

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



August 2011 No 582

Fredrick Töben says: - quoting whom?

To Philosophy I owe my worldly ruin, and my Soul's prosperity!

Now Norway has what Australia experienced on 28 April 1996 the Port Arthur Massacre - view clip 1. www.toben.biz/images/anis/Port%20Arthur28041996/PortArthur1.VOB & 2. www.toben.biz/images/anis/Port%20Arthur28041996/PortArthur2.VOB A lone gunman 'allegedly' killed 35 persons. Result: A tightening of Australian gun laws.

1. The following video clip allegedly uploaded by the self-confessed killer Anders Behring Breivik has been deleted by YouTube for offending against community standards.
2. The mindset behind the video spells out the new dialectic is between Nationalism and Internationalism with emphasis on traditional Christian European values, and the addition of the Masonic factor means this world view would include the Jewish-Talmudic factor, which means predatory capitalism also gets covered as a compromise to Internationalism-Globalism.
3. Ten years ago, on 9 September 2011, after the Capitalism/democracy-Communism dialectic lost its power, the new global dialectic was set between the free and democratic world and Muslim terrorism and attempts to enforce it saw numerous events occur that were clearly insider jobs, such as the 2003 WMDs, 7/7 London bombings, the Bali bombings, the recent Nigerian bombings, and others.
4. That the 9/11 dialectic failed to set the world aflame was admitted by the USA when it wrote out of the global dialectic script its own created protagonist, Osama bin Laden.
5. On 1 May 2011, which is Israel's Holocaust Memorial Day, US soldiers allegedly assassinated Osama bin Laden hiding in a Pakistan compound. It took the US war machine, the most powerful in the world, a decade to hunt down this most powerful terrorist. Something just does not add up here.
6. The dilemma faced by the neo-Marxist-liberal-politically correct dictatorship that currently has the sway of world politics is the refusal to confront the 1933-45 Adolf Hitler world view without employing the usual stock of self-serving fabrications and outright lies.
7. Any commentator on world politics, when proposing a new world order, who falls into the trap and continues to espouse World War Two propoganda, has lost the plot. Using shut-up words to stifle an open debate, such as 'hater', 'Holocaust (climate-change) denier',

'antisemite', 'racist', 'Nazi', 'xenophobe', will not be accepted by the younger generation that devours information via the Internet.

8. The free flow of information enables them to develop their own world view where ideals such as beauty, truth, courage, honesty, honour, freedom, etc. are values of inspiration, as opposed to ugliness and playing the victim of dependence, thereby becoming an autark individual within a socially self-sustaining environment.

9. - and note how commentators are wielding out Adolf Hitler and Nazism and extreme Nationalism in order to come to grips with this Norwegian tragedy and to warn of the danger if Nationalism re-emerges as a viable political alternative. After all, so runs the spin: Economic problems gave rise to Adolf Hitler - and that led to absolute evil and homicidal gas chambers!



Photoshop or real? - Think on these things ...
[NORWAY Friday 22 July 2011Anders Behring Breivik](#)

AND NOTE the reason given why Breivik has not appeared in open court: He could use the opportunity to spread his message to the world!

Yet, this legal procedural abuse enables the conspirators to hone their narrative and re-work the story of those already glaring inconsistencies and contradictions, much like the lone gunman affair in Tuscon, Arizona in 2010, and other such events.

The whole tragedy indicates how desperate are those who are about to lose their comfortable life-style as their One World Order develops a life of its own and not to their liking!

From CANADA – another reminder of things to come, or things that have exhausted themselves:
marc@lemire.com



CHRC says: No Section 13 – Internet censorship – Complaints in 2010! ... so what do we need this law for?

