

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



March 2012 No 611

Some local Australian Issues

Gold Coast owner Clive Palmer defied an edict on ground signage

BY: RAY GATT [The Australian](#) February 27, 2012 12:00AM



Jerseys with Freedom of Speech were worn by Gold Coast players.

Picture: Brendan Radke Source: Gold Coast Bulletin

MAVERICK Gold Coast United owner Clive Palmer's latest stunt appears to have blown open the door for Football Federation Australia to land a telling blow on his embattled A-League club.

The circus surrounding Palmer and his battle with FFA came to a head on Saturday night when the billionaire defied an edict to remove unauthorised ground signage and an illegal team playing strip before his young side's 1-1 draw with Melbourne Victory at Skilled Park.

Advertising hoardings with the words "freedom of speech" were strategically placed around the ground. And United players wore jerseys, which normally carry the name of club sponsor Hyatt Coolum, with the same slogan emblazoned across the front.

The latest controversy comes amid speculation FFA, disappointed by the flak it has been receiving over its handling of certain matters in recent weeks, could be considering changes among management staff.

The Australian has been told several people outside the organisation have been canvassed regarding a position.

And it comes as FFA attempts to drag itself back following a disastrous week in which Palmer has been a thorn in its side. And questions have been raised regarding the youth development program after the Olyroos were eliminated from the Olympics for the first time in nearly 28 years.

Unfortunately for FFA, Palmer refuses to go away and appeared to raise the stakes with his act of defiance on Saturday night -- a situation from which FFA is not prepared to back away.

While FFA chief executive Ben Buckley chose his words carefully, it seems a mere formality the head body is preparing to face the mining magnate head-on and take the licence off him in a battle that could end up in the courts.

FFA said it was made aware of the club's intentions on Saturday morning and gave it until nearly three hours before kick-off to comply with its request.

However, United opted to ignore the edict, sparking a fierce response from the head body which, at one stage, considered having the game called off before taking into account obligations to the travelling supporters and its broadcasting partner, Fox Sports.

Buckley signalled his organisation's intention to get tough with Palmer when he declared "FFA reserves its rights in the matter".

"FFA had to issue a notice of a material breach of Gold Coast's participation agreement," Buckley told Fox Sports on Saturday night. "It is an unfortunate situation. All clubs understand the rules and regulations and abide and respect them. "What happened tonight was a lack of respect for the game and the rules. "We will look at the situation in the cool light of day. We will take up the issue with the club in the next few days and weeks."

Gold Coast was informed it had been issued with a breach notice just 10 minutes into the game. An FFA spokesman said yesterday that the head body has a number of options open to it, including the revoking or suspension of the licence and/or a hefty fine.

Palmer was not talking yesterday but sent a text message to The Australian to say "a statement to be issued Monday for the club via Crook Publicity".

Palmer sparked a war of words with FFA last week when he told the Sunday Mail that he "doesn't even like soccer" and that "rugby league is a better game". He backed away from the statement during an interview on SBS television early last week, suggesting he had been taken out of context and that he "really didn't like how the game was being administered".

The FFA hit back by declaring Palmer's comments regarding the game were "offensive and disrespectful".

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/sport/gold-coast-owner-clive-palmer-defied-an-edict-on-ground-signage/story-e6frq7mf-1226282037979>

FFA finally runs out of patience with antics of Gold Coast rebel

Sebastian Hassett, February 28, 2012

AS CLIVE PALMER'S circus at Gold Coast United continues to roll on, Football Federation Australia has had enough, with the organisation's most senior officials meeting in Sydney last night to consider the future of the rebellious club.



Course of action ... FFA chairman Frank Lowy is considering his options regarding the Gold Coast.
PHOTO: LOUIE DOUVIS

FFA chairman Frank Lowy called in FFA chief executive Ben Buckley and A-League boss Lyall Gorman to his Westfield offices to decide on a course of action regarding Gold Coast, and specifically Palmer, the club's billionaire owner perceived to be single-handedly undermining the credibility of the entire competition.

While no formal resolution to extinguish Gold Coast was reached last night, it is understood that the game's governing body has run out of patience and is now considering ways to end their relationship. Palmer has insisted he is committed to fulfilling the remainder of his licence agreement but has also expressed his intense disdain for the way the competition is run, calling for - among many demands - greater transparency from Whitlam Square. "We're in the process of reviewing the actions of Gold Coast and the current decisions they're making as a club," an FFA spokesman said of the meeting. "We're making no comment at this time."

The fractious relations evolved into a breach of the competition regulations on Saturday when Gold Coast took to the field sporting the slogan "Freedom of Speech" in place of their usual major sponsor, Hyatt Regency, a company with which Palmer has recently engaged in a legal battle.

Initially, it was believed the slogan was aimed at the FFA, or possibly even Hyatt Regency, but club chief executive Clive Mensink issued a statement yesterday that declared it was intended to be in support of refugees. "It is public knowledge that Mr Palmer's group of companies are in a legal dispute with the Hyatt and, as a result, the club wasn't comfortable playing with the Hyatt brand on the playing strips," Mensink said. "It was suggested internally that as a replacement, something non-controversial would serve as a message to the broader community.

"We thought it was an innocent term as we all recognise in Australia that freedom of speech is something we all respect." Upon learning this declaration, the FFA is understood to have cited to the club that they are now in breach of FIFA's equipment regulations, which state: "For all matches, all forms of advertising for sponsors, manufacturers or any third parties, of political, religious or personal statements and/or other announcements, are strictly prohibited."

Such breaches may form a large part of a case to have Gold Coast United expelled from the competition, though Palmer has already dared the governing body to do so, publicly bragging about his unbeaten record over 68 previous legal matters.

Gold Coast have two players on their books, Samuel Tesfagabr and Ambes Yosief, both from Eritrea, who were granted refugee status in Australia in 2009.

"We have two African refugees playing for the club who have experienced what it is like to live in a country fighting for freedom of speech," Mensink said. "We are all concerned for those in Syria fighting for their freedom. Australians have fought in world wars and other actions to protect our freedoms, there was no way we would condone any disrespect for freedom of speech."

Mensink insisted it was the club's "constitutional right to embrace this message" and said "we do not believe the FFA should have the power to intervene". "Fans and the public deserve to know and have the right to freedom of speech, and it's a slogan which we'll keep until the end of the season and possibly next season as well," he said.

<http://www.smh.com.au/sport/a-league/ffa-finally-runs-out-of-patience-with-antics-of-gold-coast-rebel-20120227-1tyxq.html#ixzz1ns9WsyHX>

[Despite a ruling ordering the removal of "Freedom of Speech" logos on their shirts Palmer has indicated they will remain.^[15]

That Palmer's team displays a FREE SPEECH logo on their shirts indicates Palmer is pitting himself against Frank Lowy's censorship and obsession with 'racial' issues that are irrelevant to football. - FT.]

Palmer poses peace offering to Lowy

ABC News, February 29, 2012 13:49:44



PHOTO: Full of praise ... Clive Palmer (AAP: Dan Peled, File photo)- <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-02-29/clive-palmer/3860076>

Outspoken Gold Coast United owner Clive Palmer has extended a peace offering to Frank Lowy but will continue to defy Football Federation Australia (FFA) orders this weekend.

A day after being slammed by FFA chairman Lowy for making illogical, confused and damaging comments about the game, a more conciliatory Palmer responded by sending out a media release entitled 'Palmer Praises Lowy'.
<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-02-28/lowy-dismisses-critics-in-state-of-the-game-address/3857700>

Queensland's richest man applauded Lowy's contribution to football in Australia over many years and welcomed Lowy's 'state of the game' address in Melbourne on Tuesday when he described Gold Coast as a "spectacular failure".

Palmer says he also respects the FFA chief's defence of the A-League's administration despite mounting scathing attacks on the competition and the code over the past 10 days.

"We may not always agree but I respect what he (Lowy) has done for the game and he is a great Australian icon," he said.

"We have had some issues with FFA and made some criticisms but this is just contributing to the debate about football in this country and is designed to only make the game better.

"Any ideas to contribute to change can only be beneficial."

While the FFA have ordered United to stop displaying "Freedom of Speech" logos at their Skilled Park ground and on their jerseys, Palmer has indicated his team will continue to brandish the message.

Gold Coast play Wellington Phoenix in New Zealand on Sunday and the logo will remain on their jersey, in place of naming rights sponsor Hyatt Coolum.

Palmer, who owns the Coolum resort, sacked Hyatt as property managers last week before Hyatt took out a Supreme Court injunction.

The matter is back before the Queensland Supreme Court on Thursday and Palmer insists the 'Freedom of Speech' is not aimed at the FFA.

"The message has been used in place of Hyatt branding as we are in a legal dispute with that company and I can't see anything wrong with such a simple but meaningful statement such as the right to freedom of speech," he said.

AAP<http://www.abc.net.au/news/20120229/palmerp-osespeaceofferingtolowy/3860068?section=gld>

Why childish billionaire had to be axed from A-League

BY: PATRICK SMITH *The Australian* March 01, 2012 12:00AM



Cartoon by Peter Nicholson. Source: *The Australian*

THE timing was exquisite. For the AFL anyway. As Frank Lowy was announcing the termination of Clive Palmer's licence as owner of Gold Coast United in the A-League, the AFL was briefing media on just how thorough and fastidious its education programs throughout the competition had become. The programs run across all sides, players, coaches senior and assistant, club boards and administrative staff, as well as everybody at the AFL from the commission all the way down. It costs \$2 million annually, and with the support of the

federal government, stretches across junior leagues. Two AFL clubs, one of them North Melbourne, are engaged in pilot programs where the AFL is working to see the best way to translate its codes, rules, education programs and research into a club environment that fosters a player's development on the field and away from it. The vision is bold and on a scale probably not matched in any sport anywhere. At least that is the AFL's best guess.

Contrast this control the AFL has over its competition, an 18-club national league with a \$1.4 billion broadcast deal, to the A-League. As the AFL could indulge itself in briefing media on its vast social and cultural program, Lowy had to announce the revoking of the Gold Coast licence.

