand Darknesses: Lies and Truth in Holocaust Fiction, there was a “crescendo” of such literature in the 1990s. “There was a point in the late 1990s when I was researching my book... Every other day there was a new undiscovered memoir or a new novel about the Holocaust.”

Why was that? “The taboo on talking about it lessened. Also, there’s a generational issue. The children and now the grandchildren of Holocaust survivors had come of age and [were] creating their own narratives about family experience or the experience of people they knew.”
Helen Finch notes that in the 1990s there was also a new interest in history “told from below” as well as new access to Soviet archives. Since then, she says, the Holocaust has increasingly entered popular consciousness. “It becomes part of the imaginative background against which everyone is writing now. Whereas in the 40s and 50s people were so horrified they couldn’t imagine how to find words or a literary form for this event.”

The work that has sold best over the years, she says, are often books that make general readers least uncomfortable. “Certainly, in the German context, people like Günter Grass who weren’t actually victims sold much better than people who were victims.”

So certain perspectives are less well documented – Finch notes a relative lack of female or gay survivor testimonies – while other stories become overfamiliar. “There’s no harm in telling a story that is more palatable and accessible and which will attract more readers,” says Franklin, “but I also think it’s useful to provide the context so that people understand that there was no Schindler in every town... When Schindler’s List came out in the 90s there was a spate of ‘saviour narratives’.”

Finch elaborates on this. “Redemptive narratives aren’t great, novels where you have a feel-good factor at the end, trying to draw meaning out of what was essentially a meaningless event... Certainly, in German fiction there’s always the ‘good German’ who pops up, the one German who’s been hiding Jews in their attic. But, the fact is, most people were complicit... So, if you write narrative after narrative in which there’s a good SS guard, you’re falsifying the truth and falsifying the burden of responsibility that lies on the perpetrators.”

[We] meet visitors who come to the Auschwitz memorial and they see the villa of the commandant and say, ‘Oh this is the villa from The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas’ and then we have to explain that, no, the story in The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas, from our historical perspective, couldn’t take place.

Sawicki thinks that as more survivors die and fewer memoirs are published, the role of researchers at the Auschwitz Memorial might shift to fact-checking Holocaust fiction. “There seems to be more and more books being written,” he says. “We have already written historical, factual reviews of two books and we are talking about it and maybe this will be something we do more and more.”

Pawel Sawicki, press officer and educator at the Auschwitz Memorial, is worried. “There seems to be more and more books based on the story of Auschwitz that are not researched properly,” he says. “Some people say, ‘This is fiction so why do we care?’... but there are certain facts that people should respect or take into consideration.”

Recently his colleagues started writing critiques of ahistorical literature. One of the first criticised Heather Morris’s The Tattooist of Auschwitz. “The problem is that readers think, especially when the book is advertised as based on a true story, that everything in the book is accurate and true when in many cases it isn’t.”

Why did they respond to Boyne’s tweet? “The author of The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas made this criticism and we agreed with him as an institution because we had this concern too, but actually we [felt we] should remind people that The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas... is a completely fictional book that depicts some fictional place that is just vaguely linked with the factual story of the Holocaust and shouldn’t be used in factual education about the Holocaust.”

“[We] meet visitors who come to the Auschwitz memorial and they see the villa of the commandant and say, ‘Oh this is the villa from The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas’ and then we have to explain that, no, the story in The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas, from our historical perspective, couldn’t take place.”

Sawicki thinks that as more survivors die and fewer memoirs are published, the role of researchers at the Auschwitz Memorial might shift to fact-checking Holocaust fiction. “There seems to be more and more books being written,” he says. “We have already written historical, factual reviews of two books and we are talking about it and maybe this will be something we do more and more.”

A scene from the 2008 film adaptation of The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas. Staff at the Auschwitz Memorial say the novel and film ‘shouldn’t be used in factual education about the Holocaust’
John Boyne is clearly stung by the criticism on Twitter, but is reflective about the main issue. Why did he tweet about those other books? “If now publishers are saying, ‘We need the Something of Auschwitz’, it’s almost inevitable that the quality of those books isn’t always going to be as high.”

When he wrote The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas, did he think much about the tension between telling the story of the Holocaust and exploiting it? “To be honest with you, no... It was something I’d studied very widely but I was thinking as a writer. I was trying to tell an interesting story...

“I didn’t weigh up the moral complexity of writing a novel like this at the time. I certainly did in the years that followed, when I would travel with the book and talked to audiences and met Holocaust survivors.”