<http://blog.freedomsite.org/2011/07/chrc-no-section-13-complaints-in-2010.html>

On July 5, 2011, David Gollob the Director of Communications for the Canadian "Human Rights" Commission wrote a letter to the editor of the Langley Advance. In the letter, Mr. Gollob states that "The Commission did not accept any complaints under section 13 in 2010." Mr. Gollob's can be read in its entirety online at:

<http://www.langleyadvance.com/Rights+Commission+aims+equal+opportunities/5052683/story.html>

Section 13 is the controversial censorship provision of the Canadian Human Rights Act, which allows fanatical rights enforcers the ability to gag so-called "thought criminals" for life. Along with lifetime gag orders, Kangaroo courts which hear the complaints can also issue heavy fines up to \$30,000 in total. So far over 37 Canadians suffer under a permanent lifetime speech ban, which if violated can result in up to five years in jail for contempt of court.

Now that yet another year has passed without a single Section 13 complaint being filed to the Canadian Human Rights Commission, [why on earth do we need this censorship law on our books?](#)

In all of 2010, not a single complaint was accepted by the Canadian Human Rights Commission ... and amazingly the [sky did not fall](#). Jews are not being beaten up on the streets, Nazis have not taken over Parliament, and homosexuals are not being rounded up into camps. Life went on without the iron fist of the state looking over our shoulders and telling us what we can and can not say. Of course if you listen to the human rights maniacs, the [physical and psychological security](#)

[of the Jewish community would be in peril if Section 13 was struck down.](#)

After looking at cases such as the [Mark Steyn/Macleans Magazine](#) debacle, it is clear that Section 13 has a [chilling effect on freedom of expression in Canada](#). How many other Canadians would want to be ground through the CHRC's process – [which is clearly meant as a punishment itself?](#) In the case of Macleans Magazine, it cost them around \$1,000,000 to defend the case, and at the end of it all, Kenneth Whyte, Editor in Chief of Macleans Magazine said that "There will absolutely be self-censoring, and it will be harder going forward to have clear and full debates on a lot of important issues like race or religion or public policy because of this." [Daily Gleaner, Print media will stay relevant because it must: Whyte, January 31, 2009] How many editors and newspapers will allow commentary on controversial issues if they are going to face being labeled as racist or Islamophobes, in conjunction with years of costly litigation, where the tribunal boasts a 98% conviction rate?

Mr. Gollob from the CHRC also states in the letter to the editor that "However, one such complaint, involving Maclean's magazine, did receive media attention four years ago. That complaint was dismissed by the Commission, as it was found to have no merit. As that case illustrates, the Commission works to ensure that only complaints of real and actual discrimination under the Act are accepted."

The decision by the Canadian Human Rights Commission to drop the complaint against Macleans Magazine was done to stop the onslaught of negative media the CHRC was receiving.

During the time the CHRC was investigating Macleans, hundreds of articles and editorials appeared in the media from coast to coast. The CHRC wanted the daily negative media publicity to stop, so they suddenly found freedom of speech and dismissed the complaint.

The truth behind the dismissal of the Macleans complaint is that the Investigator of the case wasn't so sure it should just be dismissed. The Investigator highlighted that what Mark Steyn wrote could expose Muslims to hatred and contempt.

In the March 25 2008 CHRC Investigators report on the Macleans complaint, the Investigator wrote in paragraph 49:

"It is recommended, pursuant to paragraph 44(3)(a) of the Canadian Human Rights Act to request that the Chairperson of the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal institute an inquiry into the complaint if the Commission is of the view that:

- *the material does appear to meet some of the hallmarks of hate and is of such a nature that it may likely expose persons of the Muslim faith to hatred and contempt;*
- *a decision by the Tribunal addressing the fact situation in this case may be in the public interest as it raises new considerations regarding the relationship between section 13 and the right of freedom of the press, as aspect of the Charter guarantee of freedom of expression."*

The complaint against Macleans Magazine was dropped when it reached the "political level" at the CHRC, but it was obvious that the investigator in the case recommended "in the alternative" that the case go to a tribunal.

The CHRC's "political level" is staffed by political appointees like the Jet-setting world traveler - Chief Commissioner Jennifer Lynch and Deputy Chief Commissioner David Langtry. They have the role of reviewing the complaint and approving it to be sent onto a hearing before the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal. Unlike the investigator in the Macleans case, the political Kangaroos smelled the political wind, and threw out the case like a hot potato.

