The following item indicates how the Liberal Member for ‘Goldman Sachs’ worked his beat in the ‘Jewish electorate’ of Wentworth – and retained his seat on federal election day, 21 August 2010. This is perhaps bad news for Fredrick Töben, who may perhaps again become the object of hate of ALP failed candidate, Steven Lewis, who will have to return to his legal duties as a solicitor. However, as he made it an issue during the election campaign that he had pro-bono mounted the case against ‘Holocaust denier’ Fredrick Töben, it would not surprise to see him in a fit of frenzied scapegoating to re-visit the matter, thereby confirming that Jews need Töben but that Töben doesn’t need the Jews in his life.

**Wentworth candidates do battle over Israel, 27 July 2010**

Turnbull slammed the Rudd-Gillard Government’s record on Israel, singling out Australia’s abstention at a United Nations vote on war crimes in Gaza. Turning his attention to local issues, Turnbull criticised Labor for its failure to introduce an emissions trading scheme, its bungled insulation program and “the billions wasted” in the Building the Education Revolution (BER) program.

In response, Lewis outlined the many benefits that the BER brought to Sydney’s Jewish schools, while defending the Labor Party’s record on Israel. “The Australian Labor Party has been and remains a friend and strong ally of Israel,” he said, pointing out Australia diplomat “Doc” Evatt’s role in the establishment of Israel and the Government’s resolution last year in congratulating Israel on its 60th anniversary.

**Lewis also pointed out Prime Minister Julia Gillard’s efforts, as education minister, in getting Holocaust studies included in the draft national school curriculum.** [- emphasis added by A.I.]

He warned the meeting that a vote for Turnbull was really a vote for Abbott. “I have a genuine fear that this country, led by Tony Abbott, will take a path down a conservative road that will not be in the interest of all of us,” he said. “I ask that you vote for me and ensure that Julia Gillard can return and continue the good work that she has started.”

Greens candidate Matthew Robertson expressed his gratitude at being given the opportunity to address the forum before outlining the need for “urgent action” on climate change.

Conspicuously, Robertson did not mention Israel. When asked during question time about the Greens’ policy in light of their frequent criticism of Israel, Robertson said the Greens supported “the right of the Israeli people to live within safe and secure UN-mandated borders”.

“The Greens take support from the core principle of peace and nonviolence, and we wish to see a safe and secure resolution to the conflict in the Middle East,” he said.

Until now it has been unthinkable that a President of the Federal Republic of Germany, someone who has sworn unquestioning obedience to the "Lobby," would abandon his high position and run to the "lifeboat" except under extreme duress. Politically correct morons imagine there are great and meaningful differences between parties in the FRG Lobby but in reality, the whole system is comparable to the super rich owner of a stable of racehorses who enters several horses in every race. To him, it doesn't matter which horse wins! Like the owner of the stable, the political Lobby owns the various parties or "horses" in every electoral race, and so it makes no difference which "horse" wins.

And when for example chancellor “horses” no longer place among the winners, they can easily be replaced by new horses (called candidates.)

The Lobby's artificial currency called the "Euro" functions in a similar manner. This monetary instrument, which has made the Lobby richer than anything else in all history, is now heartily despised by every nation of the European Union, including the Federal Republic. It is now time to do away with the hated Euro so that politically correct FRG DEPPEN (morons) can again be enticed (for a little while) into paying tribute, and this can only be done with the new D-Mark.

The purpose of the Euro was to entice the FRG into meeting its stupendous financial obligations, and what could provide a more convincing rationale for this than "rescuing Europe?"

As an individual state, the FRG Lobby could never have obligated itself to take on the obligations of all Europe and assign the trillions in guarantees to the Global Lobby's financial empire.

When the Lobby's “Holocaust” tribute became inadequate (“peanuts” when compared to a financial bailout of all Europe), the Global Lobby created the Euro with its enormous potential for concentrating the obligations of all Europe. Everything functioned as planned.

It may be that a little more in the way of financial obligations is still required before the Euro collapses completely, but the principal rationale for the treasonous obligations, which resulted from fantastic computer numbers created from thin air ["Digital Euros"] has already been made public.

The “Secret World Government,” the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) in New York, was first exposed by the journalist Wilhelm Bittorf on ARD Television in 1975

[see: WWW.SPIEGEL.DE/SPIEGEL/PRINT/D41406281.HTML]

Obviously the decision was made long ago to pay the DEPPEN in D-Marks, which should keep them quiet for a while.

In this connection the Lobby might switch its present flunkies as well, as is now becoming clear. Almost every TV news broadcast is now demanding the resignation of the Merkel-Westerwelle government.

In 2005, we at the National Journal were a little ahead of the times when we wrote: “The political chaos will begin after the elections on 18 September.”

However, this is exactly the situation that developed one legislative period later.

One could clearly perceive that the Zionist Lobby, the “Secret World Government” in New York, intended to switch currencies and politicians when the high ranking Globalist Hans Olaf Henkel proposed the re-introduction of the D-Mark and EINSTAMPFEN (literally "converting into pulp") of the Euro, which he actually proposed in the ARD.

[ARBEITSGEMEINSCHAFT DER ÖFFENTLICH-RECHTLICHEN RUNDFUNKANSTALTEN DER BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND – Consortium of public-law broadcasting institutions of the Federal Republic of Germany]

Although Henkel (on behalf of his client (the CFR)) had originally demanded the introduction of the Euro in order to avoid "going under," he is now demanding the abandonment of this artificial currency in order to avoid "swamping."

Says he: "I was an ardent supporter of the Euro, but now the rudder must be yanked in the other direction.

This is preferable to running aground with our eyes wide open.” (N-TV.DE for 7 June 2010.)

We re-iterate: Henkel is a Globalist of highest degree whose function is that of lobbyist for Ken Lewis, head of the Bank of America; and needless to say, the Bank of America is a member of CFR, the "Secret World Government."

We should consider the resignation of President Köhler in this context.

His resignation is the most dramatic sign of collapse that we have seen so far.

