

# ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300  
Adelaide 5067  
Australia  
Mob: 61+401692057  
Email: [info@adelaideinstitute.org](mailto:info@adelaideinstitute.org)  
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online  
ISSN 1440-9828



June 2013 No 696

---

## Topic: 9/11 Remembered The Myth of the Innocent Civilian

**How I Remember 9/11  
by Michael Santomauro  
(libertarian)**

**Sunday, December 23, 2007**

\*\*\*

**September 2006**

**Why I Won't Apologize for my  
Essay "The Myth of the Innocent  
Civilian"**

**From Michael Santomauro**

\*

**Dear friend,**

Here are some of the experiences that motivated me to be provocative with my essay, "The Myth of the Innocent Civilian" (essay in the second half of this post) which under the Patriot Act could put me in jail. Let me start from the beginning.

I remember on 9/11 being woken-up by phone with my girlfriend crying and telling me to watch the news because a plane crashed into the WTC building and then hung-up. I still laid in bed half asleep for about five minutes. It was her crying and abrupt hang-up that I decided to get out of bed and turn on the television set.

Immediately, I'm watching a plane crashing into the second WTC building, but the anchorwoman confused me, because she thought she was showing a replay of the first plane crashing into one of the Towers, not realizing that it was a live shot of the second plane. I'm seeing one building on fire and the second building with a plane crashing into it. As she is showing her confusion on the airwaves, I decided to switch to CNN, where I'm told the United States is under

attack.

I was just numb.

Later, newsman Dan Rather is interviewing former Clinton national security advisor Sandy Berger. After the interview, when Dan Rather thought he was off the air (he actually was, with no picture on the screen, but the microphones were still on) he says "Sandy that was great" and Sandy Berger says back "thanks Dan," which I thought was odd, considering Dan Rather's upbeat voice in saying "Sandy that was great" was so different from his somber voice when he was asking questions. Within a few seconds you hear a sad Dan Rather turn into a happy man. 'What was that all about?', I thought to myself.

After that, I decided to go outside. It's now early afternoon. I thought it would be a good idea to stack up for food and water. As I'm in the elevator, a man who lives in the building steps in - it's just him and me in total silence. Then he burst into anger "this is worse than what I saw in Korea. We should kill the bastards."

I walk the streets and there is a surreal quietness except for the loudest noise being the footsteps of thousands of New Yorkers coming back from an early day at work. Then I walk farther away from my apartment to a nearby school. I run into students laughing and giggling, knowing what just happened. I even saw one school teacher joining in on the laughter. The teacher and all the students acting that way were all African-American. I was confused! I was dismayed! I was angry to see such non-seriousness.

It was happening next to a fire station, and I would glance at the firefighters faces to see their reaction to the teenagers behavior. They seem to take it in stride.

I walk to my favorite Pakistani restaurant - it closed early. I notice all the Muslim owned shops closed-up... Afraid.

I walk and walk no ones talking - just walking. I could never forget the loudness of those foot steps.

Just then a woman exits out of an ATM bank. She is in a panic, crying and comes up to me. In the only speaking voice on the sidewalk she tells me that someone stole her cell phone. As I'm about to comfort her, another woman passing by shouts "shut the fuck-up lady, 10,000 people just got killed. Put things in perspective. Asshole."

It worked! The woman stopped crying. We looked at each other. She says to me "that was rude." But I thought to myself, 'maybe it was rude, but the rude lady was right'.

I kept walking in silence, thinking to myself this is all because of our lopsided foreign policy in the Middle East.

Later, I catch-up with my girlfriend. We find an Indian restaurant that is open. Actually, all the restaurants that are non-Muslim owned were open - packed with diners. The same people that walked in silence on the sidewalks earlier in the day were acclimating to talking again - but not talking about why it happened. Instead, some tourists were upset it was going to interfere with their vacation stay in New York. My girlfriend was telling me,

that she was not looking forward to the possibility that this was going to be the sole item of news coverage for the rest of the month. I was dismayed that she had that attitude. Others did not have anything to say about what happened - instead they would small talk about mundane things, like it was an ordinary evening, as they were drinking their beer, laughing and being joyous. These white yuppies and other folks were no different from the black students I experienced earlier in the day.

Later, about 10 p.m. there was news footage of a different angle of the second plane hitting the tower. I turn the volume up and my girlfriend (a Wasp) storms out of the bedroom screaming that it was too loud and she needed to sleep. I was pointing to the TV and saying "look at the new footage they have." She screams "I don't care!" I was perplexed. I scream back "how can you even sleep? Can't you stay up till midnight and watch this with me?"

She says "no, it's too depressing." My girlfriend is a doctor - a psychologist!

After that, I get a call from my girlfriend's girlfriend, Alex.

"Hello"

"Hi, Michael this is Alex. Is Susan there?"

"Amazing what happened today."

"It's old news."

"Old news?"

"I saw the flames from the train as I was going to work this morning. I knew right away I was going to have the day off from work. So when I got to work they told us to go back home. You know what I did instead?"

"What?"

"I decided it would be a perfect shopping day. So I rush to Bloomingdales and guess what happened?"

"What?"

"They were closed. And so then the trains weren't running. So I walked all the way down to the village to

Babou's apartment and we hung-out and smoked pot."

A phone call I will always remember verbatim - from a Jewish woman who claims to have a Mensa IQ. An immigrant from the former Soviet Union, a rabid Zionist, who chose to live in the United States over Israel, who at a later date had no qualms telling me and my girlfriend over dinner, that she felt superior to us because she was born Jewish. Sick! The next day I get a phone call from Israel from an ex-girlfriend, to see if I'm okay. She went on to tell me she was depressed about her fellow Israelis. She says "Israelis are celebrating in a silent way that it happened to America."

Two days later on Sept. 13, I'm talking to my stockbroker in person at the bank, he is Italian-American. He is an apolitical person when it comes to foreign policy issues. Ignorant of history, but intuitively he senses something that my ex-Israeli girlfriend was telling me. In confidence, he tells me that on Tuesday morning on 9/11 when the bank was getting ready to close early his Jewish clients had happy smirks on their face. Asking me "what was that all about? I'm I not understanding something?"

The first Monday after 9/11 the major TV networks resume regular TV programming. (My girlfriend's wish comes true.) On the David Letterman show, his first guest is Dan Rather - the same man with the happy voice, saying "Sandy that was great" is weeping in the interview chair. He went on to say how he was disturbed about the "Middle Easterners" who were caught dancing and celebrating on the George Washington Bridge. Mr. Rather knew that those arrested "Middle Easterners" were Israeli nationals.

To this day, most Americans think those "Middle Easterners" celebrating on the bridge were Muslims thanks to Dan Rather and the rest of the sanitized US media outlets, who are afraid of losing their jobs if they report they were

Jewish.

I'll stop here. I can continue into infinity with all the nuance's of my experience concerning the event of 9/11. I hope that, what I have imparted to you, is not your reality. If that is the case I envy you. But, I have not met a thinking person who has not had at the minimum - similar experiences or more depressing ones. I stand by the provocative essay that I wrote (see below) in September of 2002 a year after the event - even if it means my arrest under the Patriot Act.

You can yell "fire" in a crowded theater - if there is a fire. Unless you are a mute, you have a legal obligation to yell "fire." When is someone supposed to be provocative? When everyone is dead from the disease? There is a time and a place to be provocative - the cancer is growing. The cure? Wake people up! That is my reality! What's yours?

Something else struck a chord in my mind after I wrote "The Myth of the Innocent Civilian" that supports my experience and taught something about myself. When I had a conversation with a man who worked as a chef at a restaurant in Manhattan on Nine-eleven, he was also shocked at how uncontentplative people were on that day. He went on to tell me that in a quiet moment, in his kitchen, one of his cooks from Bolivia - pulled him over and said:

"I don't understand you Americans, if this happened to my country, we would all be in church praying."