It is the hope of Football Federation Australia that it can support the Gold Coast players through the last four games and not disrupt the season. But owner Palmer's time has been called. The imminent death of the Gold Coast will drive a push to have an A-League side in the western suburbs of Sydney as early as next season to combat both the rugby league and AFL presence in that huge market.

That Palmer is gone is no surprise. It should have happened earlier. That he shouts that he will leave screaming and suing is predictable, too. Even from afar, it is possible to make these observations. Palmer did not like soccer. He made that point clearly enough himself. And his recent comments demonstrably show he does not respect it. Few people in sport have trashed their own brand as comprehensively and gleefully as Palmer.

Yes, he has sunk a lot of money into the franchise for no effect. But that was his decision and money is no problem to him. He boasts about his billions. His childish attacks on Lowy continued yesterday: "Frank Lowy is an institution who now belongs in an institution." But wait, there's more. "He has brought the game into disrepute. The sport should not be run by dictators like him."

This is unworthy of anybody in a position of responsibility in any sport. As owner of the Gold Coast, he had a responsibility to grow the game, not constantly claw and tear at it. The crowd numbers at Gold Coast shrunk in sync with Palmer's standing.

Palmer may well have gripes against FFA and Lowy but to run them through the media is indefensible, given that Lowy said

yesterday that Palmer has continually rejected attempts by the FFA boss to speak to the owner.

The decision to demand that the players wear the slogan "Freedom of Speech" on their shirts last weekend was as inflammatory as it was foolish. It was against a direct FFA ruling and hardly summed up the plight of a man who had not stopped talking about FFA's perceived shortcomings for the past two weeks.

Then for the club to claim it was in support of refugees was only to trivialise and abuse the sad and frightening lives of peoples displaced through war and other awful misfortune.

Palmer has hurt soccer when it was enjoying a resurgence. Has hurt the people, the players and fans who strived all their lives to get the sport a respect and dignity that the game deserves.

He has had his fun, goaded the industry until the harm he might do if left with the Gold Coast licence was unpredictable and too big a risk to tolerate any longer. Rather than take a sport that he says he loves but acts in a way that suggests he doesn't to court, he should give the money to charity and go off and dig another mine or three. Palmer is a filthy-rich bore but one bankrupt of public support and goodwill. He should sit in on an AFL education course or two.

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/sport/why-childish-billionaire-had-to-be-axed-from-a-league/story-e6frq7uo-1226285535109>

[Patrick Smith misses the salient issue at stake: Lowy's obsession with 'say no to racism' sloganeering has met its match with Palmer's 'freedom of speech' slogan, thereby opening up a debate of fundamental philosophical importance. It seems that at long last the Anglo-Australian nationalists are re-asserting themselves against the Jewish Zionist Internationalists. - FT.]

Clive Palmer has formed his own football association to take on Football Federation Australia

By: **Marco Monteverde** [The Courier-Mail](#) March 01, 2014:03PM

MAVERICK Gold Coast United chairman Clive Palmer has formed a new independent organisation known as Football Australia to oversee Football at a grassroots and senior level.

Mr Palmer said Football Australia aimed to replace Football Federation Australia (FFA), which he said was incompetent at both a domestic and international level.

Former Hyundai A-League boss Archie Fraser has been appointed as the CEO of Football Australia, which is registered with the Australian Investment and Securities Commission (ASIC). Mr Palmer said the decision by the FFA to terminate the licence of Gold Coast United had proven the need for a complete overhaul of football administration in Australia.

"The FFA has lurched from one disaster to another and needs to be replaced," he said. "They staged a hugely embarrassing World Cup bid which blew \$46 million of taxpayers' money for one vote and they are running an A-League competition which is bleeding money from club owners. "The fans, players and people with a passion for football in Australia deserve better and Football Australia will be based on full transparency and corporate governance. "We want to ensure there is fairness in the game for all stakeholders and end the dictatorship that the game has endured under the FFA."

Mr Palmer said he was delighted that Archie Fraser had agreed to head the new football body. "Archie Fraser is one of the best administrators in Australian sport," he said. "Not only is he a former professional footballer, he has vast experience in sports administration with both the A-League and with the AFL as the former CEO of one of its most successful clubs, St

Kilda. "Archie also has an enviable track record as a senior business executive in the real world."

"The FFA has just decided to cut Gold Coast adrift because Lowy and his chief henchman Ben Buckley want a team in greater western Sydney, even though they are a million miles behind the NRL and the AFL."



**Showdown with FFA:
Gold Coast United owner Clive Palmer.**
Picture: Mike Batterham Source: *The Daily Telegraph*

FFA's seven year contract with pay TV broadcaster Fox Sports to televise A-League expires at the end of next season

"The next broadcast deal is crucial to the future of the A-League and its clubs, including some which have been losing millions of dollars a season," Mr Palmer said.

"The club owners I have spoken with are concerned that we will end up with a long term TV deal which is bad for the sport and significantly undervalued."

Mr Fraser said Football Australia is also determined to make a difference at all levels from grass roots through to the professional game.

"This is about positioning football as the number one code in Australia, demonstrating strong leadership and making our game the first choice for all players," he said.

"Football already has one of the highest participation rates in Australian sport but its junior registration fees also are among the highest in the country, which is something that needs to be addressed."

<http://www.couriermail.com.au/sport/soccer/clive-palmer-has-formed-his-own-football-association-to-take-on-football-federation-australia/story-e6frepmf-1226286417601>

Ludwig defends live exports after new cruelty video

By chief political correspondent [Emma Griffiths](#) and staff

Updated February 29, 2012 11:26:48

Federal Agriculture Minister Joe Ludwig insists the Government's changes to the live export trade are working, despite fresh video of what are believed to be Australian cattle being mistreated in Indonesian abattoirs.

The footage, aired on [ABC1's Lateline](#), was shot in January in two abattoirs in Jakarta.

It shows workers slitting the throats of cattle without stunning them first and cutting animals up while they are still alive.

[Audio: Fresh doubts raised about live cattle exports\(AM\)](#)

Last year live cattle exports were banned for two months after [Four Corners aired footage showing horrific treatment of cattle in Indonesian abattoirs](#).

When the Government resumed trade, it did so under strict new guidelines stating exporters must guarantee the welfare of all livestock that leave Australia.

But the latest video raises serious questions about the new regulations and the RSPCA says it has counted 46 potential breaches of the new rules.

The footage was shot by an Indonesian investigator engaged by Animals Australia, at Timur Petir and Cakung abattoirs.

It shows what animal welfare campaigners and cattle industry insiders believe to be Australian Droughtmaster steers inside Australian-designed Mark 1 and Mark 4 restraint boxes.

RSPCA chief scientist Bidda Jones says the Mark 1 boxes are totally inappropriate for slaughter.

She has viewed over an hour's worth of footage from the two abattoirs which she describes as "distressing".

Dr Jones has identified 46 potential breaches of the Federal Government's new checklist set up to protect animals exported to foreign abattoirs.

"The kind of breaches that I saw in this footage were, for example, animals vocalising when they're in the box, and when they're restrained, water being used on the animals before they've been slaughtered, so that's distressing and shouldn't be allowed," she said.

[Video: Joe Ludwig talks to Radio National\(ABC News\)](#)

This morning Senator Ludwig told Radio National that his department is working with Animals Australia and the RSPCA to investigate the footage, to check if the cattle are from Australia, and to identify the locations of the abattoirs.

He says if a complaint is upheld action can be taken by the independent regulator - which in this instance is the secretary of the Department of Agriculture.

"We have an independent regulator that can take non-compliance action," he told ABC Radio National.

"I'd expect them in the most terrible circumstances to take very strong action - the strong action they can do is suspend that exporter from sending cattle into Indonesia or to any other markets for that matter."

The minister insists the government's changes to the live export trade are working, despite the fresh complaints.

"If this industry does want a bright future it has to put animal welfare at the heart of the system. What we now have is a system that allows that to happen," he said.

"Many exporters manage the supply chain - do all the right things.

"But I said right at the beginning when I put this system in place that we would see instances like this, we would see slips, we would see mistakes, but the system allows us to take remedial action, work with the exporters and fix the problems."

He says independent auditors inspect foreign abattoirs "frequently" but identified only one breach that had been found so far.

"We've had one report in the past, where it's been self-reported, where there was a breakdown in the supply chain and we've been able to take remedial action with the exporter," he said.

"That demonstrates again that the system does work."

[Video: Lee Rhiannon talks to ABC News Breakfast\(ABC News\)](#)

Greens animal welfare spokeswoman Lee Rhiannon says the practices aired on Lateline cannot be dismissed as isolated cases.

She says if the animals are confirmed to be Australian, the live export industry should be shut down.

And she says if the Federal Government is not brave enough to take that action, it should impose sanctions on rogue producers.

"The Government should immediately stop these operators from exporting from Australia to Indonesia," she said.

"There does need to be sanctions that actually have repercussions for those operators who are not following through with the export supply chain."

She says the Australian Government has not done enough.

"We do need the monitoring and auditing in this area," she said.

"While the Greens will continue to call for an end to live exports, we recognise there is an immediate need for the Government to deal with the situation and they need to apply the full force of sanctions in the first instance."

Tasmanian Independent MP Andrew Wilkie says he is "horrified" by the footage and the Government's new system is clearly not working.

"This is completely and utterly unacceptable, particularly after all of the work that was done last year to try and improve the circumstances for Australian livestock particularly in Indonesia," he said.

"It shows that what the Government put in place last year is not working.

"The Government needs to fix this."

Topics: [livestock-welfare](#), [rural](#), [livestock](#), [federal-government](#), [government-and-politics](#), [australia](#), [indonesia](#) First posted February 29, 2012 10:01:44

<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-02-29/ludwig-defends-live-export-regime/3859372>

... more of Adams' deficiency thinking...

The Iron Man of Oz film

BY: PHILLIP ADAMS [The Australian](#) February 18, 2012 12:00

TWO stories in the same paper on the same day. One told of the remarkable Australian writer-director Ben Lewin being carried shoulder-high through cheering crowds at the Sundance Festival.