Peter Gaulweiler, who since 2006 has been chairman of the UNTERAUSSCHUSS AUSWÄRTIGE KULTUR-UND BILDUNGSPOLITIK DES AUSWÄRTIGEN AUSSCHÜSSES DES BUNDESTAGES (Subcommittee on Cultural and Educational Policy) and “Distinguished Friend of Tel Aviv,” called Köhler’s
resignation a “dramatic and unique situation” for Germany and remarked: "For the first time since the days of the Kaisers, our head of state has resigned!" (FR ONLINE, 8 June 2010.)

This is true even though the BRD is supposed to be the most benevolent government in the history of mankind according to the propagandistic self-conception of its rulers. To be “head honcho” of this state, the captain so to speak of this “state luxury liner,” has got to be the highest honor imaginable for a state official or bureaucrat. Until now it has been unthinkable that such a sanctified individual would discard the presidential mandate without waverimg and agonizing, but Köhler chucked it overboard and jumped into the lifeboat without a second thought. This is a hard blow indeed for the FRG System, one that can be construed only as a harbinger of collapse. Such symbolism!
The President of the FRG throwing away his office! The subconscious message for the public is that the System has lost all value. Is this evidence of the Lobby’s strategy of placing new “horses” in the race? Köhler pretended that he had been misunderstood in conjunction with the Bundeswehr’s deployment to Afghanistan, when he said in an interview: “It is my estimation that we are in process, even the broad sectors of our society, of understanding that a country of our size and significance and dependence on foreign trade must realize that in an emergency, even military deployment is necessary to protect our interests. It is necessary to protect free trade and to overcome regional instabilities that would negatively affect our options and opportunities for trade, jobs and income.”

Anyone can see that NATO’s war in Afghanistan is about theft of its natural resources for the Global Lobby rather than “national liberation” (through wholesale slaughter of women and children.)

On page 6 of our printed Issue 57/2001, we wrote “No measures are too violent for America in seizing the world’s last natural resources... Huge reserves of petroleum, coal, and natural gas are lying under Afghanistan’s soil... In addition the country is rich in Lapis lazuli gems.... The American Unocal Corporation has long wanted to lay a gas and oil pipeline through Afghanistan, Pakistan and Turkmenistan. At stake is an initial investment of 4.5 billion dollars, which the Globalists believe can be secured only by the presence of US troops.”

There is additional official confirmation in today’s papers: “American geologists have discovered huge additional deposits of natural resources (Lithium) in Afghanistan that are valued at over a trillion dollars.”

In his BUNDESGEFREUNDE-AGHANISTAN statement, Köhler accurately describes the present situation of the World Lobby. His lie consists of the implication that deployment of German military forces throughout the world would serve German interests, since the BUNDESGEWEHR is actually functioning as a foreign legion for the Zionist Lobby.

In the USA, even the “Secret World Government” ridiculed Köhler’s professed reasons for resigning as well as the pretended surprise of the German political caste:

As SPIEGEL.DE remarked on 1 June 2010: “What the German President said is not debated or denied in the US, according to Washington’s experts on Germany... ‘For us it is puzzling to see a president resign over such a statement’ says Charles Kupchan, a professor at Georgetown University and member of the National Security Committee for Europe during the Clinton Administration.

‘In the USA, it is not debated that military deployments can serve strategic economic interests.’”

There is no connection between Köhler’s remarks on Afghanistan and his hasty “desertion under fire,” however. He is a hard-nosed Globalist who would never resign over remarks or criticisms that organizations such as the Green Party might make.

Furthermore Köhler arranged the German financial contribution of around 12 billion Marks to the USA for its Gulf War in 1991. In his capacity as STAATSEKRETÄR (State Secretary) he was instrumental in the formation of the Monetary Union. On the recommendation of Chancellor Schröder, Köhler was appointed Executive Director of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) in 2000, a position he held until March 2004. In addition, Köhler is a member of the Trilateral Commission.

All this suggests that Köhler created the Euro on behalf of the Trilateral Commission and the FED, for the purpose we have already described. It is firmly established that none but a high ranking Globalist can be head of the Hebrew IMF, and there is no doubt that this is true in Köhler’s case.

In his second Berlin speech on 1 October 2007 he addressed Globalization and emphatically called for its creation. In mid 2010 he apparently received instructions from abroad to begin preparations for placing the present “horses” in their boxes in order to “run new horses” in the upcoming political races. The trillions of Euros guaranteed to the Lobby have for the most part been paid, and so the Euro can now...
be discarded by “cashing in” the southern Euro countries. At the exact time of Köhler’s resignation, the scenario that he (one of the founders of the Euro!) had drawn, became true. In 1992 he actually wrote: “It will not happen that the South European countries will be ‘cashed out’ and the so-called rich countries will profit at their expense. In that case, Europe would fall apart.” (SPIEGEL.DE, 6 April 1992)

Well, this is precisely what happened, as we have seen. Köhler’s scolding of the banksters was obviously pure hypocrisy and diversion since he, as German president, promptly signed the orders for “cashing in the South European Countries” before hastily abandoning the “German Titanic.” Was he carrying out orders he received from the Trilateral Commission and Council on Foreign Relations?

Now the FRG can also go down in the maelstrom of the Euro. New faces will be brought forward to make sure that the Germans with their “Neo D-Mark” continue handing over their trillions in tribute to the Hebrew Lobby for ever and ever (even if still other currencies have to be introduced from time to time.) At any rate, the Germans will be happier paying their tribute with the D-Mark than with the despised Euro. The new Lobby bosses will know how to explain that the old Euro governments left the FRG burdened with debts (and that everything is the fault of the pensioners and their overly generous retirement benefits!)

The Lobby hirelings in the various parliaments will have the task of taking away the retirees’ pensions and putting them in the Lobby’s moneyboxes. They call that “saving.”

We are surrounded by Lobby agents, from Merkel to Schäuble to Westerwelle, who insist that there is no alternative to “saving” and reducing state expenditures.

We are told that every country in Europe has to save, adopt austerity measures and cut spending. However, these obedient politicians have hardly finished trumpeting their slogans about the necessity of “saving” (that is supposedly the only way the Euro countries can be saved from financial ruin) when the Hebrew rating agencies launch another devastating blow against the Euro. The “creditworthiness” of the southern Euro countries has been adjusted downward by the rating agencies (and in this way the European crisis engineered!) on account of their generous welfare programs.