The cook from Bolivia spoke those words the same evening I was across the street from him in the Indian restaurant.

Maybe I'm part of the problem.

Maybe I also live the myth.

**Peace.**

Michael Santomauro

[RePorterNoteBook@Gmail.com](mailto:RePorterNoteBook@Gmail.com)

**START:**

**The Myth of the Innocent Civilian**

by Michael Santomauro

Sept. 2002\*

### **Who's Kidding Whom?**

At least half of those victims who were killed on Sept. 11, 2001 will not be missed, in my way of thinking they were part of the problem. If the dead are a reflection of how the living and uncaring American concerns himself about the Middle East, then maybe the typical American deserves the same fate.

The majority of those killed on 9/11 thought that the Muslim world hates the U.S. because of our freedoms - that the Arabs are a hateful people - that the Palestinians are in the wrong - and that Israel is right.

Many of the dead, when they were alive spoke in the same vernacular as their loved ones do today.

"Who gives a fuck about the Palestinians."

"We should just let Israel drop atomic bombs on the whole region and kill them all."

"There're just sandniggers, who cares about them."

"God gave the land to the Jews. The bible says so."

"There're just a bunch of terrorists."

"We should send weapons to Israel, look at what happened to them with the holocaust."

"If we can't trust them, why should Israel?"

Former Congressman Findley writes:

"Nine-eleven would not have occurred if the U.S. government had refused to help Israel humiliate and destroy Palestinian society. Few express this conclusion publicly, but many believe it is the truth. I believe the catastrophe could have been prevented if any U.S.

president during the past 35 years had had the courage and wisdom to suspend all U.S. aid until Israel withdrew from the Arab land seized in the 1967 Arab-Israeli war."

### **Do we really care?**

I think if the typical American does not make an attempt to understand the damage our weapons have inflicted on the Arabs by proxy with Israel and the continuous dishonest one-sided United States foreign policy in the region, then maybe we deserve more of what we got on Sept. 11, 2001.

### **Former Congressman Findley continues:**

"In its violent assaults on Palestinians, Israel uses the pretext of eradicating terrorism, but its forces are actually engaged advancing the territorial expansion just cited. Under the guise of anti-terrorism, Israeli forces treat Palestinians worse than cattle. With due process nowhere to be found, hundreds are detained for long periods and most are tortured. Some are assassinated. Homes, orchards, and business places are destroyed. Entire cities are kept under intermittent curfew, some confinements lasting for weeks. Injured or ill Palestinians needing emergency medical care are routinely held at checkpoints for an hour or more. Many children are undernourished. The West Bank and Gaza have become giant concentration camps. None of this could have occurred without U.S. support. Perhaps Israeli officials believe life will become so unbearable that most Palestinians will eventually leave their ancestral

homes."

### **The arrogance, the callousness was there!**

The typical, now dead American from 9/11 lived his life knowing these facts and not caring about it. The arrogance and the callousness was part of his everyday being. Was his life worth living, if he didn't care how the American government was using his tax money to hurt people? - to destroy the livelihoods of an entire region? - to allow American bombs to be used in a criminal way when dropped by Israel? - Knowing the U.S. veto power would come to the rescue of Israel, in virtually any UN resolution that was condemning Israel's crimes against the Arabs.

### **My contention is most of those killed on 9/11 were aware of this.**

I think to myself, 'when is America going to stop the culture of lies'. And then my inner thoughts hear the callousness of the dead - when they were alive before 9/11, scream - "So what? Who cares? Bomb them all."

### **So what?**

The myth of the innocent civilian. Who's kidding whom? Nine-eleven should be a reminder that if more Americans have an early death, it is because of the end result of not caring.

### **Peace.**

Michael Santomauro

Editorial Director

253 West 72nd street #1711

New York, NY 10023

Phone: 212-787-7891

<http://www.nolanchart.com/article/674-the-myth-of-the-innocent-civilian.html>

---

## **American media distortion on Palestine**

**Alison Weir, Wednesday, 01 May 2013 07:00**

Thirteen years ago I knew very little about Israel-Palestine. Like most Americans, this seemed to be a distant, confusing conflict that had little to do with me. I was unaware -again, like most Americans - that American taxpayers give Israel over \$8 million per day, more than we give to any other nation.

I was unaware that our nation has vetoed numerous United Nations efforts to reign in Israeli aggression;

resolutions that were supported by almost every other country around the world. I was unaware that US actions were enabling a massive land theft and ongoing ethnic cleansing that has caused profound tragedy in the Middle East, deep damage to our own nation and endangered American lives.

My personal awakening to these facts and others began in the autumn of 2000 when the Palestinian uprising

known as the Second Intifada began and was, for a while at least, in the American news. I grew curious about this conflict, determined to follow the news on it, and noticed quickly how one-sided the news coverage appeared to be. While we heard from and about Israelis frequently, the Palestinian side seemed to be largely glossed over at minimum, and was sometimes completely hidden.



***"exposing and overcoming pro-Israel power over information in the US about Israel-Palestine may, I believe, be the most important activity that those seeking justice and peace in the Middle East can undertake"***

I began searching for additional information on the Internet and was astounded at what I learned. Israeli forces were killing hundreds of largely unarmed Palestinian men, women and children; many of the children were being killed by gunshot wounds to the head.

While some Israelis were also being killed during this period, these deaths were far fewer and virtually invariably occurred after Palestinian deaths. Over 90 Palestinian children were killed before a single Israeli child. Over 140 Palestinian men, women and children living on their own land were killed before anyone in Israel was.

As I learned the nature of Israel's military occupation of the West Bank and Gaza Strip and the true history of the region, it began to seem to me that this was the longest and possibly most significant cover-up I had ever come across. I finally decided to quit my job as the editor of a small community newspaper in northern California and go and see for myself what was going on, travelling to Israel-Palestine as a freelance reporter in February and March of 2001.

When I returned I created an organisation called "If Americans Knew" to provide the full facts to my fellow citizens and to study why and how US news coverage was failing to do this.

#### **Israel-centrism and patterns of distortion**

We have conducted a number of statistical studies on this issue and found that US media were covering

Israeli deaths in far greater detail than they were covering those of Palestinian.

For example, the New York Times was reporting on Israeli children's deaths at a rate seven times greater than they were covering Palestinian children's deaths; this didn't even include the far larger number of words and amount of personal information given about Israeli victims compared to Palestinians. We also found that primetime network news programmes were covering Israeli children's deaths at rates up to 14 times greater than the coverage given to Palestinians.

I discovered a system of reporting from the region in which a violent conflict between an officially "Jewish state" and the Muslims and Christians it had dispossessed (and was in the process of dispossessing further) was being covered most of the time by journalists with legal, familial or emotional ties to Israel. A great many are Israeli citizens (though this is almost never disclosed) or married to Israelis, their children also being Israeli.

I discovered that the Associated Press control bureau for the region, from which virtually all news reports that appear in US newspapers were transmitted, was located in Israel and was staffed almost entirely by Israeli and Jewish journalists (many of whom had served in the Israeli military).

I learned that the son of the New York Times bureau chief was serving in the Israeli military while his father was reporting on the conflict. In fact, I discovered that it was common for journalists in the region reporting for American media to have close personal ties to the Israeli military; that at least one staff member had been serving in the Israeli military even as he was reporting for the NY Times; that US News & World Report's senior foreign correspondent, who had covered and written about the Middle East for more than 40 years, had a son serving in the Israeli army during the time he was reporting there; that Middle East "pundit" Jeffrey Goldberg, whose commentary pervades both the print and broadcast media, is an Israeli citizen who served in the Israeli military.