(Ben's latest film, *The Surrogate*, should now enter Oscar contention.) The other, news that Lang Hancock's daughter was upping her strategic media holdings with a tilt at Fairfax. Two stories strangely connected. You see, Gina's dad was a secretive player in the Australian film industry. And, having backed *Mad Max*, he agreed to back Ben Lewin.

My disapproval of Hancock was deeper than his mines. I'd loathed his bank-rolling of Joh BjeIke-Petersen, his enthusiasm for business partner Nicolae Ceausescu, the Romanian dictator, his contempt for Aboriginal land rights, his insane attempts to have the writings of Ralph Nader and John Kenneth Galbraith banned (a suggestion he made in writing to then treasurer Phillip Lynch) and his lunatic plan to create new deep-water ports in Western Australia with nuclear bombs. But Ben and I were finding it hard to finance a feature called *The Dunera Boys* - and suddenly Hancock came knocking. Waving a cheque for the entire budget.

Our film would tell why Australia had had a concentration camp for Jews at Hay. They'd been among a few thousand refugees from Germany and Austria that Churchill had interned during the UK's darkest hours - and then shipped them here on HMT *Dunera*. He bizarrely believed that the Jews, many of them men of great distinction, might be Nazis. The camp was as surreal as Churchill's notion. On good terms with the guards, the Jews recreated Viennese cafe society in southwest NSW. After the war, many *Dunera* boys stayed on to make immense contributions to Australia.

All but forgotten, the *Dunera* story is one of the oddest episodes in our history - and not entirely irrelevant during this era of equally absurd suspicions about Middle Eastern refugees. Ben's script was masterful and I was the producer.

The initial approach came from a go-between who'd neither confirm nor deny that Hancock was his client. But he was well

known as the magnate's man. Did I have any projects that would qualify for the 10BA tax concessions? Yes, I did - but, suspecting that Hancock's right-wing views probably included anti-Semitism, I warned that his nameless client mightn't like the story. "He won't be concerned. It's all about tax planning, not the content of the movies. Nor will the size of your budget be the slightest concern."

So we signed heads of agreement, booked a marvellous cast including Bob Hoskins and Warren Mitchell - and started building a replica of the Hay camp. But before the first take of the first scene - a phone call. "My client has instructed me ... " To what? "To tear up the cheque." No reason was proffered; no discussion would be entered into. "He's found out that our film is about a boatful of Yids, hasn't he?" Once again the lawyer would neither confirm nor deny. Infuriated, I warned that Ben and I would call an immediate press conference to denounce his client as, yes, an anti-Semite. The measured response was to say this would lead to an immediate libel action - and "we've got a lot more money than you".

We scrambled to save our film, trying to raise the funds within the Jewish community. But time beat us. We had to release our cast and crew and dismantle the sets. Two stories - the *Dunera Boys*' and the investment scandal - remained untold. A few years later, Ben rejigged *The Dunera Boys* as a mini-series under a different producer. It remains one of the finest achievements in the history of Australian television. But the backstage story of the Hancock drama appears here, for the first time.

Thus I take even greater pleasure in Lewin's success at Sundance. For many personal and professional reasons Ben's brilliant career has not come easy. He greatly deserves his latest triumph - and to be recognised as one of our best, up there with Weir, Beresford and Schepisi. Beware, dear readers, Hancock money. And shalom, Mr Lewin. May your Oscar be circumcised.

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/features/the-iron-man-of-oz-film/story-e6frg8h6-1226271018228>

A question of legal standards

BY: JANET ALBRECHTSEN [The Australian](#) February 18, 2012 12:00AM



Superior judges have called into question a number of magistrate Pat O'Shane's decisions.

Picture: Craig Greenhill Source: *The Australian*

JUDGING the nation's judges is not for the faint-hearted. Yet for anyone who respects the role of judges in our democracy and is concerned with maintaining public confidence in our justice system, it is a most important task.

Allow me then to put the latest controversy about NSW magistrate Pat O'Shane in some perspective. Over the past decade, it has become clear to me that the conduct of this magistrate raises serious questions about the appointment and removal of judicial officers.

First, some background. In December 1999 I wrote an opinion column for The Sydney Morning Herald about O'Shane, concluding, for a variety of reasons, that she was unfit to hold office as a magistrate. O'Shane sued John Fairfax Publications, publisher of the SMH, for defamation and in 2004 the Supreme Court of NSW found that my column had damaged her reputation, and awarded O'Shane \$220,000 in damages against the newspaper. The judgment of Justice Smart noted that, as a witness during the trial, I did not resile from my written views.

Fast forward to 2012.

What are we now to make of O'Shane's reputation as a magistrate following some comments by superior court judges who have upheld appeals against her decisions? Overturning her decision to dismiss a traffic infringement case last year, Justice Peter Garling from the NSW Supreme Court found that O'Shane's conduct in the case "fell short of the required standard of a trial judge acting properly". In his February 3 judgment, he ordered the matter be returned to the local court to be "heard by another magistrate".

Reading Garling's reasons for making such an order, his sense of exasperation with O'Shane is palpable. The Supreme Court judge says: "It is difficult to understand how the magistrate has fallen into errors of the kind which I have found, since this judgment is not the first occasion upon which the proper procedure has been described, and the correct procedure pointed out to the magistrate by this court."

After listing four appeal cases where the "correct process" has been drawn to O'Shane's attention, Garling says: "In light of this history of decisions by this court, and the failures identified in this case, I can have no confidence that magistrate O'Shane would, if the matter was returned to her to complete, undertake the further hearing of it in accordance with the law."

Garling then set out his second reason for ordering a future hearing be heard by a magistrate other than O'Shane: "Having regard to the strength of the views expressed by the magistrate as to whether she believed the evidence of the two police officers, the director would be entirely justified in asking that her honour disqualify herself from any further hearing of the matter by reason of a reasonable apprehension of bias, and also of prejudgment."

Now consider this. Academics Michael Eburn and Ruth Townsend recently analysed criminal appeals involving O'Shane's decisions to the NSW Supreme Court, the NSW Court of Appeal and the NSW Court of Criminal Appeal. Writing in the SMH last week, they found that since 1999, superior courts found that O'Shane got the law wrong in 14 of the 16 criminal cases that went on appeal. I have read each of those appeal cases. While they reflect only a fraction of the cases heard by O'Shane since 1999, the comments extracted by Eburn and Townsend are nonetheless highly critical of the magistrate.

"In different cases, Supreme Court judges have said: O'Shane 'did not comprehend the real basis of the prosecution case or the significance of the evidence before her'; that she dismissed a case on the basis 'the prosecution had not proved a fact that it did not have to prove' and that her decision was based on reasons that were 'either undisclosed or incomprehensible'; that her conduct 'bore little resemblance to what was required by law', she 'failed to comply with statutory procedures and denied the prosecutor procedural fairness' and failed 'to give reasons as required by law'; that she dismissed a charge 'without proper regard to applicable law and practice'; that 'with all proper respect to the learned magistrate it seems to me that there was a clear failure of procedural fairness in the way in which her worship dealt with the prosecution and with the prosecutor'; and that she 'used intemperate language in a way that inappropriately denigrates the evidence of the police'."

Alas, appeal judges can only do so much by overturning decisions of lower courts. More, then, is needed to keep judges accountable. This applies particularly to magistrates who, each year, make thousands of decisions that affect the lives of many Australians.

For starters, a free, fearless and sceptical press must be able to report, analyse and criticise judicial decisions and the conduct of judicial officers when circumstances demand. The media must do so notwithstanding the stifling effect of incredibly complex defamation laws and despite overly sensitive judges who fling accusations of "bully boys" at those of us who dare to question their decisions and their reasons.

Members of the legal profession who appear before magistrates and other judges on a daily basis also have a role to play. Given the history of O'Shane controversies, where have members of the Bar been on this front? The Bar may wish to reflect on whether it has been too reticent to complain about the sometimes errant magistrate and if so, whether such reticence may serve to embolden those judges.

Last month NSW Premier Barry O'Farrell signalled his intent that the Attorney-General lodge a complaint with the NSW Judicial Commission against O'Shane for her decisions in a number of cases.

If the Judicial Commission finds proved incapacity or misbehaviour against O'Shane, its only option is to refer a report to parliament to consider her removal. If that happens, O'Shane may end up being one of very few judges to be removed from office in Australia. Last year, on two separate occasions, the conduct division of the Judicial Commission made findings against two magistrates sufficient to warrant consideration of their removal by parliament. The commission does not make these findings lightly. Yet, when the matters were separately considered by state parliament, a majority of state MPs decided against removing magistrate Brian Maloney for proved incapacity or magistrate Jennifer Betts for proved misconduct and proved incapacity. Both suffer from mental illnesses. O'Shane may not find support among enough state MPs to save her career from an ignoble end.

However, time is on O'Shane's side. She turns 72 - the judicial retirement age - in June next year and the Judicial Commission may not complete its inquiry until after she has retired, making a possible removal by parliament a moot point. History, however, is not on O'Shane's side.

As Garling's comments make clear, there is an unfortunate history of criticisms of O'Shane by appeal court judges. That history will necessarily taint O'Shane's legacy as a magistrate.

The fraught process of removing judges and O'Shane's history on the bench raises important lessons about judicial appointments. Certainly in retrospect, one is entitled to ask whether the then Labor government in NSW should have appointed O'Shane as the first Aboriginal woman to the bench in 1986.

Even at the time, legitimate criticisms could be made about appointing the outspoken O'Shane to the bench. She had a long history of political activism. And good on her for that. She has spoken fondly about her family's anti-authority bent and the militant activities of her father, a member of the Waterside Workers Union. She was promoted early and fast as someone fighting for what she believed to be the promotion of indigenous rights. Not everyone agrees with her agenda. She has, for example, fallen out with others, such as Noel Pearson, for her more militant views on race warfare between indigenous and non-indigenous Australians. That, too, is her personal prerogative.

There is, however, no judicial right for O'Shane to use the bench on some occasions to apply her own notions of justice, ignoring the law, when dealing with ambulance officers, police officers and even railway officers, as evidenced by the criticisms made by appeal judges when overturning her decisions.