As soon as these countries accepted the austerity measures, however, another lowering of “creditworthiness” is announced – but now it is because of the austerity programs! This is unexcelled CHUTZPA: “The financial world demanded that Spain initiate a policy of austerity and cost-cutting; but as soon as Spain began to seriously comply with their demand, they lowered Spain’s credit rating.

The Fitch agency changed its evaluation of Spain’s creditworthiness, saying that the austerity program would choke off its growth.” (STERN.DE, 29 May 2010)

The Lobby politicians are thus depicted in a worse light than we could have imagined in our wildest dreams. While the FRG prosecutes tax evaders in order to “set a good example” and “rescue the Euro,” the southern Euro countries prefer to reward their plutocratic cheats in return for loaning the State some of their billions in undeclared income. While the FRG prosecutes tax evaders by “setting a good example” and defending the Euro, the “cashiers of Southern Europe” (those who are exploiting the southern Euro countries) prefer to take the opposite approach, namely to reward their plutocratic cheats with incentives if they will loan some of their undeclared billions to the State.

“Spain, the country whose ability to pay its debts is questionable, is planning an amnesty for tax sinners in return for loans to the State... Whoever declares his untaxed income can avoid penalties by buying national bonds at lower interest rates.” (SZ.DE, 7 June 2010)

Thus we see that in the southern Euro countries, tax evasion is rewarded with interest incentives whereas in Germany, the little nursery owner who fails to declare 10,000 Euros income is fined 100,000 Euros so that the Euro can be “rescued” (according to the politicians.)

No wonder the “System” has lost all legitimacy in the eyes of most Germans. The Lobby knows that it is not going to win any more races with their present “horses.” That is why they have to come up with new “horses,” which help for a while.

Köhler’s resignation further discredited the System and necessitated more cosmetic changes. The Lobby is hoping that in future, the Germans will be more willing to pay their tribute if they are allowed to pay in D-Mark once more.

What is about to happen to the Germans is going to be even worse than the effects of the capitulation of the WEHRMACHT in May of 1945. Jean-Claude Trichet, head of the EZB (European Central Bank) has openly admitted this: “There is no doubt that we are now in the most difficult financial situation since the Second World War, even more difficult than the First World War...
We are experiencing really dramatic times.”
(SPIEGEL.DE, 15 May 2010)

When the Euro collapses, the Federal Republic and no one else is going to be held responsible.
This will not be entirely devoid of justice because it was the FRG bosses who drove their own people into the disastrous Euro, along with the other European countries.

Greece gave up a currency that had existed for 2700 years, which was like destroying their identity.

When the Euro collapses hatred for Germany is going to be immense because Europe will have to begin all over again just as though it had gone through another devastating war.

In the coming financial and systemic collapse the Germans in particular are going to lose everything they have worked for.

Following the catastrophe of the military collapse of the Reich in 1945 there was little real hatred in Europe toward the Germans, despite all the show trials and atrocity propaganda of the victors.
The heroic deeds of the WEHRMACHT and WAFFEN-SS in defense of Europe were too widely known, too glorious and admired for real hatred.

The situation will be different after the collapse of the Euro, however.
The FRG gang has perpetrated a financial coup that the people of Europe will experience as stupendous betrayal, and they are going to hate Germany for many decades.

And this time, in contrast to the period following the Second World War, there is no WEHRMACHT and WAFFEN-SS whose heroic glory will offset the hatred.

In addition, many European heads of state will use the Euro collapse that Greenspan predicted to blame the Germans for their own swindles, which will be camouflaged as part of the European calamity.

After the collapse of the EU, pacts and bilateral alliances situations are going to be directed against Germany.

Ironically, they will be alliances against a Germany that, in the delirium of subjugation that has existed since 1945, has fulfilled every demand to ignore its own existential interests, both agricultural and industrial, in order to avoid appearing autarkic.

(In a unified Europe, Germany presumably should not be autarkic or self-sustaining.)
The result is that today Germany is neither agriculturally nor industrially self-sustaining, and its military capability to defend itself in the wake of austerity policies is reduced to providing mercenaries for world wide deployment under the command of the Zionist Lobby.

Germany is kaputt, finished, busted.
It’s all over for the subjugated FRG System, which can only pay more trillions to Zionist extortionists.

And all this has come about because of fears of a punitive Third World War, because the FRG elite, acting from personal greed, insisted on so-called “Holocaust Guilt,” using repressive laws to force fictitious guilt onto their own VOLK.

***

"Germanophilic Germanist” J M Damon of Austin Texas is a former language instructor who translates noteworthy articles for the benefit of Germanophiles who do not read German.

***

Here’s freedom to him who would speak,
Here’s freedom to him who would write;
For there’s none ever feared that the truth should be heard,
Save him whom the truth would indict!

ROBERT BURNS (1759–96)

***

Treason doth never prosper, what’s the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason!

Sir John Harrington (1561-1612.)

__________________________________________________

Captain Eric H. May
Intelligence Editor,
The Lone Star Iconoclast

From: Captain May captainmay@prodigy.net
Subject: ICON EXTRA -- Bibi and Rudy in London on 7/7/05!
To: "Lone Star Iconoclast"
news@lonestaricon.com
Date: Thursday, July 8, 2010, 1:00 AM

ICON EXTRA -- Bibi and Rudy in London on 7/7/05!

HOUSTON, 7/7/10 -- Bibi knows all about the 7/7 shenanigans of five years ago. He should, since he was within a mile of the scene of the crime when it happened. He had just arrived in London for a three-day stay to be the keynote speaker for an Israeli investment conference, according to USA Today. "9/11 Mayor" Rudy Giuliani was in town on business, too, reported The New York Times, a mere block
away from the first explosion. World leaders were meeting in Scotland for the annual G-8 summit. Unbelievable.

"When adders assemble, the wise will tremble"
Under "Beelzebib" Israel is living up to the European Union poll of 2002, which by a wide margin voted it the most dangerous country on Earth. They are attacking the Palestinian problem, with devastating military power. They are settling the thorny issue of Jerusalem, with extensive illegal settlement. They have three nuclear-armed submarines prowling the Persian Gulf, with three of our nuclear aircraft carriers. The media is silent about the perilous path we are following.