I’ve always made a point of telling the kids that this is a novel, that this story didn’t happen. He stresses that he writes fiction, not history. “The novel is subtitled ‘a Fable’. It is a work of fiction with a moral at the centre. I’m not saying that these characters existed, that this story ever happened... I have created a fictional universe populated with fictional characters...

“My point of view is that a novel cannot have inaccuracies because it’s all made up to begin with. What it can have are anachronisms and I don’t think there are any of those in my books.”

Some of his critics argue that The Boy in the Striped Pyjamas shouldn’t be presented as a factually-based work in schools. “I’ve no issues with that,” says Boyne. “But I don’t think people are that stupid... Every school visit I’ve ever done in any country, I’ve always made a point of telling the kids that this is a novel, that this story didn’t happen and then directing them towards the non-fiction books that I read as a teenager.

“All I ever wanted was that kids would be moved enough by the story that they would develop the same interest in this period of history as I had.”

None of the many Holocaust survivors Boyne has met have criticised the book, he says, though he acknowledges encountering critiques elsewhere. “I’ll give you an example of an interesting criticism I’ve heard possibly more than any other which has made me think,” he says. “Often people say they feel the sympathy in the book is more towards Bruno, who of course is the German boy, than Schmuel, the Jewish boy, and that that makes them uncomfortable...

“I could have told it from Schmuel’s perspective but I remember thinking at the time that that would be wrong to put myself in the shoes of the little Jewish boy... That that’s not something I can really imagine... But in terms of ‘Do I take on anything that people say?’ I would take that one on.”

He hasn’t read the book in a long time, he says. “If I did do that in the book, if I recognise that in the book, it would be something I’d regret.”

***

Holocaust books: experts’ recommendations

---

Maus by Art Spiegelman

A graphic novel based on the experiences of Spiegelman’s father. “It reflects on its own aesthetics . . . while at the same time being an incredibly gripping survivor testimony,” says Dr Helen Finch.

Maybe Esther by Katja Petrowskaja

Finch describes this as a work of “autofiction”. “A woman trying to trace her family history back through the Holocaust.”

Landscapes of Memory by Ruth Kluger

“The Holocaust memoirs that get told more often are by men,” says Finch. “But a lot of the women who went to the camps, their experience is different.”

Primo Levi’s memoirs

“They do an incredible job of depicting the circumstances as he saw them and experienced them, but in a very true to life way,” says Ruth Franklin.

---

https://www.irishtimes.com/culture/books/can-a-work-of-fiction-about-the-holocaust-be-inaccurate-1.4135015

---

....and now from the POWERHOUSE of HOLOCAUST REVISIONISM the real HOLOCAUST HANDBOOKS....
This ambitious, growing series addresses various topics of the infamous “Holocaust” of the WWII era. Most of them are based on decades of archival research in archives all over the world. They are heavily footnoted and referenced. In contrast to most other works on this issue, the tomes of this series approach its topic with the necessary profound academic scrutiny and a critical attitude. Any Holocaust researcher ignoring this series will remain oblivious of the most important research results in the field. *

http://holocausthandbooks.com/index.php?main_page=1

---

Dinner-party anti-Semitism is now unabashed – and getting louder

Zoe Strimpel 12 January 2020 9:00am

Shortly after I graduated from university, I sat with a glamorous friend in the kitchen of the Putney mansion she had been invited to live in by an ageing pop star’s son. I mentioned in passing that I was Jewish, and her reaction was memorable.

“You’re Jewish? But you don’t have the...” – and she mimed a big hook nose.

Growing up in the 1980s and 1990s, mostly in the US, I had rarely encountered that sort of thing. So I laughed. It was almost quaint – my first brush with brazen Jewish caricaturing. This friend later went on to marry Richard Wagner’s great-great grandson, so maybe it wasn’t such a passing moment of naivety after all.

Years passed with very few instances like this. But then, about four years ago, roughly from the dawn of Corbyn’s Labour leadership in 2015, things began to change. Dinner party anti-Semitism passed from being something one heard about
Thus, I recently found myself at lunch in south London with a gaggle of fairly dull Tory types and an Australian woman, very brash, who suddenly made a clean breast of her conviction that “Jews control the media, because they are naturally so good at propaganda. They just are.”