I learned that CNN anchorman Wolf Blitzer lived in Israel for many years, at one point travelled around the US as the "voice of Israel" and had worked for an Israel lobby publication.

I learned that Time magazine's bureau chief was an Israeli citizen, and that NPR's long-time correspondent from the region had an Israeli husband who had served in the military and may be an Israeli citizen herself.

I also discovered that this pattern of Israel-centrism went beyond the regional reporting. In fact, the regional filtering of the news may not even be the most significant factor in the broken media reporting on this issue that Americans receive.

Within US-based journalism per se I discovered patterns of Israel-centrism that were deeply troubling. In some cases I personally experienced the intentional

suppression of information on Palestine. Following are a few examples.

### **San Francisco Chronicle**

While I was on my first trip to the Middle East I had met with a managing editor at the San Francisco Chronicle before I left and told him of my intention to report from the region. He had been quite interested and asked me to send him my first-hand reports.

During my trip, despite the difficulties in doing this, I sent him several reports at a time when almost no other American journalists were in the West Bank or, especially, Gaza. None were printed.

Finally, he sent me an email saying that he might be able to publish some of my reports, but that this would be "political". This was unusually honest but quite troubling. It should not be "political" to publish on-the-scene reporting.

While he never explained the obstacles confronting such reports, I suspect they had to do with the fact that the top editor at the time, Phil Bronstein, tilts toward Israel; that numerous advertisers were pro-Israel; that the pro-Israel power structure is extremely strong in California; that pro-Israel organisations in the US invariably mount protests and boycotts if newspapers stray too far from their preferences; and that others are frequently afraid of being called "anti-Semitic" and of the potential damage honest journalism on this topic could do to their careers.

A few years later a journalist who had worked for the Chronicle for many years, Henry Norr, was fired by Bronstein. While a different rationale was put forward for Norr's termination, Norr himself believes that the real reason was his activities related to Palestine. He had written a column about an Intel factory constructed illegally on Palestinian land and had also given a lunchtime briefing to staffers about a trip he had taken to the West Bank.

Still another former Chronicle journalist has described the inner workings related to news coverage of Israel-Palestine; that most of those editing wire copy were Israel partisans, that this journalist was largely kept away from editing reports on the issue; and that there was an atmosphere in which anti-Arab cartoons were sometimes posted on a bulletin board.

In 2004 our organisation conducted a statistical study of the Chronicle's coverage during the first six months of the Second Intifada and discovered that the Chronicle had covered 150 per cent of Israeli children's deaths and only 5 per cent of Palestinian children's deaths. Before releasing it to the public I phoned Bronstein to meet with him to present it in person, the normal protocol. He failed to return my phone calls. At a public forum I again requested such a meeting. In front of a large audience Bronstein promised to meet. Yet, he later again refused to return phone calls and this meeting never transpired.

We then released our report publicly and distributed it as widely as possible. In addition, some groups and individuals disseminated thousands of fliers containing some of our key charts and statistics, headlined "What Children Matter?" These activities, of course, received considerable attention, and I feel were far more valuable than a meeting.

### **Gannett Newspapers**

Gannett is one of the top news chains in the US. According to its website, it consists of 82 daily newspapers, including USA TODAY, and it reaches 11.6 million readers every weekday and 12 million readers every Sunday. USA TODAY is the nation's top newspaper in print circulation, reaching 6.6 million readers daily.

In addition to its newspapers, Gannett owns 23 TV stations, which reach 21 million households, covering 18.2 per cent of the US population. It also delivers news on 9,500 video screens located in elevators of office towers and select hotel lobbies across North America.

In 2001 a Gannett reporter who was writing a series of articles in the wake of the 9-11 attacks, heard about my trip to the region six months before the attacks and phoned me for an interview. He was extremely interested in my story and ended up calling me several more times for follow-up interviews, asked me to send him all my reports from my trip, and upon receiving them he was quite complimentary about their quality.

The reporter then sent a photographer to take pictures of me in my home for the article, had her express mail them to him, and said the story would be coming out soon.

We were in the process of creating the If Americans Knew website at the time and hurried to make this live, since this would be major exposure.

A little later I went on a speaking tour and a reporter from a community newspaper in a tiny newspaper chain in New York State interviewed me for his paper. A few days later he wrote to me saying that the newspaper owner had killed his article. He said this was the first time this had ever happened to him.

I then realised that I had never seen the Gannett newspaper article on me and If Americans Knew. I emailed the reporter, told him about this incident, and asked him if I had missed his article or whether the same thing had happened to him. I hadn't missed it. He said that his editor had similarly killed the story.

I later saw an article by this reporter about Americans visiting Iraq who were highly critical of the US government. It is interesting that this subject matter was permissible, but not a feature on someone critical of Israel.

### **National Public Radio – Vermont and Michigan**

Several years later I was on a speaking tour in Vermont and New Hampshire and was to be interviewed on a local affiliate of the influential National Public Radio

network. When I arrived at the radio station it turned out that the radio host who had agreed to do this was not available and another person was going to do the interview, someone called Neal Charnoff.

Charnoff and the programme producer took me back to the studio where they would record the interview for later broadcast. Oddly, the regular sound engineer was told he could go outside and take a break, and the producer took over.

The host began his first question with a statement that my articles contained "anti-Semitic" overtones. I interrupted him immediately, said this was untrue, and asked him what he was talking about – which specific articles or statements that I had written did he claim were "anti-Semitic"?

He could not answer. I wondered if he had even read anything I had written or whether he was simply repeating the unfounded accusations by the Anti-Defamation League, a fanatically pro-Israel organisation that has been implicated in a vast spying operation on Americans.

Flustered at the embarrassment at having made a statement based on no evidence, he began the interview again in a more normal fashion. I told him about my trip to the West Bank and Gaza Strip and what I had found.

Within a few minutes, and sooner than the scheduled end of the interview, he stopped it. He turned off the equipment and said they would not be airing it.

I was shocked and asked him why not. There was then a brief conversation in which he, and to a lesser extent the producer, defended Israel against the statement of facts I had made about what I had seen. The producer, who seemed to be more reasonable – and who also may have realised that Charnoff's intention to kill the interview so publicly would reflect badly on the station – said that she was sure they would be able to broadcast something.

They eventually did so. They did not, however, include information on my upcoming talks in the area, information that would normally have been included. I noticed later that Charnoff's interviews frequently seem to focus on the Jewish experience and that a disproportionate number of the authors, musicians, etc., that he highlights on his programme are Jews.

Another incident took place in another NPR affiliate, this one in Ann Arbor, Michigan, location of the University of Michigan, one of the top public universities in the United States.

One way that we and other groups try to get around the media's reluctance to report fully and accurately on Palestine is through the placement of paid advertising. Sometimes even this is censored.

WUOM, the largest NPR affiliate in the state of Michigan, apparently at the direction of its head, Steve Schram, refused to run a spot giving the name of our organisation. Then, when we challenged this

editorship, the station supplied a number of fraudulent and ever-changing explanations. Only after fighting this over a year and involving the university administration and a small sit-in in the WUOM office were we able to force them to include our name in a paid advertisement.

**American History Magazine/Weider History Group**  
Still another incident occurred when we tried to buy an advertisement in American History magazine. The ad was to promote the autobiography of CNI's founder, former US Congressman Paul Findley. We were told that the magazine would not publish the advertisement because CNI was "anti-Israel". In fact, they informed us that none of their other 10 magazines would run the ad either.

We were amazed to learn that almost all the national popular history magazines in the United States are published by the Weider History group; American History, World War II, Military History, Vietnam, Armchair General, the Civil War, etc.

According to its website, the Weider History Group is the largest chain of history magazines in the world, making its pro-Israel bias particularly important. George Orwell's words suggest the significance of the Weider censorship within its history magazines: "Who controls the past controls the future."