***

HOUSTON, 7/7/10 -- Queen Elizabeth has returned to the UK after appearances yesterday in New York, first before the UN General Assembly, then at Ground Zero. Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu spent the day with Barrack Obama, and remains in the U.S. tonight after an interview with CNN's Larry King. [Given the breaking news above, that interview must be seen. -- CM]

HRM's Independence Day visit was highly significant, and highly symbolic. As she addressed the UN, most of the English-speaking world prepared for an Iran war that might spin out of control. Her presence at the 9/11 crime scene was a reinforcement of the 9/11 cover-up, before returning home to sell the 7/7 cover-up.

In 2005, Prince Charles visited both Ground Zero in New York and the Ninth Ward in New Orleans. The future British monarch stood over the prostrate city that, in the War of 1812, humbled British pride. Many citizens swore before Congress that their levees were demolished with explosives. Shades of 9/11 -- were they all lying?

Bush Boyz gloated over the disaster capitalist gold mine they saw before them. King George did a flyover and quipped that it was as if someone had attacked the place with the most devastating weapon ever invented. Mayor Ray Nagin said that if he were suddenly to die, it would be CIA assassination. CNN weathermen and the newsbunnies chattered about "Hurricane 9/11."

To target a more black population in the Americas you would have to fire your devastating super-weapon into a former slave colony like Haiti...

[Breaking news interrupted the rest. More later. -- CM]


***

The Runaway General

Stanley McChrystal, Obama's top commander in Afghanistan, has seized control of the war by never taking his eye off the real enemy: The wimps in the White House

By Michael Hastings

This article appears in RS 1108/1109 from July 8-22, 2010, on newsstands Friday, June 25.

June 22, 2010 "Rolling Stone" -- 'How'd I get screwed into going to this dinner?' demands Gen. Stanley McChrystal. It's a Thursday night in mid-April, and the commander of all U.S. and NATO forces in Afghanistan is sitting in a four-star suite at the Hôtel Westminster in Paris.

He's in France to sell his new war strategy to our NATO allies -- to keep up the fiction, in essence, that we actually have allies. Since McChrystal took over a year ago, the Afghan war has become the exclusive property of the United States. Opposition to the war has already toppled the Dutch government, forced the resignation of Germany's president and sparked both Canada and the Netherlands to announce the withdrawal of their 4,500 troops. McChrystal is in Paris to keep the French, who have lost more than 40 soldiers in Afghanistan, from going all wobbly on him.

"The dinner comes with the position, sir," says his chief of staff, Col. Charlie Flynn.

McChrystal turns sharply in his chair.

"Hey, Charlie," he asks, "does this come with the position?"

McChrystal gives him the middle finger.

On the ground with the Runaway General: Photos of Stanley McChrystal at work. The general stands and looks around the suite that his traveling staff of 10 has converted into a full-scale operations center. The tables are crowded with silver Panasonic Toughbooks, and blue cables crisscross the hotel’s thick carpet, hooked up to satellite dishes to provide encrypted phone and e-mail communications. Dressed in off-the-rack civilian casual -- blue tie, button-down shirt,
dress slacks – McChrystal is way out of his comfort zone. Paris, as one of his advisers says, is the "most anti-McChrystal city you can imagine." The general hates fancy restaurants, rejecting any place with candles on the tables as too "Gucci." He prefers Bud Light Lime (his favorite beer) to Bordeaux, Talladega Nights (his favorite movie) to Jean-Luc Godard. Besides, the public eye has never been a place where McChrystal felt comfortable: Before President Obama put him in charge of the war in Afghanistan, he spent five years running the Pentagon's most secretive black ops.

The Spill, The Scandal and the President: How Obama let BP get away with murder.

"What's the update on the Kandahar bombing?" McChrystal asks Flynn. The city has been rocked by two massive car bombs in the past day alone, calling into question the general's assurances that he can wrest it from the Taliban. "We have two KIAs, but that hasn't been confirmed," Flynn says.

Somebody takes a final look around the suite. At 55, he is gaunt and lean, not unlike an older version of Christian Bale in Rescue Dawn. His slate-blue eyes have the unsettling ability to drill down when they lock on you. If you've fucked up or disappointed him, they can destroy your soul without the need for him to raise his voice.

Looting Main Street: Matt Taibbi on how the nation's biggest banks are ripping off American cities. The next morning, McChrystal and his team gather to prepare for a speech he is giving at the École Militaire, a French military academy. The general prides himself on being sharper and bальнier than anyone else, but his brashness comes with a price: Although McChrystal has been in charge of the war for only a year, in that short time he has managed to piss off almost everyone with a stake in the conflict. Last fall, during the question-and-answer session following a speech he gave in London, McChrystal dismissed the counterterrorism strategy being advocated by Vice President Joe Biden as "shortsighted," saying it would lead to a state of "Chaos-istan." The remarks earned him a scolding down from the president himself, who summoned the general to a terse private meeting aboard Air Force One. The message to McChrystal seemed clear: Shut the fuck up, and keep a lower profile.

Now, flipping through printout cards of his speech in Paris, McChrystal wonders aloud what Biden question he might get today, and how he should respond. "I never know what's going to pop out until I'm up there, that's the problem," he says. Then, unable to help themselves, he and his staff imagine the general dismissing the vice president with a good one-liner.

"Are you asking about Vice President Biden?" McChrystal says with a laugh. "Who's that?"

"Biden," suggests a top adviser. "Did you say: Bite Me?"