How many editors and newspapers will allow commentary on controversial issues if they are going to face being labeled as racist or Islamophobes, in conjunction with years of costly litigation, where the tribunal boasts a 100% conviction rate? As Macleans Magazine reports: *"Cases like these foster an atmosphere in which sensible people who know they can't summon the resources to defend themselves will censor themselves. It creates an ever-growing body of very regressive law when it comes to the integrity and freedom of a democratic forum."* (John Dixon, a two-term former president of the B.C. Civil Liberties Association)

Self-censorship has always been a goal of the CHRC, which is why they have undertaken costly impact prosecutions on the few that resisted. Making examples of what will happen to those that dare to stand up to the CHRC ensures that few will ever stand up in the future.

The complaint against Macleans Magazine was laid by a Muslim group named the Canadian Islamic Congress. The Muslim

complaint comes on the heels of CHRC staff drumming up business. On June 29th, 2006, Harvey Goldberg, the senior policy advisor for Section 13 with the CHRC traveled to Toronto to meet with a delegation of Muslims from the *Canadian Arab Foundation*. As a result of that meeting, Mr. Goldberg wrote to Ian Fine, the Director of the CHRC's oddly named "Knowledge Centre."

In Goldberg's July 5th, 2006 memo, he states:

1. A couple representatives of the Muslim community expressed concern that the threshold for hate was too high and that much of what they perceived as anti-Islamic comments in the media and elsewhere would not be included in the current definition of hate. I referred them to the [Name redacted by CHRC] article in the Hate on the Internet magazine, copies of which I had distributed.
2. They also questioned whether the Commission would accept complaints dealing with anti-Muslim sentiments. I assured them that the Commission was fully committed to fulfilling its mandate under section 13.
3. Overall I think this round of meetings was successful in the continuing process of networking with key stakeholders and of furthering the Commission initiatives in the areas of disability and hate on the Internet."

It looks like the representatives of the Muslim community were 100% correct with their skepticism of the CHRC. On June 25th 2008, in a highly political decision, the Canadian Human Rights Commission refused to accept the complaint filed by Canadian Islamic Congress against Rogers Media Inc. (Macleans Magazine).

The decision by the CHRC stated that: *"Overall, however, the views expressed in the Steyn article, when considered as a whole and in context, are not of an extreme nature as defined by the Supreme Court in the Taylor decision. Considering the purpose and scope of section 13 (1), and taking into account that an interpretation of s. 13(1) must be consistent with the minimal impairment of free speech, there is no reasonable basis in the evidence to warrant the appointment of a Tribunal. For these reasons, this complaint is dismissed."*

It appears that after some 250 articles in the mainstream press highly critical of the CHRC, the Commission suddenly found freedom of speech.

It's time to scrap Section 13 and get the government out of the thought control and censorship business.

Support Marc Lemire's [constitutional challenge of Internet censorship](#).

<http://www.freedomsite.org> | <http://www.StopSection13.com>

From: [Paul Fromm](#)

Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2011 11:10 PM

Great Holocaust Trial Defense Attorney Doug Christie is Ailing

By Michael Hoffman hoffman@revisionisthistory.org

Thursday - July 21, 2011

The "Battling Barrister": Canada's Doug Christie

According to his wife, Keltie writing in her June, 2011 [Friends of Freedom](#) newsletter, 65-year-old Canadian

Attorney Douglas Christie, the fearless barrister who so ably defended Ernst Zundel in two historic thought crime trials in Toronto in 1985 and 1988, has "prostate cancer of an aggressive grade that has already spread locally outside the prostate."

Doug is one of the finest men and purest souls it has been my privilege to know. He went far beyond being Ernst's attorney. He became his friend and a defender of the German people as a whole. In the course of the two trials he had to absorb thousands of facts, hundreds of books and dozens of maps, models and diagrams in order to defend Ernst to the best of his ability. He spent hours in court and then hours at night and on weekends studying with the Zundel defence team, led by Dr. Robert Faurisson of France. A lesser man would have been broken by the stress and the media libel. Doug relished the challenge and stepped into history's spotlight chasing destiny.