As their censorship of our ad because they considered us "anti-Israel" would suggest, the Weiders are very close to Israel. The co-founder of the Weider empire is one of six North American chairmen of the Jerusalem Fund of Aish HaTorah, which takes political leaders, corporate executives, investors and entertainment personages on private trips to Israel to increase their support for the country.

A Weider foundation has given large grants to another Aish HaTorah-connected organisation, the Los Angeles-based American Friends of Aish Hatorah, a nationalistic Israeli organization that promotes Israel in the United States and has a programme to create and equip advocates for Israel on American campuses. Aish has been connected to the production of pseudo-documentaries promoting Islamophobia that were distributed in America.

The Weiders originally brought future movie star and California governor Arnold Schwarzenegger to the US and played a major role in building both his personal and political career. Weider patriarch Joe Weider once proclaimed proudly, "We created Arnold." As California governor, Schwarzenegger promoted Israel, stating, "I love Israel. When I became governor, Israel was the first country that I visited."

#### **The Media role in US policy formation**

Thirteen years ago when I grew curious about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, I had no idea that my questions would lead me to discover such an extraordinary pattern of influence on behalf of a foreign country in the US media.

This influence, I believe, may be the single most significant factor in creating America's uniquely massive support for Israel. If American news organisations had been reporting fully and accurately on the region; if they had exposed the pro-Israel lobby's power and manipulation in the United States; if they had covered the damage done to Americans by policies centred on what would "benefit" Israel rather than Americans (though not, I believe, those Israelis dreaming of peace), I have no doubt that US policies would be vastly different than those we see today.

Moreover, I feel that it is US support for Israel that has supplied the economic, military and diplomatic support for Israel to continue with astoundingly aggressive and oppressive policies. As such, exposing and overcoming pro-Israel power over information in the US about Israel-Palestine may, I believe, be the most important activity that those seeking justice and peace in the Middle East can undertake.

Providing Americans with the full facts on the region; on the determining influence on our media, our government and our country by Israel and its partisans; and on the devastating, wide-ranging damage created by the current situation, will eventually, I have no doubt, bring the momentous change that is so urgently needed. In fact, given that the US has a history of being a very changeable country, if enough resources are devoted to this effort, such a transformation could occur in less time than some long-time observers might expect.

**Alison Weir is executive director of If Americans Knew and president of the Council for the National Interest. She is the author of Against our Better Judgment: The Hidden History of how the United States was used to create Israel. For copies write to [contact@ifamericansknew.org](mailto:contact@ifamericansknew.org)**

<http://www.middleeastmonitor.com/articles/question-writers/5890-american-media-distortion-on-palestine>

---

**From:** Fredrick Toben [toben@toben.biz](mailto:toben@toben.biz)]

**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 May 2013 11:54 AM

**To:** [Tony.Abbott.MP@aph.gov.au](mailto:Tony.Abbott.MP@aph.gov.au)

**Cc:** [ajn@jewishnews.net.au](mailto:ajn@jewishnews.net.au); Adelaide Institute; [jjones@aijac.org.au](mailto:jjones@aijac.org.au); John Kaminski; [slewis@slatergordon.com.au](mailto:slewis@slatergordon.com.au); [news@heraldsun.com.au](mailto:news@heraldsun.com.au); [newsdesk@smh.com.au](mailto:newsdesk@smh.com.au); [office@premier.nsw.gov.au](mailto:office@premier.nsw.gov.au); senator.brandis@aph.gov.au;

**Subject:** "using the Holocaust card" to guilt-trip the other side was problematic and couldn't be done forever."

**Fredrick Töben states:**

**The frenzy generated by Jews, as per below articles, is directly proportional to the economic/political meanderings of those forces that have embraced Holocaust-Shoah-Zionism-Talmud dogma/myths as their moral compass, and this brings us back to the 1930s and its quested battle-of-the-wills resulting in a logical conclusion to a myth - but when will this nonsense stop? What are Australian politicians doing about it? Must we have another world war to satiate predatory capitalism's hunger for resources and then cover the ensuing bloodbath in another freedom-and-democracy shroud? Blessed be George Soros and his money-making scams!**

=====

## **Nazi-themed opera causes scandal in Germany**

**Shocked audience boos modern interpretation of Wagner's 'Tannhäuser' that features gas chambers**

**May 7, 2013, 7:24 pm [2](#)**

BERLIN (AP) — A modern production of Richard Wagner's opera "Tannhäuser" has caused a stir in Germany because of Nazi-themed scenes showing people dying in gas chambers and a family having their heads shaved and executed.

A spokeswoman for the Dusseldorf opera house said Tuesday that members of the audience "booded

and were shocked" by Saturday's opening performance.

Monika Doll said the company of Deutsche Oper am Rhein is debating whether to tone down the provocative parts, added to the original by director Burkhard Kosminski.

The head of the city's Jewish community, Michael Szentei-Heise, criticized the show as "tasteless."

Adolf Hitler was a fan of Wagner, who died in 1883. Wagner was an ardent anti-Semite and his music is still widely considered taboo in Israel.

Copyright 2013 The Associated Press.

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/nazi-themed-opera-causes-scandal-in-germany/>

"Businessman Ban said one of the biggest problems is the lack of initiative when it comes to combating anti-Semitism in Hungary. "We respond, but we don't create dialogue or educate people before this happens," he elaborated, adding that "using the Holocaust card" to guilt-trip the other side was problematic and couldn't be done forever."

-----  
**Budapest Jews fear violent attack only 'a matter of time'**

**With anti-Semitic events on the rise and the WJC in town, community members warn intensifying verbal assaults could be a sign of worse to come**

By [Aaron Kalman](#) May 7, 2013, 10:43 pm 2

BUDAPEST, Hungary — Denes Ban was wearing a kipa and walking through the small Jewish area of the Hungarian capital one day recently when he overheard two younger people talking about him. "One said to the other, in Hungarian, 'Look at this guy... what's on his head? He's a Jew! Thank God the new government will make sure these type of people won't walk on the streets.' I was shocked," the Budapest native told The Times of Israel, saying the incident was one of the scariest he has experienced.



With the World Jewish Congress holding its assembly in Budapest as a show of support this week, Jews in the Hungarian capital said that anti-Semitism is still alive and well on both banks of the Danube.

Ban said the incident was not the only in which he was threatened, insulted, spat at, or cursed.

"It happens from time to time," he said.

When he retorted at someone who was taunting him outside a synagogue, the man stuttered and lost his confidence. "They're used to Jews not replying, just accepting," Ban said.

Jews have felt at home and prospered in Hungary since the fall of the Communist regime, but "over the past decade, things have changed" and fear has returned, Dr. Peter Feldmajer, president of the Hungarian Jewish community, told

the congress on Sunday. The 59-year-old said recent attacks against Jews and attempts to pass anti-Semitic legislation were "warning bells" that cannot be ignored.

Chief among Jewish concerns in Hungary is the rise of the ultra-rightist Jobbik party, which openly espouses anti-Semitic views and won 12 percent of parliamentary seats in 2010 elections.

Today Budapest is "where an elderly rabbi is attacked in the street ... where fascists are heard ... where anti-Semite authors are added to the school curriculum," Feldmajer said.

Members of the extreme right, led by the Jobbik, have already killed people from the Roma minority; the Jews fear they're next in line, a member of the community said. "The question isn't *if*, it's *when*," he said, noting the boosted security — which includes surveillance and policemen — around various community establishments.



**Anti-Semitic graffiti (illustrative photo: CC BY zeeweez, Flickr)**

While many local Jews were willing to speak openly, some feared having their name mentioned in this article. Others were reluctant to even be interviewed.

"It's only a matter of time before someone is hurt," Oren Glick, who oversees the kosher certification of the community's restaurants, said after morning prayers in one of the capital's synagogues. The Israeli-born, ultra-Orthodox Glick said that

anti-Semitism, though not yet life threatening, is visible and present every day, near every Jewish establishment in town.