When Barack Obama entered the Oval Office, he immediately set out to deliver on his most important campaign promise on foreign policy: to refocus the war in Afghanistan on what led us to invade in the first place. "I want the American people to understand," he announced in March 2009. "We have a clear and focused goal: to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat Al Qaeda in Pakistan and Afghanistan." He ordered another 21,000 troops to Kabul, the largest increase since the war began in 2001. Taking the advice of both the Pentagon and the Joint Chiefs of Staff, he also fired Gen. David McKiernan – then the U.S. and NATO commander in Afghanistan – and replaced him with a man he didn't know and had met only briefly: Gen. Stanley McChrystal. It was the first time a top general had been relieved from duty during wartime in more than 50 years, since Harry Truman fired Gen. Douglas MacArthur at the height of the Korean War. Even though he had voted for Obama, McChrystal and his new commander in chief failed from the outset to connect. The general first encountered Obama a week after he took office, when the president met with a dozen senior military officials in a room at the Pentagon known as the Tank. According to sources familiar with the meeting, McChrystal thought Obama looked "uncomfortable and intimidated" by the roomful of military brass. Their first one-on-one meeting took place in the Oval Office four months later, after McChrystal got the Afghanistan job, and it didn't go much better. "It was a 10-minute photo op," says an adviser to McChrystal. "Obama clearly didn't know anything about him, who he was. Here's the guy who's going to run his president's ass. It's fucking get away with murder.
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Afghanistan is a bad idea: It's expensive; we're in an economic crisis; a decade-long commitment would sap American power; Al Qaeda has shifted its base of operations to Pakistan. Then, without ever using the words "victory" or "win," Obama announced that he would send an additional 30,000 troops to Afghanistan, almost as many as McChrystal had requested. The president had thrown his weight, however hesitantly, behind the counterinsurgency crowd.

Today, as McChrystal gears up for an offensive in southern Afghanistan, the prospects for any kind of success look bleak. In June, the death toll for U.S. troops passed 1,000, and the number of IEDs has doubled. Spending hundreds of billions of dollars on the fifth-poorest country on earth has failed to win over the civilian population, whose attitude toward U.S. troops ranges from intensely wary to openly hostile. The biggest military operation of the year – a ferocious offensive that began in February to retake the southern town of Marja – continues to drag on, prompting McChrystal himself to refer to it as a "bleeding ulcer." In June, Afghanistan officially outpaced Vietnam as the longest war in American history – and Obama has quietly begun to back away from the deadline he set for withdrawing U.S. troops in July of next year. The president finds himself stuck in something even more insane than a quagmire: a counterinsurgency he impatiently walked into, even as he's precisely the kind of gigantic mind-numbing, multigenerational nation-building project he explicitly said he didn't want.

Even those who support McChrystal and his strategy of counterinsurgency know that whatever the general manages to accomplish in Afghanistan, it's going to look more like Vietnam than Desert Storm. "It's not going to look like a win, smell like a win or taste like a win," says Maj. Gen. Bill Mayville, who serves as chief of operations for McChrystal. "This is going to end in an argument."

The night after his speech in Paris, McChrystal and his staff head to Kitty O'Shea's, an Irish pub catering to tourists, around the corner from the hotel. His wife, Annie, has joined him for a rare visit: Since the Iraq War began in 2003, she has seen her husband less than 30 days a year. Though it is his and Annie's 33rd wedding anniversary, McChrystal has invited his inner circle along for dinner and drinks at the "least Gucci" place his staff could find. His wife isn't surprised. "He once took me to a Jack in the Box when I was dressed in formalwear," she says with a laugh. The general is a three-time divorced father of four children – a hardbitten collection of killers, spies, geniuses, patriots, political operators and outright maniacs. There's a former head of British Special Forces, two Navy Seals, an Afghan Special Forces commando, a lawyer, two fighter pilots and at least two dozen combat veterans and counterinsurgency experts. They jokingly refer to themselves as Team America, taking the name from the South Park episode in which the characters create governance reform. There is no equivalent position on the diplomatic or political side. Instead, an assortment of administration players compete over the Afghan portfolio: U.S. Ambassador Karl Eikenberry, Special Representative to Afghanistan Richard Holbrooke, National Security Advisor Jim Jones and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, not to mention 40 or so other coalition ambassadors and a host of talking heads who try to insert themselves into the mess, from John Kerry to John McCain. This diplomatic incoherence has effectively allowed McChrystal's team to call the shots and hampered efforts to build a stable and credible government in Afghanistan. "It's the most nightmarish thing I've ever seen," says Bill Biddle, a senior fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations who supports McChrystal. "The military cannot by itself create governance reform."

Part of the problem is structural: The Defense Department budget exceeds $600 billion a year, while the State Department receives only $50 billion. But part of the problem is personal: In private, Team McChrystal likes to talk shit about many of Obama's top people on the diplomatic side. One aide calls Jim Jones, a retired four-star general and veteran of the Cold War, a "clown" who remains "stuck in 1985." Politicians like McCain and Kerry, says another aide, "turn up, have a meeting with Karzai, criticize him at the airport press conference, then get back for the Sunday talk shows. Frankly, it's not very helpful."

Only Hillary Clinton receives good reviews from McChrystal's inner circle. "Hillary had Stan's back during the strategic review," says an adviser. "She said, 'If Stan wants it, give him what he needs.'"

McChrystal reserves special skepticism for Holbrooke, the official in charge of reintegrating the Taliban. "The Boss says he's like a wounded animal," an aide mentions of the general's team. "Holbrooke keeps hearing rumors that he's going to get fired, so that makes him dangerous. He's a brilliant guy, but he just comes in, pulls on a lever, whatever he can grab onto. But this is COIN, and you can't just have someone yanking on shit."

At one point on his trip to Paris, McChrystal checks his BlackBerry. "Oh, boy," he groans. "I don't even want to open it." He clicks on the message and reads the salutation out loud, then stuffs the BlackBerry back in his pocket, not bothering to conceal his annoyance.

"Make sure you don't get any of that on your leg," an aide jokes, referring to the e-mail.

By far the most bruised and strained – relationship is between McChrystal and Eikenberry, the U.S. ambassador. According to those close to the two men, Eikenberry – a retired three-star general who served in Afghanistan in 2002 and 2005 – can't stand that his former subordinate is now calling the shots. He's also furious that McChrystal, backed by NATO's allies, refused to put Eikenberry in the pivotal role of viceroy in Afghanistan, which would have made him the diplomatic equivalent of the general. The job instead went to British Ambassador Mark Sedwill – a move that effectively increased McChrystal's influence over diplomacy by shutting out a powerful rival. "In reality, that position needs to be filled by an American for it to have weight," says a U.S. official familiar with the negotiations.