On that evidence, is one not entitled to the opinion that NSW may have been better served had O'Shane exercised her activism in the political, not the legal, arena?

Unwittingly, O'Shane has carried out an important public service by highlighting that those who appoint judges ought to do so very carefully indeed.

Once appointed, judges are necessarily difficult to remove, and the danger is always that some judges will sometimes abuse their independence.

Let's be clear about this. Having regard to the pattern of criticisms from senior judges about O'Shane, it is my opinion that the NSW magistrate has done precisely that and therefore she is unfit to hold office as a magistrate.

It is imperative that we keep judges accountable to avoid others doing the same.

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/opinion/a-question-of-legal-standards/story-e6frqd0x-1226274146287>

[I accuse Dr Janet Albrechtsen of hypocrisy because with her extensive legal knowledge, and her commitment to free expression, she refuses to touch the Holocaust issue and how my case has impacted on free expression issues. Albrechtsen is just as much a political activist as Magistrate Pat O'Shane! – FT.]

Horsham to lobby Education minister today

BY KAITLYN OPIE, 22 Feb, 2012 09:51 AM

HORSHAM will lobby Education Minister Martin Dixon today to rebuild Horsham College.



READY TO RALLY: Business Horsham executive member Andrea Cross and chairman Robin Barber are calling for Wimmera people to rally to rebuild Horsham College in anticipation of Education Minister Martin Dixon's visit to the college at 10.30am today.

Picture: SAMANTHA CAMARRI

Mr Dixon's visit to Horsham comes as the Federal Government-commissioned Gonski review was released this week, outlining an overhaul of how schools should be funded.

The college has been seeking money to rebuild its ailing buildings for several years, with the campaign ramped up last year after Horsham Special School received government funding to build a new school on the college site.

College buildings will be demolished next month to make way for the new special school buildings.

Business Horsham chairman Robin Barber urged employers to allow employees to take a tea break at 10.30am today to attend the Rebuild Horsham College rally.

Mr Barber said he hoped for at least 1000 people at the rally.

"I call on all Wimmera employers to allow their employees to have a morning smoko from 10.30am to 11am and let them

come to the rally at the Dimboola Road, Horsham entrance," he said.

"I also encourage all employers to come. This isn't just about Horsham College, it affects the whole of the Wimmera."

Labor Member for Western Victoria Jaala Pulford will also be at the rally.

Horsham Rural City councillors and chief executive Peter Brown said Mr Dixon would see the college's ageing buildings first-hand, before meeting with the council at noon to discuss concerns about education in the region.

"It is very pleasing that Mr Dixon has accepted this invitation and there is no doubt the strong community campaign to put a focus on the facilities at Horsham College has already had a considerable impact," he said.

"Business Horsham has raised the profile of this issue and the Wimmera Mail-Times has run an excellent series highlighting poor facilities at the college.

"Former teachers and students, and parents of current students, have all contributed important perspectives on this issue which have been highlighted in the media."

Cr Mark Radford said it was great the Wimmera community had taken up the Rebuild Horsham College cause.

"This is something council has lobbied for for many years," Cr Radford said.

"In 2008 and 2009 there was a sense of excitement when plans were being drawn up.

"But the money didn't come and then council chief executive Kerryn Shade wrote to the minister on many occasions.

"We are being let down by the college's facilities and hopefully we will get some good news not too far away."

Horsham Mayor Mandi Stewart said the council worked with lots of government departments and often worked behind the scenes.

"I'm glad our community has found its democratic voice and if this week doesn't bring us what we need then we need to continue to lobby," she said.

Mr Dixon welcomed the Gonski review but said there was a need for greater clarity and consultation.

The Gonski review recommends an investment of at least \$5 billion into Australian schools, with criteria based on the socio-economic needs of students at the school.

For a two-page spread on the campaign so far, see pages 8 and 9 of today's Mail-Times.

<http://www.mailtimes.com.au/news/local/news/general/minister-visits-horsham-college/2464336.aspx>

Letter to the Editor – published 27 February 2012
Wimmera Mail-Times <http://www.mailtimes.com.au/Horsham> 3400

Education battlefield

AT the conclusion of his address at Wednesday's lunchtime Horsham College protest meeting, Education Minister Mr Martin Dixon thanked those present for being 'well behaved'.

That the individuals who bothered to attend were well behaved reflects on how civilized Wimmera people are. But there is a danger in being civilized because thugs, thieves, deceivers and liars consider good manners as a character weakness.

That the Melbourne education bureaucracy and its political masters regard the Wimmera with some trepidation, distain and somewhat a soft target is self-evident from the long delay the Wimmera school building renovation program has experienced.

After all, a bureaucracy has a long memory and it has not forgotten that, for example, during the 1970s and 1980s it was the small Goroce community that vehemently resisted the faceless Melbourne education bureaucrats who were set on dismantling the education facilities at Goroce.

Principles were sent to Goroce to dismember the school and make it a feeder school for Edenhope and Horsham.

Long-serving teachers were 'early retired' and new staff were encouraged to move on and use Goroce as a stepping stone for elsewhere.

Still, had it not been for the Crabtrees, Mitchells, Kevin Johnson, Brian Mann, and others, then in April 1985 the community would not have succeeded in celebrating its centenary of education.

Of course, all this while during the 1970s and 1980s it was also the *Wimmera Mail-Times* which in detail documented this local conflict with Melbourne's education bureaucracy – so clearly captured in the book edited by Brian Mann and Gordon Mitchell: ***Centenary of education, 1885-1985, Goroce and district***, ISBN-9780958546683, available from Peace Books, PO Box 3300, NORWOOD SA 5067.

Today Goroce Consolidated School has developed into the Goroce P-12 College, which stands proud as an education institution that attracts students from far and wide.

That I as a teacher in 1983 and 1984 personally became involved in this Goroce dispute and paid a heavy price for it is also captured in the pages of the *Wimmera Mail-Times*, and in my book: ***The Boston-Curry Party: on the sacked, plundered and smoking Australian educational battlefield at Goroce*** – ISBN-0958546606, available from Peace Books, PO Box 3300, NORWOOD SA 5067.

If you cannot afford to get the above books, ask your local library to get copies for you.

Let's hope this time the education bureaucrats will do the right thing and not be tempted to delay implementing what needs to be done with some urgency.

As an aside, it was good to see BUSINESS HORSHAM distribute flyers for the occasion but unfortunately a glaring spelling error should have been proof-read before printing: **PLOITICIANS** – or is that a new word coined to describe the moral and intellectual failings of some of our local politicians?

Dr Fredrick Töben

Goroce Vic 3412

0417088217

toben@toben.biz

Cleared of genocide, Rwandan priest deported from Canada for drunk driving

Adrian Humphreys <http://news.nationalpost.com/author/ahumphreys/>

Feb 21, 2012 – 10:16 PM ET

Having failed to have a Rwandan Catholic priest thrown out of Canada for complicity in the 1994 genocide — after he signed a letter to the Pope denying the massacre and gave sermons denouncing the post-genocide government — the Canadian government has ordered him deported for a different transgression: drinking and driving.

Juvéna Nsengiyumva of Montreal has been fighting to remain in Canada in a legal battle that has been compared to that of Holocaust denier and Nazi apologist Ernst Zundel.

Mr. Nsengiyumva previously cleared himself in Canadian courts of complicity or incitement in the genocide in the central African country of Rwanda, when extremists from the Hutu majority killed more than 800,000 minority Tutsis and politically moderate Hutus.

But his controversial stance remains. It is sometimes revealed in his recent sermons to the Rwandan community in Montreal and at meetings with fellow expatriates, the Federal Court of Canada heard. Its most dramatic expression, however, came immediately after the bloodshed.

Mr. Nsengiyumva, a Rwandan citizen of Hutu origin, was ordained in 1992 and served in the diocese of Ruhengeri, in the north, bordering Uganda and the Democratic Republic of Congo. He worked as an educator and a disciplinary prefect until July, 1994 when, as the genocide raged, he fled to a neighbouring country.

From his refuge in the Democratic Republic of Congo, on Aug. 2, 1994, he and 28 other Rwandan diocese priests sent an open letter to Pope John Paul II to inform him of the situation in Rwanda.

Rather than lament the genocide of mostly minority Tutsis, the letter blamed the Rwandan Patriotic Front, the country's current ruling party, said the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda was headed by war criminals and complained Tutsis held all the rights in Rwanda.

In 1999 he came to Canada and claimed refugee protection. His claim was rejected but he was later allowed to stay on humanitarian grounds by permission of the minister of Citizenship and Immigration.



Juvénal Nsengiyumva came to Canada in 1999 and claimed refugee protection. His claim was rejected but he was later allowed to stay on humanitarian grounds. After years of legal battles, in which Nsengiyumva cleared himself in Canadian courts of complicity or incitement in the genocide, Canada has ordered him deported for drunk driving. ICTR / Reuters files

In Rwandan parlance, though, he is an accused "negationist." Negationism, or genocide denial, is not a crime in Canada, as was proven in the landmark case against Mr. Zundel, but impaired driving is. The Canadian government relied on his lapse behind the wheel to deem him unfit to remain in Canada, rescinding the minister's permission.

He has been fighting that decision in court, arguing that sending him back to Rwanda will place him in peril because of his views.

Last year, he won a stay of his removal order, with Justice Yves de Montigny of the Federal Court of Canada addressing the politically charged nature of the case.

"In denying the genocide of the Tutsi minority by the Hutus, he has clearly exposed himself to government repression [in Rwanda] as well as possible restrictions to his fundamental liberty and physical integrity," Judge de Montigny wrote.

"It may seem paradoxical that the applicant has been accorded exceptional treatment on the basis of opinions that engender little sympathy and are even repugnant to some. The freedom of expression guarantees the right to express all opinions, no matter how unpopular or false, as per the Supreme Court of Canada decision in R v. Zundel."

Mr. Nsengiyumva's appeal, however, was finally rejected by Justice Danièle Tremblay-Lamer in a Federal Court of Appeal decision released Monday in English, clearing the way for his removal. He still remains in Canada.