"One of the school teachers has a neighbor who spits when he walks by. It happens on his way to work, every single day," Glick explained, adding that the level of security — both by the police and the community — "is extremely high, more than before, because curses and spitting will eventually turn into physical assaults."

Yitzhak, a community member who wished to avoid having his full Hungarian name in print, bemoaned that he had little hope in the World Jewish Congress meeting or its statements. "What difference will it make?" he asked, noting that the Jobbik party was gaining power in parliament.



**Dr. Peter Feldmajer (photo credit: screen capture/WJC)**

"People can talk, and maybe draw attention to the matter," he said. But, he added, some of the security precautions for the conference — such as the closing of streets and the positioning of policemen on street corners, meant to protect the hundreds of WJC delegates — are counterproductive and only cause antagonism. "At the end of the day [the delegates] will leave Budapest and we'll have to deal with reality." A similar opinion was voiced by a member of the Hungarian delegation to the congress, who said that the problem can be solved



only if there is dialogue between the Jewish community and the Hungarian political leadership. "If it takes the WJC to draw attention [to the issue], it shows just how bad the situation is," he said.

The delegate explained how the Jobbik party, infamous for calling to start a registry of Jews in the country, has grown stronger in recent years, due to the combination of the global financial crisis and internal Hungarian politics. "People see problems that aren't being solved, and they try to make their own solution," he stated. "Blaming Jews, Roma and others is a way of venting one's anger and frustration."

Budapest's Jewish community "is once again under threat," community leader Feldmajer said, shortly after keynote speakers — including WJC President Ronald Lauder and Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban — likened the situation today to that of the 1930s. "I don't see an incident like [the 1938] Kristallnacht happening in

the near future, but I do worry individuals might start to commit more serious acts," Ban replied when asked whether the situation would grow worse. "People are frustrated and are taking it out on the Jews. Orban says the acts are bad, but he isn't speaking out against the Jobbik party because he needs votes," he charged.



**Denes Ban (photo credit: Aaron Kalman/Times of Israel)**

"I don't think Orban is an anti-Semite ... rather, he wants power" — and he made some questionable decisions to achieve it, Ban said, adding that 20 years ago the Hungarian prime minister was one of his favorite politicians. "He was brave, charismatic. He represented real liberal values."

Businessman Ban said one of the biggest problems is the lack of initiative when it comes to combating anti-Semitism in Hungary. "We respond, but we don't create dialogue or educate people before this happens," he elaborated, adding that "using the Holocaust card" to guilt-trip the other side was problematic and couldn't be done forever.

Recounting the tale that in ancient China a doctor who got sick was considered a bad doctor, Ban drew parallels with fighting anti-Semitism. "The goal is also to fight anti-Semitic acts when they happen, but it's more important to prevent them," he stated. "The best analogy is cancer. Once someone has it, it's very hard to cure."

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/budapest-jews-fear-violent-attack-a-matter-of-time/>

---

**Jews love their ghetto existence because Talmudic logic/dialectic/ethics creates it and it's fuelled by the longest hatred - anti-Gentilism.**

**Last Monday's extraordinary first honorary citizen ceremony for Raoul Wallenberg in Canberra attempts to enshrine Jewish victim myths into Australian political history – and to continue to defame Germans as exterminationists.**

**In the below news report we read: "Their [Jews] movements were restricted but they were never targeted for extermination, despite requests from officials of Nazi Germany, Japan's ally at the time."**

**The extermination story is a blatant lie – it's an expression of pure German hatred because Germans never gassed or systematically exterminated anyone – they are too principled and cultured for doing such acts, which the Jews to this day are still inflicting/perpetrating on the Palestinians.**

**Fredrick Töben, Adelaide [toben@toben.biz](mailto:toben@toben.biz)**

-----

**Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu lauded Shanghai for its past role as a haven for Jewish refugees fleeing Nazi persecution on a visit to the city's former ghetto on Tuesday, as questions loom over the area's future.**

**07 May 2013 - Last updated 09:18AM**

Netanyahu, who arrived a day earlier at the start of an official visit to China, toured the Shanghai Jewish Refugees Museum, which includes the Ohel Moshe synagogue that once served the community, a museum official said. "Seventy years ago, only Shanghai opened the door to provide a sanctuary to Jewish refugees," Netanyahu was quoted by Shanghai's Liberation Daily

newspaper as saying. From the early 1930s, tens of thousands of Jewish refugees who fled Europe made Shanghai their home. In 1943, the Japanese authorities who had occupied Shanghai ordered an estimated 20,000 Jewish refugees into a "designated area" in the city's northern district of Hongkou, where they lived alongside Chinese residents. Their movements

were restricted but they were never targeted for extermination, despite requests from officials of Nazi Germany, Japan's ally at the time.

"We hope to develop closer cooperation with China, so we can take pride not only in 70 years ago but also in the future," Netanyahu said. The Israeli prime minister is due to meet Chinese President Xi Jinping and other top

officials in Beijing later this week, following a visit by Palestinian leader Mahmud Abbas.



**Photo: Israeli leader Netanyahu visits Shanghai's old Jewish ghetto**  
China supports Palestinian statehood but at the same time Beijing has built

business links with Israel since the two countries established diplomatic ties in 1992.

Wang Jun of Tongji University, which has a programme with Tel Aviv University to explore redeveloping the ghetto, said the link between Shanghai and the Jewish people could serve as a base for future Sino-Israeli cooperation. "Shanghai protected tens of thousands of Jewish refugees. For Israel, for the Jewish people, the significance is exceptional," he said. Although the former ghetto area is marked for historical preservation, individual buildings have fallen victim to Shanghai's race for modernity.

During his museum visit, Netanyahu had a coffee at the Cafe Atlantic, a newly opened recreation of an

establishment from the 1940s when the area was known as "Little Vienna" for its coffee houses and shops. Shanghai demolished the cafe's original home in a road-widening project in 2009.

Israeli architect Oded Narkis, an organiser of the programme with Tongji, said he hoped Netanyahu's visit might prompt the city government to develop a comprehensive plan to both redevelop and preserve the area. "That's the big question, how to do it - to preserve what we can preserve and somehow symbolise the connection between Israel and China," he said.

[South China Morning Post  
http://www.eju.org/news/world/israeli-leader-netanyahu-visits-shanghai%E2%80%99s-old-jewish-ghetto](http://www.eju.org/news/world/israeli-leader-netanyahu-visits-shanghai%E2%80%99s-old-jewish-ghetto)

=====

**While reading the below story think of how the Holocaust-Shoah research has received legal protection against probing questions asked by Revisionist historians who are labelled 'Holocaust deniers'. For example, it is forbidden to ask the basic question asked decades ago by Professor Robert Faurisson: 'Show me or draw me the homicidal gas chamber at Auschwitz?' To date no-one in the world has come up with an answer, except to feign hurt feelings. How dare anyone ask such an offensive question!**

## **'Jews a Race' Genetic Theory Comes Under Fierce Attack by DNA Expert Israeli Scientist Challenges Hypothesis of Middle East Origins**

By [Rita Rubin](#)

Published May 07, 2013, issue of [May 10, 2013](#)

Scientists usually don't call each other "liars" and "frauds."

But that's how Johns Hopkins University post-doctoral researcher Eran Elhaik describes a group of widely respected geneticists, including Harry Ostrer, professor of [pathology](#) and [genetics](#) at Yeshiva University's [Albert Einstein College of Medicine](#) and author of the 2012 book "Legacy: A Genetic History of the Jewish People."