The relationship was further strained in January, when a
We were fighting in Afghanistan, a sport he was particularly good at during the Eighties. It was also an ideal partner. "He's a brilliant. His most compelling work was extracurricular: As g editor of The Pointer, the West Point literary magazine, McChrystal wrote seven short stories that eerily foreshadow many of the issues he would confront in his career. In one tale, a fictional officer complains about the difficulty of training foreign troops to fight; in another, a 19-year-old soldier kills a boy he mistakes for a terrorist. In "Brinkman's Note," a piece of suspense fiction, the unnamed narrator appears to be trying to stop an assassination attempt. It turns out, however, that the narrator's mission, and his foiled assassination attempt, is not the White House: "The President strode in smiling. From the right coat pocket of the raincoat I carried, I slowly drew forth my 32-caliber pistol. In Brinkman's failure, I had succeeded." After graduation, 2nd Lt. Stanley McChrystal entered an Army that was all but broken in the wake of Vietnam. "We really felt we were a peacetime generation," he recalls. "There was the Gulf War, but even that didn't feel like that big of a deal." So McChrystal spent his career where the action was: He enrolled in Special Forces school and became a regimental commander of the 3rd Ranger Battalion in 1986. It was a dangerous position, even in peacetime - nearly two dozen Rangers were killed in training accidents during the Eighties. It was also an unorthodox career path: Most soldiers who want to climb the ranks to general don't go into the Rangers. Displaying a penchant for transforming systems he considers outdated, McChrystal set out to revolutionize the training regime for the Rangers. He introduced mixed martial arts, required every soldier to qualify with night-vision goggles on the rifle range, and forced them to build up their endurance through weekly marches involving heavy backpacks. In the late 1990s, McChrystal shrewdly improved his inside game, spending a year at Harvard's Kennedy School of Government and then at the Council on Foreign Relations, where he co-authored a treatise on the merits and drawbacks of humanitarian interventionism. But as he moved up through the ranks, he was also learning from all the skills he had learned as a troublemaking kid at West Point: knowing precisely how far he could go in a rigid military hierarchy without getting tossed out. Being a highly intelligent badass, he discovered, could take you far - especially in the political chaos that followed September 11th. "He was very focused," says Annie. "Even as a young officer he seemed to know what he wanted to do... I don't think his personality has changed in all these years." By some accounts, McChrystal's career should have been over at least two times by now. As Pentagon spokesman during the invasion of Iraq, the general seemed more like a White House mouthpiece than an up-and-coming commander with a reputation for speaking his mind. When Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld made his infamous "stuff happens" remark during the looting of Baghdad, McChrystal backed him up. A few days later, he echoed the president's Mission Accomplished gaffe by insisting that major combat operations in Iraq were over. But it was during his next stint - overseeing the military's most elite units, including the Rangers, Navy Seals and Delta Force -
that McChrystal took part in a cover-up that would have destroyed the career of a lesser man. After Cpl. Pat Tillman, the former-NFL-star-turned-Ranger, was accidentally killed by his own troops in Afghanistan in April 2004, McChrystal took an active role in creating the impression that Tillman had died at the hands of Taliban fighters. He signed off on a falsified recommendation for a Silver Star that suggested Tillman had been killed by enemy fire. (McChrystal would later claim he didn’t read the recommendation closely enough – a strange excuse for a commander known for his laserlike attention to minute details.) A week later, McChrystal sent a memo up the chain of command, specifically warning that President Bush should avoid mentioning the cause of Tillman’s death. “If the circumstances of Corporal Tillman’s death become public,” he wrote, it could cause “public embarrassment” for the president.

“The false narrative, which McChrystal clearly helped construct, diminished Pat’s true actions,” wrote Tillman’s mother, Mary, in her book Boots on the Ground by Dusk. McChrystal got away with it, she added, because he was the “golden boy” of Rumsfeld and Bush, who loved his willingness to get things done, even if it included bending the rules or skipping the chain of command. Nine days after Tillman’s death, McChrystal was promoted to major general.

Two years later, in 2006, McChrystal was tainted by a scandal involving detainee abuse and torture at Camp Nama in Iraq. According to a report by Human Rights Watch, prisoners at the camp were subjected to a now-familiar litany of abuse: stress positions, being dragged naked through the mud. McChrystal was not disciplined in the scandal, even though an interrogator at the camp reported seeing him inspect the prison multiple times. But the experience was so unsettling to McChrystal that he tried to prevent detainee operations from being placed under his command in Afghanistan, viewing them as a “political swamp,” according to a U.S. official. In May 2009, as McChrystal prepared for his confirmation hearings, his staff prepared him for hard questions about Camp Nama and the Tillman cover-up. But the scandals barely made a ripple in Congress, and McChrystal was soon on his way back to Kabul to run the war in Afghanistan.

The media, to a large extent, have also given McChrystal a pass on both controversies. Where Gen. Petraeus is kind of a dweeb, a teacher’s pet with a Ranger’s tab, McChrystal is a snake-eating rebel, a “Jedi” commander, as Newsweek called him, who, unlike Petraeus, rarely shows up to brief on the ground. He has blue hair and a mohawk. He speaks his mind with a candor rare for a high-ranking official. He asks for opinions, and seems genuinely interested in the response. He gets briefings on his iPod and listens to books on tape. He carries a custom-made set of nunchucks in his convoy engraved with his name and four stars, and his itinerary often bears a familiar quote from Bruce Lee: “Be like water. Flow where you want to. If you put me in a wooden barrel, I can become a wooden barrel. If you put me in a glass, I can become a glass. There are no limits, and you must not stay there, you must go beyond them.”

He went out on dozens of nighttime raids during his time in Iraq, unprecedented for a top commander, and turned up on missions unannounced, with almost no entourage. “The fucking lads love Stan McChrystal,” says a British officer who serves in Kabul. “They’d like to use you. You’re somewhere in Iraq, and someone would take a knee beside you, and a corporal would be like ‘Who the fuck is that?’ And it’s fucking Stan McChrystal.”