Two thirds of religious hate crimes are directed at Muslims and Jews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikh</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikh</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number and proportion of religious hate crimes recorded by the police, by the perceived targeted religion (2017/18) SOURCE: HOME OFFICE

Then there was dinner last month in Oxford with two conservative male friends. A few glasses of wine in, one, a solicitor at a top American firm, began to orate his view that Jewish insistence on the unique horrors of the Holocaust was egregious and minimised Indian suffering under empire. Particularly disheartening, in part because it’s no longer shocking, was an interchange involving my father. On a work trip to California recently, he found himself at dinner with a party that included the sixtysomething wife of a wealthy British tech executive. She came clean to him – knowing he is Jewish – about how she really feels about Hitler. “I always want to defend him,” she said coquettishly. “He’s a person, too. He had a tough childhood. And who are we to judge?”

My father was flummoxed and checked that he had heard right. He had: and not only that, the next day in the airport, she resumed, further defending herself in knots over possible offence caused. This from someone enjoying the tip-top of society and wealth. It’s as fatiguing as it is depressing, this business. One can muster the outrage for the odd incident, but when one finds oneself repeatedly on the receiving end of offensive rubbish that no other minority would be expected to endure in 2020, battling it alone, one begins to feel like retreating.

Nothing is more jolly than a stimulating dinner with interesting people. But as situation after situation turns nasty, revealing gruesome true colours where Jews are concerned, one begins to feel it may just be better to stay home.

*https://www.telegraph.co.uk/women/life/dinner-party-anti-semitism-now-unabashed-getting-louder/
'I now feel unsafe in this country': protesters weigh in on Labour anti-Semitism row

4 September 2018

Rival groups of protesters outside Labour HQ wade in on the party’s anti-Semitism row

Don’t mix Jews into Zionist politics

Anti-Zionism is NOT Anti-Semitism

Israel is causing anti-Semitism around the world

IHRA Does not Represent Jews and Does not Decrease Anti-Semitism

We're protesting today because it's not up to the Labour party to determine what
the Jewish people define as anti-Semitism. It is up to the Jewish people to define

CORBYN/MILNE .... OFF OUR LAWN!

WHAT OFFENDS JEWS IS OUR BUSINESS - NOT YOURS!

what anti-Semitism is

I was a Labour voter, always a Labour voter. My family were. Heartbroken now. I've got nowhere to vote, I now feel unsafe in this country
I now feel it's unsafe for my children, for my grandchildren and that Jeremy Corbyn is a very dangerous individual.

The argument that Jeremy Corbyn is anti-Semitic is absolutely not true. I think we reject the IRHA definition because I think we're allowed to talk about the state that is actively killing Palestinians and I would argue pushing genocide on the Palestinian people.

Zionism is a right-wing, political ideology which wants to destroy Jeremy Corbyn because Jeremy Corbyn is a socialist and that's why they're here. They're pretending he's an anti-Semite because that's the only way they can get at him.
Ricky Gervais destroys Hollywood liberals:
‘You’re in no position to lecture the public about anything’

Eric StrikerOct 29, 2019 | 1400 words
One of their projects is the Izinga Estate, a mini-Israel inside of South Africa, with a fence totally surrounding it, massive homes and every luxury imaginable. The project is being bankrolled by billionaire property speculator(Jonathan Beare,) a figure never criticized by EFF members who want to reclaim “their” land. Hostile journalists and “Economic Freedom Fighters” have not expressed any interest in ending this Zionist racial segregation being brought to an African nation.

The Guardian’s Dennis Webster, a hipster from rapidly gentrifying Johannesburg, recently ran a Rockefeller Foundation financed hit piece on the Boer town of Orania, located in South Africa’s Northern Cape.

The offense appears to be the 1600 person white enclave's success, economically and culturally. Webster’s implication is that this is apartheid, and must be destroyed.

Orania is an ethical and model reservation. Its bank is owned by its people and uses its own currency. It trades its pecans with China and is completely self-sufficient, with plans for a university and other formal institutions. It has no violent crime (50 people are murdered in South Africa every day).
Most importantly of all, the work in the community is done entirely by formerly unemployed Boers, rather than cheap black labor. For institutionally discriminated against and racially despised Boers living without electricity or running water, Orania is their only hope for work and a life with dignity.

Orania's former president, Carel Boshoff, once responded to Jewish and liberal critics of his national-socialist experiment by proclaiming that he agreed with black leaders about white privilege. He created an option for the nation's white minority to opt out and stop oppressing black people with their skin color! This has not stopped Jews like Ludger Schadomsky or the Israeli Benjamin Pogrund from expressing feigned outrage. The Zionist media has been globally defaming and campaigning against a small group of people minding their own business to the detriment of no one since the 1990s. In no corner of the earth can whites who are not millionaires and billionaires exist unharmed, even those who are traditionally philo-Semitic, like the Calvinist Boers.