For years now, the findings of Ostrer and several other scientists have stood virtually unchallenged on the genetics of Jews and the story they tell of the common Middle East origins shared by many Jewish populations worldwide. Jews — and Ashkenazim in particular — are indeed one people, Ostrer's research finds.

It's a theory that more or less affirms the understanding that many Jews themselves hold of who they are in the world: a people who, though scattered, share an ethnic-racial bond rooted in their common ancestral descent from the indigenous Jews of ancient Judea or Palestine, as the Romans called it after they conquered the Jewish homeland.

But now, Elhaik, an Israeli molecular geneticist, has published research that he says debunks this claim. And that has set off a predictable clash.

"He's just wrong," said Marcus Feldman of Stanford University, a leading researcher in Jewish genetics, referring to Elhaik.

The sometimes strong emotions generated by this scientific dispute stem from a politically loaded question that scientists and others have pondered for decades: Where in the world did Ashkenazi Jews come from?

The debate touches upon such sensitive issues as whether the Jewish people is a race or a religion, and whether Jews or Palestinians are descended from the original inhabitants of what is now the State of Israel.

Ostrer's theory is sometimes marshaled to lend the authority of science to the Zionist narrative, which views the migration of modern-day Jews to what is now Israel, and their rule over that land, as a simple act of repossession by the descendants of the land's original residents. Ostrer declined to be interviewed for this story. But in his writings, Ostrer points out the dangers

of such reductionism; some of the same genetic markers common among Jews, he finds, can be found in Palestinians, as well.

By using sophisticated molecular tools, Feldman, Ostrer and most other scientists in the field have found that Jews are genetically homogeneous. No matter where they live, these scientists say, Jews are genetically more similar to each other than to their non-Jewish neighbors, and they have a shared Middle Eastern ancestry.

The geneticists' research backs up what is known as the Rhineland Hypothesis. According to the hypothesis, Ashkenazi Jews descended from Jews who fled Palestine after the Muslim conquest in the seventh century and settled in Southern Europe. In the late Middle Ages they moved into eastern Europe from Germany, or the Rhineland.

"Nonsense," said Elhaik, a 33-year-old Israeli Jew from Beersheba who earned a doctorate in molecular evolution from the University of Houston. The son of an Italian man and Iranian woman who met in Israel, Elhaik, a dark-haired, compact man, sat down recently for an interview in his bare, narrow cubicle of an office at

Hopkins, where he's worked for four years.

In "[The Missing Link of Jewish European Ancestry: Contrasting the Rhineland and the Khazarian Hypotheses.](#)" published in December in the online journal *Genome Biology and Evolution*, Elhaik says he has proved that Ashkenazi Jews' roots lie in the Caucasus — a region at the border of Europe and Asia that lies between the Black and Caspian seas — not in the Middle East. They are

descendants, he argues, of the Khazars, a Turkic people who lived in one of the largest medieval states in Eurasia and then migrated to Eastern Europe in the 12th and 13th centuries. Ashkenazi genes, Elhaik added, are far more heterogeneous than Ostrer and other proponents of the Rhineland Hypothesis believe. Elhaik did find a Middle Eastern genetic marker in DNA from Jews, but, he says, it could be from Iran, not ancient Judea.

Elhaik writes that the Khazars converted to Judaism in the eighth century, although many historians believe that only royalty and some members of the aristocracy converted. But widespread conversion by the Khazars is the only way to explain the ballooning of the European Jewish population to 8 million at the beginning of the 20th century from its tiny base in the Middle Ages, Elhaik says.



**Science Feud: Johns Hopkins geneticist Eran Elhaik says his research debunks the long-held theory that Jews are a single race - getty images**

Elhaik bases his conclusion on an analysis of genetic data published by a team of researchers led by Doron Behar, a population geneticist and senior physician at Israel's Rambam Medical Center, in Haifa. Using the same data, Behar's team published in 2010 a paper concluding that most contemporary Jews around the world and some non-Jewish populations from the Levant, or Eastern Mediterranean, are closely related.

Elhaik used some of the same statistical tests as Behar and others, but he chose different comparisons. Elhaik compared "genetic signatures" found in Jewish populations with those of modern-day Armenians and Georgians, which he uses as a stand-in for the long-extinct Khazarians because they live in the same area as the medieval state.

"It's an unrealistic premise," said University of Arizona geneticist Michael Hammer, one of Behar's co-authors, of Elhaik's paper. Hammer notes that Armenians have Middle Eastern roots, which, he says, is why they appeared to

be genetically related to Ashkenazi Jews in Elhaik's study.

Hammer, who also co-wrote the first paper that showed modern-day Kohanim are descended from a single male ancestor, calls Elhaik and other Khazarian Hypothesis proponents "outlier folks... who have a minority view that's not supported scientifically. I think the arguments they make are pretty weak and stretching what we know."

Feldman, director of Stanford's Morrison Institute for Population and Resource Studies, echoes Hammer. "If you take all of the careful genetic population analysis that has been done over the last 15 years... there's no doubt about the common Middle Eastern origin," he said. He added that Elhaik's paper "is sort of a one-off."

Elhaik's statistical analysis would not pass muster with most contemporary scholars, Feldman said: "He appears to be applying the statistics in a way that gives him different results from what

everybody else has obtained from essentially similar data."

Elhaik, who doesn't believe that Moses, Aaron or the 12 Tribes of Israel ever existed, shrugs off such criticism.

"That's a circular argument," he said of the notion that Jews' and Armenians' genetic similarities stem from common ancestors in the Middle East and not from Khazaria, the area where the Armenians live. If you believe that, he says, then other non-Jewish populations, such as Georgian, that are genetically similar to Armenians should be considered genetically related to Jews, too, "and so on and so forth."

Dan Graur, Elhaik's doctoral supervisor at U.H. and a member of the editorial board of the journal that published his paper, calls his former student "very ambitious, very independent. That's what I like." Graur, a Romanian-born Jew who served on the faculty of Tel Aviv University for 22 years before moving 10 years ago to the Houston school, said Elhaik "writes more provocatively than may be needed, but

it's his style." Graur calls Elhaik's conclusion that Ashkenazi Jews originated to the east of Germany "a very honest estimate."

In a [news article](#) that accompanied Elhaik's journal paper, Shlomo Sand, history professor at Tel Aviv University and author of the controversial 2009 book "The Invention of the Jewish People," said the study vindicated his long-held ideas.

"It's so obvious for me," Sand told the journal. "Some people, historians and even scientists, turn a blind eye to the truth. Once, to say Jews were a race was anti-Semitic, now to say they're not a race is anti-Semitic. It's crazy how history plays with us."

The paper has received little coverage in mainstream American media, but it has attracted the attention of anti-Zionists and "anti-Semitic white supremacists," Elhaik said.

Interestingly, while anti-Zionist bloggers have applauded Elhaik's work, saying it proves that contemporary Jews have no legitimate claim to Israel, some white supremacists have attacked it.

"The disruptive and conflict-ridden behavior which has marked out Jewish Supremacist activities through the millennia strongly suggests that Jews have remained more or less genetically uniform and have... developed a group evolutionary survival strategy based on a common biological unity — something which strongly militates against the Khazar theory," former Louisiana state assemblyman David Duke wrote on his blog in February.

"I'm not communicating with them," Elhaik said of the white supremacists. He says it also bothers him, a veteran of seven years in the Israeli army, that anti-Zionists have capitalized on his research "and they're not going to be proven wrong anytime soon."

But proponents of the Rhineland Hypothesis also have a political agenda, he said, claiming they "were motivated to justify the Zionist narrative."

To illustrate his point, Elhaik swivels his chair around to face his computer and calls up a 2010 email exchange with Ostrer.

"It was a great pleasure reading your group's recent paper, 'Abraham's Children in the Genome Era,' that illuminate[s] the history of our people," Elhaik wrote to Ostrer. "Is it possible to see the data used for the study?"