Mr. Nsengiyumva is disappointed with the decision and now looking for alternatives, said Noël Saint-Pierre, his Montreal lawyer.

"As much as I would disagree with my client, his views are not illegal here. We've had the Zundel case show us that. He's not going to have a good time if he is sent back. We're trying to get him to another country," said Mr. Saint-Pierre.

National Post with files from Tristin Hopper

• Email: ahumphreys@nationalpost.com

<http://news.nationalpost.com/2012/02/21/juvenal-nsengiyumva/>

[This case is another example of Anglo-American-Zionist hypocrisy designed to deflect from the horrendous crimes committed by them during and after World War Two – FT.]

„IRAN streicht drei Nullen von seiner Währung“

Georg Kausch - <http://en.trend.az/capital/business03-04-11>

„Irans Wirtschaftsminister Shamseddin Hosseini gab bekannt, daß der Staat „drei Nullen der nationalen Währung in diesem Jahre streichen werde, sobald die Vorbereitungen abgeschlossen sind.“

„Irans Präsident Ahmadinejad kündigte schon im Januar 2010 an, daß man beabsichtige, drei Nullen vom Geldwert abzustreichen. „Wir müssen Nullen streichen...weil **aus irgendwelcher Ursache** der Rial in den letzten Jahren viel an Kaufkraft verloren hat... Wir müssen den wahren Geldwert wieder so herstellen, wie er gesetzlich vorher existierte.“

Und der Chef von Irans Centralbank Mahmoud Bahmani erklärte gleichfalls den Reportern, daß man erwarte, so sagte er wörtlich: „der Dollar werde im nächsten Jahre erheblich teurer werden. Offenbar sind diese Bemühungen alt, denn schon 2007 wurden solche Pläne erwogen, aber sie wurden immer wieder verschoben. Der Kurs des iranischen Rial zum US-Dollar ist zur Zeit 10.000:1“

Irans Staatspräsident Ahmadinejad ist kein Dummkopf wie Busch oder Obama, er ist, wenn wir richtig unterrichtet sind, als Bauingenieur sogar promoviert, also ein Dr. Ing., aber als oberster Chef seines Staates öffentlich zu erklären, daß **aus irgendwelcher Ursache** der iranische Rial seine Kaufkraft verloren hat, ist für einen Mann seines Formats mehr als lächerlich. Da offenbart sich, daß auch Ahmadinejad zu der grossen Masse gehört, die von der Währungsfrage absolut

keine Ahnung besitzt. Daß sein Finanzminister vom Geld nichts versteht, kommt allerdings in allen Demokratien ziemlich häufig vor, insofern stellt die Regierung der Islamischen Republik Iran keine Ausnahme dar.

Wenn die führenden Gläubigen Irans seit vier Jahren darüber debattieren, ob man vom Rial drei Nullen abstreichen soll und darüber zu keinem Entschluß kommen, dann nur weil sie einsehen, daß es mit dem Fortlassen einiger Nullen gewisse Komplikationen geben muß. Ob man sich an ähnlichen Vorbildern, z.B. Frankreich, Sowjetunion, China usw. „orientierte“, wissen wir leider nicht. Auch nicht, ob westliche „Geld- und Finanzberater“ Ahmadinejad belehren, was zu tun wäre. In kitschigen Geldfragen wird ja, wie stets üblich, hinter geschlossenen Türen verhandelt. Ahmadinejad und seine Freunde mögen gehofft haben, daß inbrünstige Gebete an Allah die fehlende Einsicht ihnen verschaffe und das Währungsproblem vielleicht von selber weggeht.. Doch die blieb aus, was freilich nur wir nüchtern denkende Freiwirte voraussehen.

Wie man sieht, wurde die Nullenfrage durch Verschleppen keineswegs besser. Soll der Rial ein annehmbares Papier im Handelsverkehr werden, fordert inzwischen eine vierte Null die gleiche Behandlung. Mit dem jetzigen Tempo des Kaufkraftverlustes des Rial, auf Deutsch Inflation, könnte sogar eine fünfte Null fällig werden, ehe die Entscheidung fällt.

Irans währungspolitische Nullen laufen dem Problem hinterher. Davon abgesehen, ein Anlehnen an den seinerseits schwindsüchtigen US-Dollar ist wahrlich nicht intelligent. Ja, was ist denn so schwer an einer Währungsreform? Macht es Schwierigkeiten, neue Geldscheine zu drucken und gegen die alten im Verhältnis 1000:1 bzw. 10.000:1 umzutauschen? Gewiß nicht! Doch da müssen Ahmadinejad und Genossen auf das Problem gestoßen sein, das unausweichlich mit jeder Inflation einhergeht: Eine Abwertung des Rial entlastet alle Schuldner und betrügt alle Gläubiger. Die Schuldner haben kaufkräftiges Geld geborgt und bezahlen mit stetig schlechterem Geld Zinsen und Tilgung. Die Gläubiger sitzen buchstäblich auf leeren Nullen. Hier geht es um viel größere Beträge als die paar Banknoten, es stehen in Tausenden von Verträgen, Wertpapieren, Hypotheken usw. Milliardensummen, wenn nicht Billionen auf dem Spiel. Und beim Geld hört die Gemütlichkeit auf, nicht nur in Iran. Wir behaupten, daß Irans Finanz-Gläubiger sich mit aller Macht gegen die Abwertung stemmen und die Regierung Ahmadinejad weiß nicht, wie der Streitfall zu lösen ist. In der Zwischenzeit geht also der Währungsverfall Irans munter weiter.

Es gibt keine Inflation, die der Himmel bescherte, auch wenn Allah als Ausrede für alles herhalten muß, was Menschen, zumal gläubige Moslem, mit oder ohne Gebete in der Geldwirtschaft verkehrt anstellen. Der iranische Rial ist ja keineswegs, wie Ahmadinejad behauptet, **aus irgendwelcher Ursache** zu Geldpapier geworden. Die Banknoten mit immer größeren Zahlen darauf wurden, im Namen Allahs, auf der Erde gedruckt. Und zwar, auch das kann als sicher gelten, weil sie das Ausgabenloch des Finanzministeriums stopfen sollen. Westliche Fachidioten nennen das „Kreditschöpfung aus dem Nichts“, wie man das in Teheran nennt, ist noch nicht bekannt. Aber ein Finanzminister (nicht nur ein iranischer), den die Regierung zwingt, mehr auszugeben als er einnimmt und das Defizit mittels Notenpresse deckt, steuert Land und Amt in den Bankrott.

Pumpt er Geld von jemand, der es hat, muß er ihm Zinsen anbieten. Die muß er über neue Steuern dem Volk abpressen. Will oder kann er diesen Weg nicht gehen, so bleibt nur die Wahl, weniger auszugeben oder Banknotendruck. Klar, wenn ständig neues, zusätzliches Geld auf dem Markt den Waren nachjagt, steigen die Preise. Ist das Karussell einmal in Gang, so muß es sich immer schneller drehen. Auch das ist erklärlich. Mit der Geldentwertung sinken zuerst und am nachhaltigsten die Löhne. Infolgedessen schrumpfen Kaufkraft und Lebensstandard des Volkes. Infolgedessen sinken die Steuereinnahmen. Infolgedessen muß die Notenpresse das Defizit ausgleichen. Infolgedessen steigen die Preise weiter in die Höhe. Infolgedessen...Fortsetzung in der gleichen Reihenfolge wie oben.

Der Weg, ein Staatshaushaltsdefizit mittels Banknotendruck auszugleichen, ist nicht nur der schlechtere Weg, er ist der volkswirtschaftlich schädlichste. Er ist auch der politisch

schädlichste, denn der sinkende Lebensstandard des Volkes bringt es schließlich auf die Barrikaden. Damit sind wir jetzt der verschwiegene Ursache der großen Rebellion in Iran 2008 auf Spur! Gewiß haben CIA und Mossad (angeblich mit 400 Millionen Dollars) die Unruhen angeheizt, um den ihnen unbequemen Ahmadinejad zu stürzen. Nahe dran waren sie, doch Waffengebrauch seiner Regierung entschied anders. *Aber ohne die inflationäre niederträchtige Ausplünderung der iranischen Massen durch die Regierung Ahmadinejad hätten die geheimen ausländischen Volksaufwiegler nicht einen Demonstranten auf die Straße gekriegt.*

Wir haben in Ägypten, Tunesien, Ägypten, Yemen, Syrien, Pakistan usw. vor kurzem erlebt, wie die unverschämte Inflation, das kapitalistische Geldsystem, Auslöser der Volksaufstände wurde. Von der Geldfrage wird bei Volksunruhen fast nie gesprochen, es wird noch weniger von denen gesprochen, die mit diesem System das eigene Volk beherrschen, um es auszubeuten.

Es gibt gerade in den islamischen Staaten reichlich wirtschaftspolitischen Zündstoff, der trotz scharfer Bedrückung durch religiösen Terror und geistkranken Fanatismus nicht in Schach zu halten ist. Auch wenn die islamische Priesterhierarchie ihre Gläubigen weit härter unter der Knute hält als wir uns vorstellen können und der Orientale groß im Ertragen von Unrecht ist, stets wird ein Punkt erreicht, wo sich die Furcht vor ihr verliert, dann wackelt die Herrschaft und manchmal fällt sie.

Um die kapitalistische Wirtschaftsform gegen die Angriffe des Volkes zu verteidigen, dient die Religion überall als erste Schutzbastion des Systems Geld.. Das gilt nicht nur für die „Islamische Republik Iran“, in allen islamischen Ländern sind sehr ähnliche Verhältnisse vorzufinden. Die Resultate sind deshalb auch kaum unterschiedlich.