With the brilliant and thorough organization so typical of Mr. Zundel, Doug's daily after-court briefings in the basement of *Zundelhaus* were preserved on video. I have included highlights of those briefings in my documentary film, "The Great Holocaust Trial," (not to be confused with the book of the same name).

After the Zundel trials, Doug continued his career as "the Clarence Darrow of Canada," although he stands head-and-shoulders above Darrow, who in some respects was an opportunist (Darrow's finest hour was his defense of Bill Heywood and the IWW in Idaho in 1907; his nadir was the 1924 Leopold-Loeb case).

Mr. Christie went on to represent dissidents, the marginal and the nearly defenseless. Among his most spectacular victories was the 1990 acquittal of Hungarian-Canadian restaurateur Imre Finta, who had been arrested on trumped-up war crimes' charges. This was a huge setback for the same "Never Forgive" gang that on July 20, 2011, under color of law, desecrated the grave of Rudolf Hess in Germany (<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-14232768>). Talmudic vengeance knows no bounds! Doug was ably assisted in the Finta case by the equally courageous attorney Barbara Kulaszka.

Christie defended newspaperman Doug Collins, a heroic British-Canadian soldier who escaped ten times from German POW camps in World War II. Mr. Collins testified for and wrote columns on behalf of Mr. Zundel. Those columns led to his prosecution. Christie has also defended high school English instructor Paul Fromm, fellow teacher and Christian anti-Zionist Malcolm Ross, English anti-Talmud campaigner Lady Jane Birdwood, Internet activist Bernard Klatt, Marc Lemire, and dozens of other indigent and media-libelled victims of the new inquisition.

The Zionist lobby has had Mr. Christie in its sights for more than a quarter-century, but his record for probity was so impeccable they could find no pretext by which they could disbar or financially cripple him until 2007, when they were able to exploit a minor procedural technicality related to a law pertaining to "subpoena for documents." The Law Society of masonic Canada ruled that this most honorable of all Canadian lawyers, in "improperly" processing the documents had been "dishonorable." He was fined \$20,000. He could not be disbarred on that basis alone however, and he continued his law practice.

Doug has given his life to the nearly thankless task of fighting for the free speech rights of everyone from Indian Chief David Ahenakew to a coterie of Christians, Germans, East Europeans, revisionists, skeptics and individualists who refused to be commissared.

He has continued to press for justice in the case of Jeff Hughes, a confused and possibly mentally ill unarmed skinhead who was shot to death in 2009 by Canada's "Mounties" for no discernible reason other than his politics. A case like this does nothing to burnish Christie's career or fill his wallet. At the risk of sounding maudlin, Doug did it out of love for the memory of this young man who he met once and only briefly at the George Orwell Awards Dinner, which he and his wife host annually in British Columbia. Doug Christie is a traditional Catholic who cares more about living the Gospel than talking about it. The cause of justice for Jeff Hughes is but one example of his Christ-like conduct.

While I do not speak for or represent Ernst Zundel, I will venture to say that if he were not under a de facto gag order in Germany and barred from traveling in the US and Canada, he would use that organizational genius of his to put together an international testimonial dinner for Doug which would be attended by hundreds. There is no one like Ernst on the scene today, but that is no excuse for us to fail to express our gratitude to Mr. Christie for his life of sacrifice and idealism.

Send him a get well card of encouragement and appreciation:

**Mr. Douglas Christie
Box 101
255 Menzies Street
Victoria, BC V8V 2G6
Canada**

[I have slightly edited Mr. Hoffman's excellent summary and entirely endorse sending cards and notes of prayerful support and solidarity to Doug in this difficult time. -- Paul Fromm, Director, Canadian Association for Free Expression]

BACK to the current BIG ONE

Gilad Atzmon: Was the Massacre in Norway a reaction to BDS?