Ostrer replied that the data are not publicly available. "It is possible to collaborate with the team by writing a brief proposal that outlines what you plan to do," he wrote. "Criteria for reviewing include novelty and strength of the proposal, non-overlap with current or planned activities, and non-defamatory nature toward the Jewish people." That last requirement, Elhaik argues, reveals the bias of Ostrer and his collaborators.

Allowing scientists access to data only if their research will not defame Jews is "peculiar," said Catherine DeAngelis, who edited the Journal of the American Medical Association for a decade. "What he does is set himself up for criticism: Wait a minute. What's this guy trying to hide?"

Despite what his critics claim, Elhaik says, he was not out to prove that contemporary Jews have no connection to the Jewish people of the Bible. His primary research focus is the genetics of mental illness, which, he explains, led him to question the assumption that Ashkenazi Jews are a useful population to study because they're so homogeneous.

Elhaik says he first read about the Khazarian Hypothesis a decade ago in a 1976 book by the late Hungarian-British author Arthur Koestler, "The Thirteenth Tribe," written before scientists had the tools to compare genomes. Koestler, who was Jewish by birth, said his aim in writing the book was to eliminate the racist underpinnings of anti-Semitism in Europe. "Should this theory be confirmed, the term 'anti-Semitism' would become void of meaning," the book jacket reads. Although Koestler's book was generally well reviewed, some skeptics questioned the author's grasp of the history of Khazaria.

Graur is not surprised that Elhaik has stood up against the "clique" of scientists who believe that Jews are genetically homogeneous. "He enjoys being combative," Graur said. "That's what science is."

Contact Rita Rubin at [feedback@forward.com](mailto:feedback@forward.com)

<http://forward.com/articles/175912/jew-s-a-race-genetic-theory-comes-under-fierce-atta/?p=all>

... in the following article there's not a word against the dangers of vaccination ...

### Vaccine refusers to still claim benefits

By: Lanai Scar, [The Daily Telegraph](#), May 07, 2013 12:00AM

**campaign / Pic: John Appleyard**  
**Source: The Daily Telegraph**

A LOOPHOLE that allows parents who call themselves "vaccine refusers" to receive family benefits will not be closed amid fears the move could be a breach of anti-discrimination laws.

But the federal government's refusal to act on the issue, such as stopping the 50 per cent childcare rebate for parents of children who are not immunised, has surprised human rights experts, who say any change to current legislation would not open the door to legal action.

Despite this, childcare centres have been told to be wary of discrimination breaches if they refuse kids who are not fully vaccinated.

Health Minister Tanya Plibersek's office said the government would not seek to close the loophole in tax arrangements that allowed "vaccine refusers" to bypass a rule that only allows parents of fully vaccinated children to claim benefits. Currently 1.5 per cent of children under seven are not vaccinated because their parents have identified as "vaccine refusers".

A spokesman for the minister said any such changes could open the government up to anti-discrimination claims. "Under current legislation parents/guardians who refuse immunisation on the basis of personal, philosophical, religious or medical belief are still eligible for family payments,"



Jo OBrien, and Nichola Mclean of Platinum Pre School back the

the spokesman said. Any consideration of change to the legislation would need to take into account existing anti-discrimination legislation."

But the Australian Human Rights Commission yesterday said there was "no ground" for discrimination. The NSW anti-discrimination board said it could not see how a change to benefits or excluding non-vaccinated kids from childcare would trigger discrimination law.

Childcare centre owners Jo O'Brien, 39, and Nichola McLean, 40, said they were told by the Childcare NSW service yesterday that they could face complaints under anti-discrimination law if they implemented a no jab, no play policy. They said that when they saw the campaign in The Daily Telegraph and The Sunday Telegraph they wanted to take action. "We were told we needed to check and be very wary because we

could be done for discrimination," said Ms McLean whose daughter Holly, 4, is vaccinated.

Ms McLean and Ms O'Brien co-founded the Platinum Preschools in Sydney's Randwick and Prestons. They said parents who did not vaccinate were "selfish".

\*\*\*

#### Recommended Coverage



#### Let's protect kids from nasty facts

THERE should be completely separate schools for unvaccinated children, with high walls through which no information can penetrate.



#### Victims of polio relive nightmare

POLIO stole Ron Finnerman's childhood. Now in his 60s, it has come back for an encore, once again robbing him of his ability to walk.

#### Wheelchair a daily reminder

HAVING lived with polio for his entire life, George Laszuk has one question for people who oppose immunisation - do you want to end up in a wheelchair too?

\*\*\*

#### SIGN OUR PETITION - NO JAB, NO PLAY

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/vaccine-refusers-to-still-claim-benefits/story-e6frg6n61226636346669>

Source: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eyv8XK-QsI&feature=youtu.be>

#### Clitoris Awareness Week



#### clitoraid·14 videos

Published on May 7, 2013

**Clitoris Awareness Week is sponsored by Clitoraid, a non for profit organization, to help alleviate all the taboos linked to the clitoris.**

**Clitoraid is committed to help women who suffer from physical excision ( clitoris mutilation) and mental excision following centuries of demonizing women's sexual pleasure.**

**Music 'Invisible Love' by Enigma**

**Watch video: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eyv8XK-QsI&feature=youtu.be>**

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Andrew Bolt - Wednesday, May, 01, 2013, (9:30am)**

**The New Racism leads to this modern variant of the "No Jews Need Apply" rule - this time [for a job with Canada's taxpayer-funded national broadcaster:](#)**



(Thanks to reader Betaplug.)

<http://blogs.news.com.au/couriermail/andrewbolt/index.php/couriermail/comments/no whites need apply/>

## And now an article that gives rise for concern.

### Holocaust believer and politician Geert Wilders calls for Jihad against the Muslim world and supports Judaisation of the world.

#### [An email supporter sent this to AI]

I've followed Wilders for years. He is a clear sighted Euro — and quite lonely. He is pounded on at every chance by the media in Europe and North America. The Netherlands progressives have had him brought up on charges of hate speech and had him thrown in jail before being exonerated. His contentions are thoroughly backed up in Mark Steyn's "AMERICA ALONE".

They're no longer at the Gates of Vienna, the New Caliphate is all around us and we are losing. Doubt it? The "Ground Zero" Mosque in NYC was named "The Córdoba Project". The western capital of the 2nd Caliphate was Córdoba, Spain. NYC will be of the 3rd.

This Will Give You Cold Chills

Geert Wilders is a Dutch Member of Parliament.

In a generation or two, the US will ask itself: Who lost Europe?'

Here is the speech of Geert Wilders, Chairman, Party for Freedom, the Netherlands, at the Four Seasons, New York, introducing an Alliance of Patriots and announcing the Facing Jihad Conference in Jerusalem.

\*\*\*

Dear friends,

Thank you very much for inviting me. I come to America with a mission.

All is not well in the old world.

There is a tremendous danger looming, and it is very difficult to be optimistic.

We might be in the final stages of the Islamization of Europe. This not only is a clear and present danger to the future of Europe itself, it is a threat to America and the sheer survival of the West. The United States as the last bastion of Western civilization, facing an Islamic Europe.

First I will describe the situation on the ground in Europe. Then, I will say a few things about Islam. To close I will tell you about a meeting in Jerusalem.

The Europe you know is changing.

You have probably seen the landmarks. But in all of these cities, sometimes a few blocks away from your tourist destination, there is another world. It is the world of the parallel society created by Muslim mass-migration.

All throughout Europe a new reality is rising: entire Muslim neighborhoods where very few indigenous people reside or are even seen. And if they are, they might regret it. This goes for the police

as well. It's the world of head scarves, where women walk around in figureless tents, with baby strollers and a group of children. Their husbands, or slaveholders if you prefer, walk three steps ahead. With mosques on many street corners. The shops have signs you and I cannot read. You will be hard-pressed to find any economic activity. These are Muslim ghettos controlled by religious fanatics. These are Muslim neighborhoods, and they are mushrooming in every city across Europe. These are the building-blocks for territorial control of increasingly larger portions of Europe, street by street, neighborhood by neighborhood, city by city.