It doesn’t hurt that McChrystal was also extremely successful as head of the Joint Special Operations Command, the elite forces that carry out the government’s darkest ops. During the Iraq surge, his team killed and captured thousands of insurgents, including Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, the leader of Al Qaeda in Iraq. “JSOC was a killing machine,” says Maj. Gen. Mayville, his chief of operations. McChrystal was also open to new ways of killing. He systematically mapped out terrorist networks, targeting specific insurgents and hunting them down – often with the help of cyberfreaks traditionally shunned by the military. “The Boss would find the 24-year-old kid with a nose ring, with some fucking brilliant degree from MIT, sitting in the corner with 16 computer monitors humming,” says a Special Forces commando who worked with McChrystal in Iraq and now serves on his staff in Kabul. “He’d say, ‘Hey – you fucking muscleheads couldn’t find lunch without help. You got to work together with these guys.’”

Even in his new role as America’s leading evangelist for counterinsurgency, McChrystal retains the deep-seated instincts of a terroristor hunter. To put pressure on the Taliban, he has upped the number of Special Forces units in Afghanistan from four to 19. “You better be out there hitting four or five targets tonight,” McChrystal will tell a Navy SEAL he sees in the hallway at headquarters. Then he’ll add, “I’m going to have to scold you in the morning for it, though.” In fact, the general frequently finds himself apologizing for the disastrous consequences of counterinsurgency. In the first four months of this year, NATO forces killed some 90 civilians, up 76 percent from the same period in 2009 – a record that has created tremendous resentment among the very population that COIN theory is intent on winning over. In February, a Special Forces night raid ended in the deaths of two pregnant Afghan women and allegations of a cover-up, and in April, protests erupted in Kandahar after U.S. forces accidentally shot up a bus, killing five Afghans. “We’ve shot an amazing number of people,” McChrystal recently conceded. Despite the tragedies and miscues, McChrystal has issued some of the strictest directives to avoid civilian casualties that the U.S. military has ever encountered in a war zone. It’s “insurgent math,” as he calls it – for every innocent person you kill, you create 10 new enemies. He has ordered convoys to curtail their reckless driving, put restrictions on the use of air power and severely limited night raids. He regularly apologizes to Hamid Karzai when civilians are killed, and berates commanders responsible for civilian deaths. “For a while,” says one U.S. official, “the most dangerous place to be in Afghanistan was in front of McChrystal after a ‘civ cas’ incident.” The ISAF command has even discussed ways to make not killing into something you can win an award for: There’s talk of creating a new medal for “courageous restraint,” a buzzword that’s unlikely to gain much traction in the gung-ho culture of the U.S. military.

But however strategic they may be, McChrystal’s new marching orders have caused an intense backlash among his own troops. Being told to hold their fire, soldiers complain, puts them in greater danger of being shot at. But just before McChrystal returned from Paris, an ISAF commander, and t...
member platoon had lost in a year, and Arroyo was writing to see if the general would attend Ingram's memorial service. "He started to look up to you," Arroyo wrote. McChrystal said he would try to make it down to pay his respects as soon as possible.

The night before the general is scheduled to visit Sgt. Arroyo's platoon for the memorial, I arrive at Combat Outpost JFM to speak with the soldiers he had gone on patrol with. JFM is a small encampment, ringed by high blast walls and guard towers. Almost all of the soldiers here have been on repeated combat tours in both Iraq and Afghanistan, and have seen some of the worst fighting of both wars. But they are especially angered by Ingram's death. His commanders had repeatedly requested permission to tear down the house where Ingram was killed, noting that it was often used as a combat position by the Taliban. But due to McChrystal's new restrictions to avoid upsetting civilians, the request had been denied. "These were abandoned houses," fumes Staff Sgt. Kenneth Hicks. "Nobody was coming back to live in them."

One soldier shows me the list of new regulations the platoon was given. "Patrol only in areas that you are reasonably certain that you will not have to defend yourselves with lethal force," the laminated card reads. For a soldier who has traveled halfway around the world to fight, that's like telling a cat not to hunt. But the only path he knows where he won't have to make arrests. "Does that make any fucking sense?" asks Pfc. Jared Pautsch. "We should just drop a fucking bomb on this place. You sit and ask yourself: What are we doing here?"

The rules handed out here are not what McChrystal intended – they've been distorted as they passed through the chain of command – but knowing that does nothing to lessen the anger of troops on the ground. "Fuck, when I came over here and heard that McChrystal was in charge, I thought we would get our fucking gun on," says Hicks, who has served three tours of combat. "I get COIN. I get all that. McChrystal comes here, explains it, it makes sense. But then he goes away on his bird, and by the time his directives get passed down to us through Big Army, they're all f**ked up – either because somebody is trying to cover their ass, or because they just don't understand it themselves. But we're fucking losing this thing."

McChrystal and his team show up the next day. Underneath a tent, the general has a 45-minute discussion with some two dozen soldiers. The atmosphere is tense. "I ask you what you go through every day, and you guys say you're not in the Vietcong's ass, they're not in your head. You're out there and you're going to get killed if you don't have a weapon is immediately a minus. I can't just decide: It's shirts and skins, and we'll do it."

A soldier complains that under the rules, any insurgent who doesn't have a weapon is immediately assumed to be a civilian. "That's the way this game is," McChrystal says. "It's complex. I can't just decide: It's shirts and skins, and we'll kill all the shirts."

As the discussion ends, McChrystal seems to sense that he hasn't succeeded at easing the men's anger. He makes one last-ditch effort to reach them, acknowledging the death of Cpl. Ingram. "There's no way I can make that easier," he tells them. "No way I can pretend it won't hurt. No way I can tell you not to feel that. . . . I will tell you, you're doing a great job. Don't let the frustration get to you." The session ends with no clapping, and no real resolution. McChrystal may have sold President Obama on counterinsurgency, but many of his own men aren't buying it.