Sunday, July 24, 2011 at 11:47AM [Gilad Atzmon](#)



I learned last night from an [Israeli online journal](#), that two days before the Utoya Island massacre, AUF's (Labour Party's youth movement) leader Eskil Pedersen gave an interview to the [Dagbladet](#), Norway's second largest tabloid newspaper, in which he unveiled what he thinks of Israel.

In the course of the interview, Pedersen stated that he "believes the time has come for more drastic measures against Israel, and (that he) wants the Foreign Minister to impose an economic boycott against the country."

Pederson went on to say, "The peace process goes nowhere, and though the whole world expect Israel to comply, they do not. We in Labour Youth will have a unilateral economic embargo of Israel from the Norwegian side."

The AUF Labour Party Youth Movement have been devoted promoters of the Israel Boycott campaign, *The Dagbladet* newspaper reporting that "The AUF has long been a supporter of an international boycott of Israel, but the decision at the last congress, demands that Norway imposes a unilateral economic embargo on the country and it must be stricter than before."

"I acknowledge that this is a drastic measure", stated Pedersen, "but I think it gives a clear indication that we are tired of Israel's behaviour, quite simply".

Yesterday we also learned that mass-murderer Anders Behring Breivik was openly [enthusiastic about Israel](#). According to a variety of internet outlets, Behring Breivik was a regular poster on several Norwegian internet sites, notably the blog [document.no](#), which is run by Hans Rustad, a former left-wing journalist. Hans Rustad is Jewish, extremely pro-Zionist, and warns against 'Islam-isation', violence, and other social problems he assumes to be connected with Muslim immigration.

Alongside the UK's infamously Islamophobic [Harry's Place](#) and other Jewish pro-war Zionist blogs, the observant amongst us are becoming more and more aware of an increasingly pervasive trend of Jerusalemite internet journals that -- ostensibly -- like to give the impression of 'rallying for the preservation of Western culture,' and of 'standing up for democratic values'. For the most obvious of reasons, these blog pages are almost exclusively focused on 'the problem of Islam,' and on Muslim migrants' 'troubled and reactionary' communities and politics, whilst all the while, simultaneously, relentlessly and forcefully propounding a propagandistic Zionist agenda. Interestingly enough, other immigrants are

routinely depicted on these blog pages as being 'harmless', or as 'positive contributors to society' -- you won't find Hans Rustad or Harry Place criticising the Jewish Lobbies, the Lord Levy's or the Russian Oligarchs' disastrous impact on 'Western culture' or on 'democratic values' any time soon.

[Gordon Duff](#) wrote yesterday in "*Veterans Today*" that the "car bombing carries the signature of an intelligence agency. Nobody else bothers with such things."

And indeed it is after all, pretty clear that a car bomb of such magnitude, and an operation of such sophistication is not exactly something a layman can put together with such apparent ease: it would surely take some specialist knowledge, and the question here is, who could provide such knowledge, and such a vast amount of lethal explosives?

I am not in a position at present to firmly point a finger at Israel, its agents, or its *sayanim* -- but assembling the information together, and considering all possibilities may suggest that Anders Behring Breivik might indeed, have been a *Sabbath Goy*.

Within its Judaic mundane-societal context, the *Sabbath Goy* is simply there to accomplish some minor tasks the Jews cannot undertake during the Sabbath. But within the Zion-ised reality we tragically enough live in, the *Sabbath Goy* kills for the Jewish state. He may even do it voluntarily.

Being an admirer of Israel, Behring Breivik does appear to have treated his fellow countrymen in the same way that the IDF treats Palestinians.

Devastatingly enough, in Israel, Behring Breivik found a few enthusiastic followers who praised his action against the Norwegian youth. In the [Hebrew article](#) that reported about the AUF camp being pro Palestinian and supportive of the Israel Boycott Campaign, I found the following comments amongst other supports for the massacre:

24. "Oslo criminals paid"

26. "It's stupidity and evil not to desire death for those who call to boycott Israel."