Ahadinejad ist ein ergebener Muselmane und gehorsamer Frontmann des maßgebenden islamischen Shia-Klerus. Wie vielen ist bekannt, daß Ahmadinejad vom obersten Mullah Irans politische Weisungen erhält? Wer weiß schon, daß hinter den frommen Priestern Allahs ein halbes Dutzend superreiche iranische Familienclans stehen, denen praktisch alles in Iran gehört, die über alles bestimmen und ohne die nichts geht? Und diese scheinen sich über die Auswirkungen einiger Nullen zuviel auf den Geldscheinen nicht klar zu werden. Ist die Mehrheit des Volkes über „nationale“ Banken an die Familienclans verschuldet, verlieren die bei einem Nullenstreich. Das passt natürlich ihnen nicht, weil sie nie genug Geld in die eigenen Koffer bekommen. Anzunehmen ist auch, daß die Clans (wie die Rockefellers, Rothschilds usw. im dekadenten Westen) keine Steuern bezahlen- zum Zahlen ist das iranische Volk da.

Solche Bräuche gab es ja schon im alten Rom und sie überdauerten alle Zeiten.

Aber die Zeiten ändern sich doch, selbst wenn der Orient immer so bleibt wie er war.

Geldpolitik und Sanktionen der Unfähigen in Iran

Georg Kausch - <http://en.trend.az/regions/iran-24-01-12>

Der Leser wird fragen: Was soll's? Was geht uns Iran an? Haben wir nicht genug an der Eurokrise? Wird uns nicht täglich die Unfähigkeit „unserer“ Politiker in Geldfragen vor Augen geführt? Die Masse der Deutschen ist über die „Eurokrise“ so wenig beunruhigt wie über die erpresserische EU-Sanktionspolitik, die unserer Wirtschaft schon genug schadete und nichts erreichte als daß die Iraner eben woanders

einkauften. Weil man in deutschen Medien nichts davon erfährt, haben wir in früheren Rundbriefen darüber berichtet..Das ganze Hick-Hack um die Euro-Krise ist wegen politischer Puscherei, Unfähigkeit, Unsicherheit und Vernebelungsaktionen auch für den erfahreneren Freiwirt nicht leicht zu verfolgen. *Demgegenüber bietet der nachfolgende Bericht über Iran den unschätzbaren Vorteil, sich in der*

Kenntnis geldpolitischer Zusammenhänge zu schulen und vom Standpunkt der Freigeldlehre zu prüfen. Gesells Lehren auf die Politik der Unfähigen in Iran anzuwenden, wird sogar im Neuling ein Gefühl der Überlegenheit erwecken und ihn stolz machen: ER weiß mehr und besser in Geldfragen Bescheid als Minister und Bankdirektoren!

Wir haben in Rundbrief Nr.44- April 2011- berichtet, daß die Wirtschaft Irans von schwerer Inflation belastet ist, die seine Maßgebenden aus Unkenntnis- wozu wir den Staatspräsidenten Ahmadinejad zählten- nicht in den Griff bekommen. Ich empfehle dem Leser, diesen Bericht noch einmal nachzulesen, dann wird ihm das Nachfolgende besser verständlich. Jetzt erfahren wir folgendes:

„Die Lage auf dem Valuta-Markt ist nicht günstig. Am 23.01.12 stand der Wechselkurs zum US-Dollar auf 21 000 Rial, das bedeutet einen Fall um 10400 Rial gegenüber dem Vorjahr.“

Wir entnehmen daraus, daß sich **der Wechselkurs des Rial innerhalb von knapp einem Jahr halbierte!** Ursache ist die rasend schwindende Kaufkraft des Rial. Der Bezug auf den ebenfalls schwindstüchtigen Dollar beweist indirekt, daß die Inflation in Irak weitaus schneller fortschreitet als in den USA. Das hat, man beachte, gar nichts mit Sanktionen zu tun, denn der RIAL ist ja Irans nationale Währung. Schuld an Irans galoppierender Inflation ist ausschließlich der Geldnotendruck seiner Centralbank, mit allen Folgen, die üblicherweise daraus entspringen. Dieser Währungsverfall zerstört nicht nur Wirtschaft und Märkte in Iran, sondern unvermeidlich auch die Volksmoral. Preissteigerungen alle Tage müssen unaufhaltsam die Volkswut hervorrufen..

Die Maßgebenden Irans, von Ahmadinejad angefangen, schließen die Augen vor den Ursachen, bis sie eines nicht mehr fernem Tages vom nächsten Volksaufstand weggefegt werden. Wohl gemerkt, nicht infolge der wirkungslosen Sanktionen, sondern aufgrund ihrer völligen Inkompetenz in der Geldfrage.

Unfähig sind sie, weil sie die theoretische Grundlage, das Quantitätsgesetz nach Silvio Gesell nicht kennen und noch weniger anzuwenden wissen. Das bitte ich so zu verstehen, daß gewisse vernünftige finanzpolitische Entscheidungen auch heute durchaus möglich sind. Der Umgang mit Geldscheinen, Banken, Bankkonten, Geschäfte aller Art, auch Importen und Exporten ist Orientalen wohl vertraut. Als geriebene Händler, denen Geld alles bedeutet, sind sie vielleicht unschlagbar. Mit Schläue lassen sich damit hübsche Gewinne erzielen. Doch darauf kommt es in dieser Sache nicht an. Die Frage ist, ob sich mit besagten persischen Händler-Talenten ein strategisches Ziel erreichen läßt, das die Gefahr gewaltsamer Beseitigung der Regierung Ahmadinejad unmöglich macht. Und mit dem zugleich die Sanktionen wirkungslos verpuffen. Nach Verhalten und Taten der Verantwortlichen kann davon keine Rede sein.

„Iran hat rund 75 Mrd. US-Dollars Guthaben im Westen schon vor 2008 abgehoben. Iran hat 250 Tonnen Gold bei der Schweizerischen Kreditbank abgezogen, was etwa 5 Mrd. Schweizerfranken entspricht. Die Schweizer Zeitung „Der Bund“ berichtet, daß Iran ungefähr 700 Tonnen Gold, entspricht ca. 16 Mrd. Sfr. abholte und sie nach Teheran verbrachte. Es scheint, daß für die vom Westen beschlossene Einfrierung iranischer Guthaben nicht viel zurückgeblieben ist.“

„Der Gouverneur der Central Bank von Iran, Mahmoud Bahmani, sagte im Juli 2011, daß Iran die Goldbestände um 10 Mrd. erhöhte. Die Centralbank sitze auf 400-500 Tonnen Gold, die allen Sanktionsmaßnahmen entzogen sind.“

„Die Auslandsgeschäfte Irans waren 2010 legal 58,5 Mrd. Dollar, davon 14,6 Mrd. mit der EU. Die Geschäfte mit Asien sind im Steigen. Allein der China-Handel betrug rund 30 Mrd. Dollar. Er soll auf 45 Mrd. jährlich steigen. Hinzu kommen „illegale“ Geschäfte von rund 20 Mrd. Dollar/ Jahr.“

„Das Hauptgeschäft sind Ölexporte, die 80% des Einkommens Irans ausmachen. Das Exportgeschäft auf Dollar-Basis nahm ab wegen der politischen Einschränkungen. Iran handelt jetzt mit Indien und China auf der Grundlage derer nationaler Währungen.“

Hier kommt die erschreckende Schwäche Irans zum Vorschein: Sie ist nicht politisch oder militärisch, Irans Macht kränkelt an seiner verfallenden Währung! Die Handelspartner Irans wollen keine Geschäfte in Rial, der bereits am nächsten Tage Kaufkraft verliert. So bleibt den Ölverkäufern nichts übrig, als die Bezahlung in asiatischen Währungen anzunehmen und im Gegenzug deren Produkte einzuhandeln, auch wenn sie der iranischen Wirtschaft wenig Nutzen bringen bzw. minderwertig sind. Mit der Verschärfung der EU-Sanktionen bleiben demnächst die heißbegehrten Euros dem iranischen Handel fern. So werden vor allem Qualitätswaren aus Deutschland verschwinden, dem entsprechend werden die Verdienstaufschläge der deutschen Wirtschaft wachsen. Wir haben ja schon früher festgestellt, daß die Merkel für fremde Interessen alles tut (sie hat sich sehr für die Verschärfung der Sanktionen eingesetzt), für den gleichzeitigen Schaden des deutschen Volkes kein Empfinden besitzt.

Irans Verantwortliche waren zwar schlau genug, die iranischen Guthaben abzuziehen bevor sie- wie im Lybien Ghaddafis- von der geldgierigen „westlichen Wertegemeinschaft“ gestohlen werden konnten. Aber auch in diesem Fall kommt die Schwäche der iranischen Währung zum Vorschein, denn im Ausland waren die Erlöse Irans vor der tollen Inflation im Lande einigermaßen sicher! Mit der Möglichkeit des Raubes wurde das anders- und das Kapital der iranischen Kapitalisten floh mit Lichtgeschwindigkeit- buchstäblich! Vom freiwirtschaftlichen Standpunkt ist es eine Schande, wie sehr kapitalistisches Denken der Iraner und der schwache Rial verhindern, damit die eigene Wirtschaft (und Industrie) aufzubauen und von Importen unabhängig zu werden.

Was aber taten die maßgebenden Staats-Funktionäre? Sie legten einen großen Teil der Guthaben nicht in Gütern und Waren, nicht in der Wirtschaftsverbesserung ihres Landes an, sondern in Gold und verschatzten es in den Tresoren und Kellern der Zentralbank! Im 21.Jahrhundert dem Goldaberglauben anzuhängen-- kann jemand mehr beschränkt bzw. ahnungslos sein?

Nutzlos liegt es da, und davon erwarten sie.... ja was eigentlich? Ist das Gold der Zentralbank eine Waffe, ein politisches Druckmittel, ein wirtschaftlicher Katalysator? Nichts von alledem!