When it comes to Afghanistan, history is not on McChrystal's side. The only foreign invader to have any success here was Genghis Khan – and he wasn't hampered by things like human rights, economic development and press scrutiny. The COIN doctrine, bizarrely, draws inspiration from some of the biggest Western military embarrassments in recent memory: France's nasty war in Algeria (lost in 1962) and the American misadventure in Vietnam (lost in 1975). McChrystal, like other advocates of COIN, readily acknowledges that counterinsurgency campaigns are inherently messy, expensive and easy to lose. "Even Afghans are confused by Afghanistan," he says. But even if he somehow manages to succeed, after years of bloody fighting with Afghan kids who pose no threat to the U.S. homeland, the war will do little to shut down Al Qaeda, which has shifted its operations to Pakistan. Dispatching 150,000 troops to build new schools, roads, mosques and water-treatment facilities around Kandahar is like trying to stop the drug war in Mexico by occupying Arkansas and building Baptist churches in Little Rock. "It's all very cynical, politically," says Marc Sageman, a former CIA case officer who has extensive experience in the region. "Afghanistan is not in our vital interest – there's nothing for us there."

In mid-May, two weeks after visiting the troops in Kandahar, McChrystal travels to the White House for a high-level meeting by himself. "I think we're doing a great job. Don't let the frustration get to you." The session ends with no clapping, and no real resolution. President Obama sings the praises of Karzai. The two leaders talk about how great their relationship is, about the pain they feel over civilian casualties. They mention the word "progress" 16 times in under an hour. But there is no mention of victory. Still, the session represents the most forceful commitment that Obama has made to McChrystal's strategy in months. "There is no denying the progress that the Afghan people have made in recent years – in education, in health care and economic development," the president says. "As I saw in the lights across Kabul when I landed – lights that would not have been visible just a few years earlier."

It is a disconcerting observation for Obama to make. During the worst years in Iraq, when the Bush administration had no real progress to point to, officials used to offer up the exact same evidence of success. "It was one of our first impressions," one GOP official said in 2006, after landing in Baghdad at the height of the sectarian violence. "So many
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Agreement was that the training will take place in Kandahar, the country's second-
largest city and the
Taliban's original home base. It was supposed to be a
decisive turning point in the war – the primary reason for
the troop surge that McCrystal wrested from Obama late
last year. But on June 10th, acknowledging that the military
still needs to lay more groundwork, the general announced
that he is postponing the offensive until the fall. Rather than
one big battle, like Fallujah or Ramadi, U.S. troops will
implement what McCrystal calls a "rising tide of security."
The Afghan police and army will enter Kandahar to attempt
to seize control of neighborhoods, while the U.S. pours $90
million of aid into the city to win over the civilian population.
Even proponents of counterinsurgency are hard-pressed
to explain the new plan. “This isn't a classic operation,” says a
U.S. military official. “It's not going to be Black Hawk Down.
There aren't going to be doors kicked in.” Other U.S.
officials insist that doors are going to be kicked in, but that
it's going to be a kinder, gentler offensive than the disaster
in Marja. "The Taliban have a jackboot on the city,” says a
military official. “We have to remove them, but we have to
do it in a way that doesn't alienate the population.” When
Vice President Biden was briefed on the new plan in the
Oval Office, insiders say he was shocked to see how much it
mirrored the more gradual plan of counterterrorism that he
advocated last fall. "This looks like CT-plus!” he said,
according to U.S. officials familiar with the meeting.
Whatever the nature of the new plan, the delay underscores
the fundamental flaws of counterinsurgency. After nine
years of war, the Taliban simply remains too strongly
entrenched for the U.S. military to openly attack. The very
people that COIN seeks to win over – the Afghan people –
do not want us there. Our supposed ally, President Karzai,
used his influence to delay the offensive, and the massive
influx of aid championed by McCrystal is likely only to
make things worse. "Throwing money at the problem
exacerbates the problem,” says Andrew Wilder, an expert at
Tufts University who has studied the effect of aid in
southern Afghanistan. "A tsunami of cash fuels corruption,
delegitimizes the government and creates an environment where we're picking winners and losers” – a process that
fuels resentment and hostility among the civilian population.
So far, counterinsurgency has succeeded only in creating a
never-ending demand for the primary product supplied by the
military: perpetual war. There is a reason that President
Obama studiously avoids using the word “victory” when he
talks about Afghanistan. Winning, it would seem, is not
really possible. Not even with Stanley McCrystal in charge.
This article originally appeared in RS 1108/1109 from July
9-22, 2010. Copyright 2010 Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner,
editor and publisher.

Iranian delegation rejects German invitation to visit Nazi camp
Delegation from Shiraz, Iran, a twin city to Weimar, Germany,
refuses to make trip to Buchenwald concentration camp. By DPA, 24.06.10

An Iranian delegation upset its German hosts by refusing to tour a concentration-camp memorial, the city
council of Weimar in eastern Germany said Thursday. The Buchenwald concentration camp, where Nazis killed
tens of thousands of political prisoners and people from minorities through disease, exhausting work and
executions, is located near the city. Visitors usually pay their respects to the dead at the memorial and visit the
museum. But the group from Shiraz, Iran – a twin city to Germany's Weimar - refused to make the scheduled
trip on Wednesday, civic officials said. Mayor Stefan Wolf condemned the decision, saying it was inconceivable
that a friend would try to ignore the pain caused by the Nazi camp. Local newspapers said the city council
refused to meet the Shiraz delegation because of the canceled visit. Berlin has regularly protested against
Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's denial of the Holocaust and his calls to wipe the state of Israel off
the map. Denying that the Nazis committed genocide against the Jews is a criminal offence in Germany.
Germany established the Shiraz-Weimar connection to keep people- to-people links alive while the
governments were at odds. http://www.haaretz.com/news/international/iranian-delegation-rejects-german-invitation-to-
visit-nazi-camp-1.298140

German man facing jail for having Hitler speech as his mobile ringtone
By Mail Foreign Service, 30th June 2010.

A German man is facing up to six months in jail for having a speech by Adolf Hitler as his mobile phone
ringtone. The 54-year-old had a Hitler speech - in which the Fuhrer pledged the 'destruction of world Jewry' if
Germany was 'dragged' into war - programmed into his Nokia phone. Passengers aboard a train in Hamburg
heard the bizarre ringtone several times during a journey and reported him to police who seized him when the
train stopped. When he was taken into custody, police also found swastika stickers and a photo of Hitler on the
telephone with the words: 'The greatest commander of all time'. He was charged with violating the German
constitution which expressly forbids public displays of the Nazis and all their works.