**Jewish Orania**

25 years after Nelson Mandela took power, everything in South Africa is worse for everyone but a few thieves. Post-Apartheid South Africa is the most unequal nation on earth, with a 26% official unemployment rate (16% in 1994). Jewish and English capitalist interests are only ones who benefited from the fall of Apartheid. The ANC promised to protect their money, but in exchange it wanted its leadership to be able to loot too.

While ostensibly socialist, the corrupt African National Congress (ANC) under Mandela immediately began privatizing state-owned enterprises and undoing Apartheid era economic policies meant to protect workers and sovereignty once in power. From 1994 to 2010, the percentage of the economy driven by finance went from 6% to 13%, thanks to neo-liberal policies walked along in 2002 by then President Thabo Mbeki's chief economist, the Jew Alan Hirsch.

Politically, there is no reason to vote. Aside from cartoonish levels of black corruption, all the major parties are controlled by Jewish money. The ANC has always been in the pocket of the Oppenheimer. The Democratic Alliance, the right-liberal conservative party the white minority votes for in vain, is headed by a Jew named Hellen Zille who hates her own voters. The Economic Freedom Fighters, an openly anti-white party led by Julius Malema which promises to take Boer land and give it to blacks, is believed to be financed by billionaire Nathan Hirsch and proven to be taking money from gentile tobacco boss Adriano Mazzotti.

EFF parliament members recently promised to take the land home to less than 2000 whites in Orania away by force and give it to blacks. Julius Malema, a man who defiantly calls for farm killings and laughs at the country's rape epidemic, knew he crossed a line when he made "insensitive" comments about Israelis. He abruptly apologized to South African Jewry.

Malema and other low-lives target the rural Boers because they are poor and their race guarantees them no international defenders relative to Jews and a select number of white lackeys collaborating with them in hopes of staying inside "mini-Apartheid" suburban communities with barbed wire and private security.

Here are some "white" racial enclaves the Zionist media won't attack and Julius Malema won't dare threaten:

**Glenhazel**

This 3,000 person Johannesburg suburb is lined with mansions and enormously wealthy. It is known for its Jewish super-majority, which is manifested by scores of Kosher butchers, Jewish restaurants, Synagogues and schools. It is 75% "white" (compared to 8% nationwide), with a minority of blacks living there to provide manual labor and other services.

Jews in the area use a special private contracting firm called the CSO, which keeps blacks from outside the suburb out and those inside in line. The CSO militia not only protects Jews in their day to day business, but even has been accused of attacking pro-Palestinian activists with impunity.

**The Izinga Estate**

In Durban, Jews are settling down in Umhlanga, which, despite its Zulu name, is only 17% black.

One of their projects is the Izinga Estate, a mini-Israel inside of South Africa, with a fence totally surrounding it, massive homes and every luxury imaginable. The project is being bankrolled by billionaire property speculator Jonathan Beare, a figure never criticized by EFF members who want to reclaim "their" land. Hostile journalists and "Economic Freedom Fighters" have not expressed any interest in ending this Zionist racial segregation being brought to an African nation.

**Norwood**

A section of the Johannesburg metropolitan area that was racially integrated after Apartheid, Norwood, is also home to a large Jewish community. Blacks are only 30% of the population, but they are being driven out by hipster-gentrification occurring at a breakneck pace. Publicity for the area is very good, in spite of this racial dynamic.

The Jews and urban bourgeois hypocrites who bash Orania don't want to live around blacks either, but every neo-liberal NGO-backed "journalist" the Oranians let into their village to libel them as monsters on the world stage is a step closer to their demise.

Iran Jet Disaster Setup
By Soraya Sepahpour-Ulrich, Finian Cunningham

The 19-second video published by the New York Times last week showing the moment an Iranian missile hit a passenger jet has prompted much social media skepticism.

Questions arise about the improbable timing and circumstances of recording the precise moment when the plane was hit.

The newspaper ran the splash story on January 9, the day after a Ukrainian airliner was brought down near Tehran. It was headlined: ‘Video Shows Ukrainian Plane Being Hit Over Iran’. All 176 people onboard were killed. Two days later, the Iranian military admitted that one of its air defense units had fired at the plane in the mistaken belief that it was an incoming enemy cruise missile.