Nutzen würde Iran nur, wenn seine Führer das Gold gegen die „westliche Wertegemeinschaft“ einsetzten, denn für deren Goldaberglauben und Gier nach Gold würde man ihnen vermutlich sogar Atomwaffen verkaufen! Zumindest Abwehrmatten, die ein freier Staat zu seinem Schutze braucht. Wir müssen leider feststellen, daß bei der Geistesverfassung der iranischen Führer- abgesehen von der hirnschädigenden Rolle ihres religiösen Aberglaubens- mit wissenschaftlichen und freien Gedanken nicht zu rechnen ist. Also werden sie auf dem Gold sitzen bleiben, bis es ihnen unter dem Hintern fortgenommen wird, sie selbst gehängt werden. Und zwar vom iranischen Volke, weil sein sich zwangsläufig verschlechternder Lebensstandard den fremden Agenten des Großkapitals

ermöglichen wird, die Erbitterung über die Ausbeutung, die siechende Wirtschaft, die fortwährend schwindende Kaufkraft des Rial zur Rebellion auszunutzen. Weil die Staatslenker in der wichtigsten Sache, der Geldfrage, versagen, ist das Volk gegen sie zu empören. Vergessen wir nicht, das iranische Volk weiß nichts von der schäbigen Rolle des verbrecherischen Zentralbank Gouverneurs Mahmoud Bahmani, nichts vom Gold in den Kellern der Zentralbank, von den lukrativen Ölfeldern in

den Händen seiner reichen Klans, dem verheimlichten Räuberplan der internationalen Finanz, die die Aufhetzer bezahlt.

Wir wünschten, die Zukunft Irans sähe günstiger aus! Aber mit der verantwortungslosen Politik und der geringen Weisheit, mit der man Iran regiert, ist nichts besseres zu erhoffen. Es sei denn, man iist m Westen noch dümmmer. Was allerdings nicht ganz auszuschließen ist.

Iraks Central Bank plant vom Dinar drei Nullen abzustreichen

Reuters 26-08-2011 (15:23)

„Bagdad: Irak plant seine Dinar-Währung neu zu gestalten, indem sie drei Nullen von seinem gegenwärtigen Nennwert abstreicht. Dies sagte ein höherer Bankbeamter.

Der stellvertretende Bankdirektor Mudher Kasim sagte, daß die neue Währung innerhalb von drei Jahren auf den Markt kommen werde und keinerlei „Impakt auf Inflation haben werde“ (!)

...Dies ist eine wichtige Reform, sagte er.

Irak erholt sich langsam nach den Kriegsjahren und Sanktionen. Öl beherrscht die Finanzwirtschaft. Öl sorgt für 96% der Staatseinnahmen. Die *Investoren* klagen über die immer noch zentralistisch geführte Wirtschaft, die in Vorschriftensdchungel und Bürokratie erstickt, auch *werden zu viele Geschäfte allein mit Bargeld gemacht*.

„Iraks Central Bank begann Überlegungen, den Dinar abzuwerten schon vor einem Jahr, weil die großen Zahlen den Handel erschweren. Zu viele Transaktionen werden in Irak bar ausgeführt, weil das Bankensystem unterentwickelt ist.

Im festgesetzten Handel wird der Dinar mit 1170 Dinar für einen US-Dollar berechnet.

Was der Schwarzmarktpreis ist, wird nicht gesagt.

„Irak hat 30 Billionen Dinar im „Umlauf“, das Projekt würde sie auf 30 Milliarden verringern.

Kasim sagte, die Restrukturierung würde auch Münzen wiederbringen. Gegenwärtig ist eine 25.000 Dinar-Banknote 21 US-Dollars wert.“

Unsere Rundbriefleser werden sich erinnern, daß wir in Nr.44/45 einen sehr ähnlichen Bericht über die identischen Währungsprobleme des benachbarten Iran brachten. Dort plant man immer noch, drei Nullen vom Rial abzustreichen und kann sich nicht entschließen, diesen Schritt- oder Schnitt, wenn es so nennen will- auszuführen. (interessierte neue Leser können diesen Bericht von unserer Internetseite abrufen)

Wir wiesen darauf hin, daß viel mehr daran hängt als bloß einige Nullen weniger auf die Banknoten zu drucken und zu hoffen, dann würde alles in bester Ordnung sein. Auf dieses Problem scheinen die maßgebenden Nullen des Orients unverhofft gestoßen zu sein und wissen ohne Allahs Hilfe nicht weiter. Die Behauptung des Bankvizepräsidenten, der Dinar minus drei Nullen würde „keinen Impakt auf Inflation haben“ kann man ohne weiteres doppelsinnig auslegen. Womit angedeutet ist, daß diese Bankdiiktoren entweder Halunken oder Idioten sind.

Wenn auch nicht gesagt wird, so viel ist- für uns- aus der Reuter-Meldung erkennbar: Die 96% der Staatseinnahmen aus dem Ölverkauf genügen bei weitem nicht für die Ausgaben des Maliki-Regimes von US-Gnaden. Infolgedessen muß die Notenpresse das Defizit decken. Das zusätzliche Geld trifft auf ein ungenügendes Warenangebot. Denn Irak erholt sich, wie ja oben gesagt wird, nur „langsam vom Krieg und Sanktionen“- wenn überhaupt. Infolgedessen müssen die Preise steigen. Infolgedessen sinkt die Kaufkraft des Dinar. Was wiederum zur Folge haben muß, daß die „Erholung“ wegen finanzieller

Probleme- sprich Zinsproblem- ständig mehr kränkelt. Infolgedessen bleiben die Steuereinnahmen aus. Da gibt es für diese Leute nur den Ausweg, sich den Rest durch neugedrucktes Geld zu verschaffen. Und der Tanz mit dem unterwerteten Dinar dreht die nächste Spirale.

Tja, wenn man in der Lage Griechenlands wäre! Die Griechen hatten ja ihre entwertete Drachme einfach abgeschafft um sich dem vielversprechenden, damals noch wertvollen Euro anzuschließen. Über den Euro haben sie (glücklicherweise?) nicht zu bestimmen. Ihr Staatsdefizit wird, soweit nicht die Deutschen dafür eintreten, einfach durch Anleihen des Auslandes gedeckt. Wenn die Banken es nicht mehr leihen, wird das griechische Volk böse. Die Deutschen, bei denen sich die Euros sammeln, müssen Rettungspakete beschließen. Nicht um Griechenland zu retten, sondern den Euro für die Großbanken zu retten. Völliger Blödsinn! Mit anderen Worten, da die Griechen ihre Schulden sowieso nie meher zurückzahlen können und werden, leben sie auf deutsche Kosten. Feine Sache!

Abe die Iraker haben ihre eigene Währung und (angeblich) bestimmen sie über die Währungspolitik ihres Landes. Ihnen wird niemand unter die Arme greifen, am wenigsten die amerikanischen Räuber und Diebe, die 2003 in ihr Land einfielen, es ausplünderten. Außer den schwerbewaffneten Okkupanten (die gar nicht beabsichtigen, vertragsmäßig zum kommenden Jahresende 2011 das mit erlogenen Vorwänden eroberte Land endlich zu räumen) erhielten sie unzweifelhaft auch zünftige amerikanische Finanzberater. Wir können unseren Lesern und den Irakern garantieren: Über die irakische Währung wird das Volk heute weit mehr ausgeplündert als durch den hingerichteten Milliardär Saddam Hussein. Denn spürten die amerikanischen Finanzberater irgend eine sittliche Verpflichtung in sich, besser als Saddam zu sein, uneigennützig dieses unglückliche Land wieder aufzubauen, würden sie bei Maliki durchsetzen, Währung und Staatshaushalt in Ordnung zu bringen. Sie würden die amerikanischen Besatzungskosten nicht auf das kleine irakische Volk abwälzen, sondern aus eigener Tasche bezahlen. Die Tatsache, daß sie nichts, absolut nichts gegen die tolle Inflation in Irak unternehmen, obwohl sie die Macht dazu besitzen, beweist ihre Niederträchtigkeit. Aber offenbar genügt ihnen diese Macht immer noch nicht, denn sie erklären, daß das „irakische Bankensystem unterentwickelt ist.“ Offensichtlich sehen sie die Möglichkeit viel größerer Profite, wenn möglichst jede Geldzahlung ausschließlich durch sie abgewickelt wird.

Der Leser erkennt wieder einmal, wie künstlich, unnötig, überflüssig die Vermittlungstätigkeit der Banken eigentlich ist. Ihre Existenz wird von ihnen eigennützig mit schmutzigsten Methoden gefördert, aber-- nur weil das kapitalistische, zintragende Geld ihnen die Möglichkeit dazu bietet. Der Schreiber des Reuter-Berichtes verrät ihre Achilles-Ferse mit der unverfänglichen Mitteilung, es „*werden zu viele Geschäfte allein mit Bargeld gemacht*.“ Gemäß modernen Bankmethoden

muß jede Transaktion mindestens zweimal, ja viermal, verbucht werden. Eine solche Arbeit ist selbst mit Super-Computern und unterbezahltem Personal nicht umsonst, jedoch ein einträgliches Geschäft.

Hätten wir stetig umlaufendes Freigeld wie wir es vorschlagen, würden ja Zahlungen mit Bargeld viel einfacher und billiger als Banken sein. Wie viel besser stünde sich jedes Volk wirtschaftlich ohne das Bankensystem und seine Schiebermethoden, das sie den Irakern aufzwingen möchten! So etwa wie bei uns, wo die Großbanken jahrein, jahraus Milliardenprofite einstreichen. Was anderes kann man von Agenten der großen Geldhyänen erwarten?

Um ein Volk zu ruinieren, einen Staat zu zerstören, müssen *Privatleute*, „Bankiers“ dessen Geldwesen beherrschen. Das gilt nicht nur für Irak- oder Griechenland. Wir Deutschen sollten erkennen, daß die politischen und wirtschaftlichen Probleme der Bundesrepublik genau so ein reine Geldfrage sind. Die Unfähigkeit der maßgebenden Politiker, die Dummheit des Bundestages sind davon die Folgen, aber nicht die Ursache.

Das sei allen Kritikern der Bundesrepublik- es werden ihrer täglich mehr- ins Stammbuch- bzw. ihre Internet-Netzseite geschrieben.

WORDS OF WISDOM FROM MICHAEL WALSH

DON'T TALK WHITE SUPREMACY TO ME

Michael Walsh

For genuine National Socialists race was not the big issue; the problem was Jewish Zionism. Countless non-Europeans fought to defend National Socialism's fairer system of governance. The concept of 'White Supremacy' is a Jewish faggot's fantasy; don't be ensnared by it.