41. "Hitler Youth members killed in the bombing of Germany were also innocent. Let us all cry about the terrible evil bombardment carried out by the Allied...We have a bunch of haters of Israel meeting in a country that hates Israel in a conference that endorses the boycott.. So it's not okay, not nice, really a tragedy for families, and we condemn the act itself, but to cry about it? Come on. We Jews are not Christians. In the Jewish religion there is no obligation to love or mourn for the enemy."

The full facts of the Norwegian tragedy are, as yet, unknown, but the message should by now be transparently and urgently clear to all of us: Western intelligence agencies must immediately crackdown on Israeli and Zionist operators in our midst, and regarding the terrible events of the weekend, it must be made absolutely clear who it was that spread such hate and promoted such terror, and for what exact reasons.

<http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/gilad-atzmon-was-the-massacre-in-norway-a-reaction-to-bds.html>

**Kiwi Jewish leaders dismiss Israeli spy accusations
July 20, 2011**

SYDNEY, Australia (JTA) – Allegations that Israeli backpackers caught in February's massive earthquake may have been spies are roiling Jewish leaders in New Zealand.

Israel's ambassador to New Zealand, Shemi Tzur, blasted the claims reported Wednesday in the Southland Times newspaper as "science fiction."

The paper claimed that four Israelis -- Ofer Mizrahi, Michal Fraidman, Liron Sade and Guy Jordan -- were the focus of an investigation by New Zealand's Security Intelligence Service amid fears that Israelis may have hacked into the national computer network. But police said Wednesday that they were confident their computer systems were secure.

Mizrahi, 23, was killed instantly while driving a van in Christchurch when the [Feb. 22](#) earthquake struck. The other three Israelis left the country within 12 hours, the Southland Times reported.

The Security Intelligence Service became suspicious after "at least" five passports were found on Mizrahi, according to the newspaper report.

But Prime Minister John Key, the son of a Jewish refugee who escaped Europe before the Holocaust, said Wednesday that the investigation found "no evidence that the people were anything other than backpackers."

David Zwartz, chairman of the Wellington Regional Jewish Council, said that "Reactions received during the day from members of the Wellington Jewish community expressed disgust at the level of allegation and smear in the article."

"Once more a media beat-up at the expense of Israel," Zwartz added.

Three Israelis, including Mizrahi, were among the 181 fatalities in the disaster.

In 2004, two alleged Mossad agents were caught and jailed for stealing a Kiwi passport. New Zealand severed diplomatic ties with Israel for more than a year until Israel apologized.

<http://www.jta.org/news/article/2011/07/20/3088622/kiwi-jewish-leaders-dismiss-israeli-spy-accusations>

Hungary court frees accused Nazi war criminal

Sandor Kepiro, 97, is acquitted of ordering the execution of more than 30 Jews and Serbs in Novi Sad in 1942.

Last Modified: 18 Jul 2011 18:10

A 97-year-old Hungarian who once topped the Simon Wiesenthal Centre's (SWC) list of most wanted Nazi criminals has walked free from a Budapest municipal court after being cleared of ordering the execution of more than 30 Jews and Serbs in 1942.

Serbian Jews on Monday urged an appeal over Sandor Kepiro acquittal, but it is unknown what action the prosecution will take. "It is not unexpected from a Hungarian society which is not yet mature enough to face its past," said Ana Frenkel of the SWC and a leader of the Jewish community in Novi Sad, Serbia.

Frenkel told the AFP news agency that the centre would continue its fight to have Kepiro convicted and would push for an appeal to the ruling.

"We are not satisfied and we expect the Hungarian prosecutor to file an appeal," Bruno Vekaric, Serbia's deputy war crimes prosecutor, told the Tanjug news agency.

The defence had said there was no tangible evidence that Kepiro had carried out war crimes, while the prosecution's case rested heavily on old testimonies and verdicts from previous trials in the 1940s.

"There are cases where there is no access to direct evidence as the direct witnesses are no longer alive," Zsolt Falvai, a prosecutor, said in a last statement on Monday. "We are obliged to base our case on written proof, documents, even if these are old testimonies."