“A smoking gun” was how NY Times’ journalist Christiaan Triebert described the video in a tweet. Triebert works in the visual investigations team at the paper. In the same tweet, he thanked – “a very big shout out” – to an Iranian national by the name of Nariman Gharib “who provided it [the video] to the NY Times, and the videographer, who would like to remain anonymous”.

The anonymous videographer is the person who caught the 19-second clip which shows a missile striking Flight PS752 shortly after take-off from Tehran’s Imam Khomenei airport at around 6.15 am. This person, who remains silent during the filming while smoking a cigarette (the smoke briefly wafts over the screen), is standing in the suburb of Parand looking northwest. His location was verified by the NY Times using satellite data. The rapid way the newspaper’s technical resources were marshaled raises a curious question about how a seemingly random video submission was afforded such punctilious attention.

But the big question which many people on social media are asking is: why was this “videographer” standing in a derelict industrial area outside Tehran at around six o’clock in the morning with a mobile phone camera training on a fixed angle to the darkened sky? The airliner is barely visible, yet the sky-watching person has the camera pointed and ready to film a most dramatic event, seconds before it happened. That strongly suggests, foreknowledge.

Given that something awful has just been witnessed it is all the more strange that the person holding the camera remains calm and unshaken. There is no audible expression of shock or even the slightest disquiet.

Turns out that Nariman Gharib, the guy who received the video and credited by the NY Times for submitting it, is a vociferous anti-Iranian government dissident who does not live in Iran. He ardently promotes regime change in his social media posts.

Christiaan Triebert, the NY Times’ video expert, who collaborated closely with Gharib to get the story out within hours of the incident, previously worked as a senior investigator at Bellingcat. Bellingcat calls itself an independent online investigative journalism project, but numerous critics accuse it of being a media adjunct to Western military intelligence. Bellingcat has been a big proponent of media narratives smearing the Russian and Syrian governments over the MH17 shoot-down in Ukraine in 2014 and chemical weapons attacks.

In the latest shoot-down of the airliner above Tehran, the tight liaison between a suspiciously placed anonymous videographer on the ground and an expatriate Iranian dissident who then gets the prompt and generous technical attention of the NY Times suggests a level of orchestration, not, as we are led to believe, a random happenstance submission. More sinisterly, the fateful incident was a setup.

It seems reasonable to speculate that in the early hours of January 8 a calamitous incident was contrived to happen. The shoot-down occurred only four hours after Iran attacked two US military bases in Iraq. Those attacks were in revenge for the American drone assassination on January 3 of Iran’s top military commander, Maj. General Qassem Soleimani.

Subsequently, Iranian air-defense systems were on high alert for a possible counter-strike by US forces. Several reports indicate that the Iranian defense radars were detecting warnings of incoming enemy warplanes and cruise missiles on the morning of 8 January. It does seem odd why the Iranian authorities did not cancel all commercial flights out of Tehran during that period. Perhaps because civilian airliners can normally be differentiated by radar and other signals from military objects.

However, with the electronic warfare (EW) technology that the United States has developed in recent years it is entirely feasible for enemy military radars to be “spoofed” by phantom objects. One such EW developed by the Pentagon is Miniature Air-Launched Decoy (MALD) which can create deceptive signals on enemy radar systems of incoming warheads.

What we contend therefore is this: the Americans exploited a brink-of-war scenario in which they anticipated Iranian air-defense systems to be on a hair-trigger. Add to this tension an assault by electronic warfare on Iranian military radars in which it would be technically feasible to distort a civilian airliner’s data as an offensive target. The Iranian military has claimed this was the nature of
the shoot-down error. It seems plausible given the existing electronic warfare used by the Pentagon.

It’s a fair, albeit nefarious, bet that the flight paths out of Tehran were deliberately put in an extremely dangerous position by the malicious assault from American electronic warfare. A guy placed on the ground scoping the outward flight paths – times known by publicly available schedules – would be thus on hand to catch the provoked errant missile shot.

The shoot-down setup would explain why Western intelligence were so quick to confidently assert what happened, contradicting Iran's initial claims of a technical onboard plane failure.

The disaster has gravely undermined the Iranian government, both at home and around the world. Protests have erupted in Iran denouncing the authorities and the Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corp for “lying” about the crash. Most of the 176 victims were Iranian nationals. The anger on the streets is being fueled by the public comments of Western leaders like Donald Trump, who no doubt see the clamor and recriminations as an opportunity to push harder for regime change in Iran.

*https://sputniknews.com/columnists/202001131078026961-iran-jet-disaster-setup