What National Socialists did claim was racial differences. Well, you would need to be as thick as two short planks not to accept that. Some Europeans can't see the difference. Having other race partners they are known as whiggers. Don't lose sleep over it; far greater losses are suffered through abortion, suicide and road accidents.

Whites still have a long way to go in damage limitation. In many European countries more White children are aborted than are born. It is genocide on Herod proportions. This hardly suggests White Supremacy, especially at a time when their race is being overrun by non-whites.

When researching WITNESS TO HISTORY I wept as I read of how entire cities, filled with White people were incinerated; cities were turned into vast crematoria. Those who burned, slaughtered and enslaved millions of their fellow Europeans were not Third World 'inferiors'; they were fellow Whites.

If this is pointed out to me by a non-European how do I answer him on the subject of 'White Supremacy'? Don't blame the Jews all the time; they didn't turn flame-throwers on youngsters who were the mirror images of their own children. They didn't fly the bombers or rape and plunder.

Europeans were responsible for 95% of crimes committed against their own people; they still are. No race to my knowledge ever killed so many of their own as have the Europeans.

Slavery: Read your social history: Read of how Britain, until 1967, kidnapped and deported their own people's children to far away lands where they were exploited and abused.

Compare what Europeans did to their own in the 18th and 19th centuries to what they did to Africans. The latter fared far better than did their shackled European

counterparts. Were the judges of England until 1967 any different from primitive tribesmen who sold their own to slavers?

In the Goebbels Diaries we read of how, in the early days, a passenger in a car shared by Adolf Hitler cynically indicated the debauchery of the German people under the heels of Jewish liberalism. Many had become something akin to what we regard as trailer trash. He asked the great revolutionary; 'Are they worth fighting for?'

Adolf Hitler said simply: 'I don't fight for what they are; I fight for what they can become.'

WITNESS TO HISTORY: Michael Walsh.
<http://amzn.to/rR41iO>

SAY NO TO WAR

Michael Walsh

These people are not our enemies. Say no to Western and Israeli war aims. Contact your political representatives.

***In 1939 the French people said 'NO' to war. Their government declared war.**

***In 1939 the British people said 'NO' to war. Their government declared war.**

***In 1940 the American people said 'No' to war. Their government was already making war.**

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=TOBANrkrngo

The people do not want politician declared wars on pretexts other than genuine defence. The following are not reasons for going to war.

***Overthrow the legitimate governments of others**

***Steal the assets of other countries**

***Assist the foreign policy of other governments; i.e. Israel**

***Weaken alliances and trade arrangements of competing governments; i.e. Russia, India, China**
THESE AND MANY MORE SPEAK FOR THE PEOPLE

'Strike against war, for without you no battles can be fought! Strike against manufacturing shrapnel and gas bombs and all other tools of murder! Strike against preparedness that means death and misery to millions of human beings! Be not dumb, obedient slaves in an army of destruction! Be heroes in an army of

construction! Helen Keller.' - Source: Told to an audience at Carnegie Hall one year before the United States entered World War I. From 'Declarations of Independence' by Howard Zinn page 75

'It is yet another Civilized Power, with its banner of the Prince of Peace in one hand and its loot-basket and its butcher-knife in the other. Is there no salvation for us but to adopt Civilization and lift ourselves down to its level?' - Mark Twain

'The most shocking fact about war is that its victims and its instruments are individual human beings, and that these individual beings are condemned by the monstrous conventions of politics to murder or be murdered in quarrels not their own' - Aldous Huxley - English novelist and critic, 1894-1963

'When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men living in society, they create for themselves, in the course of time, a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it.' - Frederic Bastiat, (1801-1850) French economist, statesman, and author

'No one man nor group of men incapable of fighting or exempt from fighting should in any way be given the power, no matter how gradually it is given them, to put this country or any country into war'. Ernest Hemingway

'The enemy aggressor is always pursuing a course of larceny, murder, rapine and barbarism. We are always moving forward with high mission, a destiny imposed by the Deity to regenerate our victims while incidentally capturing their markets, to civilise savage and senile and paranoid peoples while blundering accidentally into their oil wells.' John Flynn, 1944.

THE TRIUMPH OF REASON: THE THINKING MAN'S HITLER

Michael Walsh

Tens of thousands of books and articles have been written about Adolf Hitler. Finding out what he actually said on any issue is like looking for a needle in a haystack. All you get is what someone claims he said.

Online sources are futile; it is rare if not impossible to find an accurate statement or maxim. Some are taken out of context; others recycled until they lose all meaning.

Curious as to what Adolf Hitler thought of cults, women and women's rights, foreign policy, the Roehm purge, art and culture; the Jews and press, The British Empire, Hollywood, health, Winston Churchill, education; you are unlikely to be successful.

All has changed with the online edition of The Triumph of Reason: The Thinking Man's Hitler. This latest Michael Walsh blockbuster is a concise easy to read compilation. No wading through long speeches to get to the gems you are searching for; it has all been done for you.

In the fuehrer's own words, for the first time ever, you learn the story of the NSDAP (German Workers National Socialist Party). The story begins at the party's creation

from which it takes you on a journey of discovery though the early struggles to the bitter conclusion.

The Triumph of Reason: The Thinking Man's Hitler is revolutionary. Nothing like it has ever been attempted before. It draws on a wide diversity of sources, all of which are verifiable. As you flick the pages you will discover the reason why this is the book the establishment fear you reading.

At 63,000 words it is paperback size. Fully illustrated The Triumph of Reason: The Thinking Man's Hitler covers over 150 topics you will find fascinating. This incredible groundbreaking read contains over 500 statements made by the fuehrer at speeches, many newspapers and magazines; radio and personal interviews.

DOWNLOAD NOW AVAILABLE ON AMAZON -
<http://amzn.to/wMfXly>

DYING GASPS OF A MONSTER STATE **Michael Walsh**

American do not want war; the British and Europeans have no appetite for war. I suspect that off the record few in Congress and parliaments want war with Iran. Everyone is nervous; they have a right to be.

A handful of psychopaths, who have it in their power to destabilise the world, seriously weaken Europe, kill several million people, and destroy nations could fit in one White House room. They must be stopped. The question is how.

As in all earlier conflicts we are on a handcart going to hell. A blueprint made a century ago explains what is happening now. Examples are the overthrow in 1917 of the legitimate Russian government by Wall Street financed insurrectionists; the demonising of Adolf Hitler and the Third Reich followed by the pillage and rape of Europe and loss of 50 million lives. For such monsters a few million slaughtered in the Middle East is a skirmish.

THE FOUR HORSEMEN OF THE APOCALYPSE

The same satanic faces: 'The conceptions of Bolshevism are in harmony in most points with the ideas of Judaism.' - Jewish Chronicle, April 4 1919. The same disquiet: 'All that is best in France is against war, almost at any price.' Eric Phipps, Britain's Ambassador to France.

The same public wisdom prevailed: 'in three days last week I had 2,450 letters and 1,860 (76%) of these were 'stop the war', in one form or another.' - Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain, October 1939.

When hostilities began in September 1939 Gallup Poll showed 94% of the American people against involvement in war. The figure rose to 96.5% in December 1939. On June 3, 1941, 83% of the American population was against entering the war.' Tragically massive public rejection of war was futile; the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse were holding the reins.

FROM SPACE AGE TO STONE AGE

A video showing the space age cities of Iran is going cybersonic whilst Israel's bitch is in its death throes. Formerly great cities such as Detroit, Pittsburgh, and Dayton in Arizona are now ghost cities. These and

many others a landscape of urban wreckage that in large parts resembles Europe circa 1945.

These cities populations have shrunk by 50% and 18.9% of homes lie empty without much chance of a buyer. According to U.S. Representative Betty Sutton, America lost an average of 15 manufacturing facilities every day over the last 10 years. By 2010 this figure reached 23 factories a day. Since 1971 consumer debt has risen by 1700%.

Only 55.3% of United States citizens between the ages of 16 and 29 are employed. The working population of the U.S. is 240 million but 100 million of them are unemployed.

The Sons of Satan who have taken America halfway to hell are about to push this potentially great nation into

the abyss. The question is how to stop Blofeld (Obama) and America's *SPECTRE* (Special Executive for Counter-intelligence, Terrorism, Revenge and Extortion).

A Reminder

Amidst many negative, economic woes, austerity, threat from forced assimilation and war, may I remind all of the path we seek to return on. It is the purity of our race; respect for our culture, and the guarantee of our future as a unique people bearing gifts for the whole of mankind:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NGFDDE4P_4k

Here is something you will never see on the Jewish hijacked liberal media. Enjoy

Michael Walsh News Services

Independent White European International News

euroman_uk@yahoo.co.uk

Subscription Free News Service but Source Credit and Link Presumed

Of interest?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jy8kBUpCSCI>

*

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NuW0MgGw95o>

*

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UcEdxzYSNIQ>

*

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y_gqkQVD1Hs

*

http://oaklawn.patch.com/articles/republican-congressional-candidate-says-holocaust-never-happened#comment_2614582

*

Check out this HATE. Some Christians will consider it "holy," because it is practiced by their favorite people! After all, they do want to be "blessed," not "cursed," don't they?

<http://theneworder.org/news/2012/03/hate-all-non-jews,-skvere-rabbis-say/>

*

In presenting a *Purim* scroll, Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu has given Barack Obama a not-so-subtle message!

<http://theneworder.org/news/2012/03/netanyahu-presents-purim-scroll-to-obama-describing-'persian-plot'-to-kill-jews/>

*

Anne Frank's belongings head back to Frankfurt, 80 years after family fled Nazis - Haaretz Daily Newspaper | Israel News

<http://www.haaretz.com/print-edition/news/anne-frank-s-belongings-head-back-to-frankfurt-80-years-after-family-fled-nazis-1.416502>

*



Adar 8, 5772, 02/03/12 01:14

"The Greatest Lie of Modern Times". This is how French scholar Robert Faurisson defined the Holocaust in an article published this week by the Italian daily *Rinascita*, a leftist national newspaper financed by Italy's government.

<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/153346>