During the trial several experts cast doubts on the authenticity of these documents, many of which were incomplete or contained translation mistakes.

The defence also claimed that testimonies made in front of communist courts could have been coerced.

'I never killed'

Kepiro, who appeared in court on Monday, said in a last statement before the verdict was read out: "I am innocent, I never killed, I never robbed".

He was allowed to leave the court shortly thereafter, and was returned to the hospital where he has been kept for a week after receiving unsuitable medication.

The reasoning for the verdict was read out in court and was to continue on Tuesday, without Kepiro, whose presence is no longer needed as he had been found not guilty, the judge said. The judge also announced that the costs of the trial - about \$20,000 - will be covered by the state.

The trial, which started on May 5, proceeded slowly as the judge ordered two 45-minute sessions a day to accommodate Kepiro's health issues and is also hard of hearing.

Fled to Argentina

The one-time Hungarian gendarmerie captain faced a life sentence for his alleged participation in a raid by Hungarian forces in Novi Sad, now in Serbia, on January 21-23, 1942, in which more than 1,200 Jews and Serbs were murdered.

Specifically, he was accused of ordering the round-up and execution of 36 Jews and Serbs as head of one of the patrols involved in the raid.

Kepiro was found guilty of the crimes in Novi Sad twice in absentia: first in 1944 to 10 years in prison, a sentence that was quashed a few months later by the fascist government, and then again in 1946, this time under communism.

However, he avoided prison by fleeing to Argentina where he remained for half a century before returning to Budapest in 1996.

Efraim Zuroff, the head of the SWC, tracked him down to the city 10 years later. With proceedings against another Nazi war criminal, Ukrainian-born John Demjanjuk, closed in Germany in May, Zuroff had earlier predicted that the Kepiro trial could be one of the last of its kind.

Former Nazi camp guard Demjanjuk, 91, was sentenced to five years in prison for aiding the murder of nearly 30,000 Jews but was released from custody because of his age.

<http://english.aljazeera.net/news/europe/2011/07/2011718135821604377.html>



Antisemitism Documentation Project

July 20, 2011 Special Dispatch No.4013

Iranian News Agency: Prominent Holocaust Denier 'Praises Ahmadinejad For His Key Role In Breaking Taboo On Studying Reality Of Jewish Holocaust'



The Iranian news agency Fars reports that prominent Holocaust denier Fredrick Toben, head of the Adelaide Institute, has "praised Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad for his key role in breaking the taboo on studying the reality of the Jewish holocaust."

Fars quoted Toben as saying in an interview, "I conducted research into the Holocaust before the Iranian president's position-taking, but Ahmadinejad's statement guided me to grasp a correct understanding of this historical lie." He added that Ahmadinejad was also the first high-ranking political figure and president in the world who questioned the Holocaust.

The article stated: "Toben further pointed out that the Iranian president has used a logical method to question the Holocaust, which is Israel's main tool of propaganda, and asked nations to break the taboo and start discussing it like any other historical assumption."

"Highlighting the importance of Iran's stance on the holocaust, Toben reminded the ban on discussing the issue in the Western communities, and said in the Western society, in which atheism and blasphemy are allowed, the top officials say we are allowed to question everything except for the Jewish Holocaust.

"Under such conditions, 'Iran is seeking to free nations from such wrong beliefs. Iran is seeking to free those who are not aware that they are slaves of the Holocaust.'

"Toben was born in 1944 in Northern Germany, but immigrated with his family to Australia when he was ten.

"He studied at universities in Australia, New Zealand and Germany, and holds a Master's degree in education and a Doctorate in philosophy. He has worked as a teacher in Germany, New Zealand, Rhodesia/Zimbabwe, Nigeria and Australia.

"He founded the Adelaide Institute in 1994. Centered in South Australia's largest city, and funded by donations, it plays a major role in the worldwide struggle against the historical blackout through its website. "[1]

Endnotes:

[1] Fars (Iran), April 6, 2011. The text has been lightly edited for clarity.

<http://www.memri.org/report/en/0/0/0/0/0/5485.htm>