There are now thousands of mosques throughout Europe. With larger congregations than there are in churches. And in every European city there are plans to build super-mosques that will dwarf every church in the region. Clearly, the signal is: we rule.

Many European cities are already one-quarter Muslim: just take Amsterdam, Marseille and Malmo in Sweden. In many cities the majority of the under-18 population is Muslim. Paris is now surrounded by a ring of Muslim neighborhoods. Mohammed is the most popular name among boys in many cities.

In some elementary schools in Amsterdam the farm can no longer be mentioned, because that would also mean mentioning the pig, and that would be an insult to Muslims.

Many state schools in Belgium and Denmark only serve halal food to all pupils. In once-tolerant Amsterdam gays are beaten up almost exclusively by Muslims. Non-Muslim women routinely hear 'whore, whore'. Satellite dishes are not pointed to local TV stations, but to stations in the country of origin.

In France school teachers are advised to avoid authors deemed offensive to Muslims, including Voltaire and Diderot; the same is increasingly true of Darwin. The history of the Holocaust can no longer be taught because of Muslim sensitivity.

In England sharia courts are now officially part of the British legal system. Many neighborhoods in France are no-go areas for women without head scarves. Last week a man almost died after being beaten up by Muslims in Brussels,

because he was drinking during the Ramadan.

Jews are fleeing France in record numbers, on the run for the worst wave of anti-Semitism since World War II. French is now commonly spoken on the streets of Tel Aviv and Netanya, Israel. I could go on forever with stories like this. Stories about Islamization.

A total of fifty-four million Muslims now live in Europe. San Diego University recently calculated that a staggering 25 percent of the population in Europe will be Muslim just 12 years from now. Bernhard Lewis has predicted a Muslim majority by the end of this century.

Now these are just numbers. And the numbers would not be threatening if the Muslim-immigrants had a strong desire to assimilate. But there are few signs of that. The Pew Research Center reported that half of French Muslims see their loyalty to Islam as greater than their loyalty to France. One-third of French Muslims do not object to suicide attacks. The British Centre for Social Cohesion reported that one-third of British Muslim students are in favor of a worldwide caliphate. Muslims demand what they call 'respect'. And this is how we give them respect. We have Muslim official state holidays.

The Christian-Democratic attorney general is willing to accept sharia in the Netherlands if there is a Muslim majority. We have cabinet members with passports from Morocco and Turkey.

Muslim demands are supported by unlawful behavior, ranging from petty crimes and random violence, for example against ambulance workers and bus drivers, to small-scale riots. Paris has seen its uprising in the low-income suburbs, the banlieus. I call the perpetrators 'settlers'. Because that is what they are. They do not come to integrate into our societies; they come to integrate our society into their Dar-al-Islam. Therefore, they are settlers.

Much of this street violence I mentioned is directed exclusively against non-Muslims, forcing many native people to leave their neighborhoods, their cities, their countries. Moreover, Muslims are now a swing vote not to be ignored. The second thing you need to know is the importance of Mohammed the prophet. His behavior is an example to all Muslims and cannot be criticized.

Now, if Mohammed had been a man of peace, let us say like Ghandi and Mother Theresa wrapped in one, there would be no problem. But Mohammed was a warlord, a mass murderer, a pedophile, and had several marriages - at the same time. Islamic tradition tells us how he fought in battles, how he had his enemies murdered and even had prisoners of war executed. Mohammed himself slaughtered the Jewish tribe of Banu Qurayza. If it is good for Islam, it is good. If it is bad for Islam, it is bad.

Let no one fool you about Islam being a religion. Sure, it has a god, and a here-after, and 72 virgins. But in its essence Islam is a political ideology. It is a system that lays down detailed rules for society and the life of every person. Islam wants to dictate every aspect of life. Islam means 'submission'. Islam is not compatible with freedom and democracy, because what it strives for is sharia. If you want to compare Islam to anything, compare it to communism or national-socialism, these are all totalitarian ideologies.

**Now you know why Winston Churchill called Islam 'the most retrograde force in the world', and why he compared Mein Kampf to the Quran. The public has wholeheartedly accepted the Palestinian narrative, and sees Israel as the aggressor. I have lived in this country and visited it dozens of times. I support Israel . First, because it is the Jewish homeland after two thousand years of exile up to and including Auschwitz, second because it is a democracy, and third because Israel is our first line of defense.**

This tiny country is situated on the fault line of jihad, frustrating Islam's territorial advance. Israel is facing the front lines of jihad, like Kashmir, Kosovo, the Philippines , Southern Thailand, Darfur in Sudan , Lebanon , and Aceh in Indonesia . Israel is simply

in the way. The same way West-Berlin was during the Cold War.

**The war against Israel is not a war against Israel. It is a war against the West. It is jihad. Israel is simply receiving the blows that are meant for all of us. If there would have been no Israel, Islamic imperialism would have found other venues to release its energy and its desire for conquest.**

Thanks to Israeli parents who send their children to the army and lay awake at night, parents in Europe and America can sleep well and dream, unaware of the dangers looming.

Many in Europe argue in favor of abandoning Israel in order to address the grievances of our Muslim minorities. But if Israel were, God forbid, to go down, it would not bring any solace to the West. It would not mean our Muslim minorities would all of a sudden change their behavior, and accept our values. On the contrary, the end of Israel would give enormous encouragement to the forces of Islam. They would, and rightly so, see the demise of Israel as proof that the West is weak, and doomed. The end of Israel would not mean the end of our problems with Islam, but only the beginning. It would mean the start of the final battle for world domination. If they can get Israel , they can get everything. So-called journalists volunteer to label any and all critics of Islamization as a 'right-wing extremists' or 'racists'. In my country, the Netherlands , 60 percent of the population now sees the mass immigration of Muslims as the number one policy mistake since World War II. And another 60 percent sees Islam as the biggest threat. Yet there is a greater danger than terrorist attacks, the scenario of America as the last man standing. The lights may go out in Europe faster than you can imagine. An Islamic Europe means a Europe without freedom and democracy, an economic

wasteland, an intellectual nightmare, and a loss of military might for America - as its allies will turn into enemies, enemies with atomic bombs. With an Islamic Europe, it would be up to America alone to preserve the heritage of Rome , Athens and Jerusalem ...

Dear friends, liberty is the most precious of gifts. My generation never had to fight for this freedom, it was offered to us on a silver platter, by people who fought for it with their lives. All throughout Europe , American cemeteries remind us of the young boys who never made it home, and whose memory we cherish. My generation does not own this freedom; we are merely its custodians. We can only hand over this hard won liberty to Europe s children in the same state in which it was offered to us. We cannot strike a deal with mullahs and imams. Future generations would never forgive us. We cannot squander our liberties. We simply do not have the right to do so.

We have to take the necessary action now to stop this Islamic stupidity from destroying the free world that we know. Please take the time to read and understand what is written here, please send it to every free person that you know - it is so very important.

The great Library of Alexandria was burned by Muslims. The entire collected knowledge of the known world was destroyed in 6 months. That alone was one of the greatest sins against humanity ever committed.

\*\*\*

[g.wilders@tweedekamer.nl](mailto:g.wilders@tweedekamer.nl)

**Mr Wilders - your fight for freedom of expression is a worthy aim but why have you not expressed disgust at the criminalisation of matters Holocaust in so-called free democratic societies?**

**Please advise.**

**Fredrick Töben – Adelaide**

**Australia – 8.5.2013**

---

**... and a word from a Holocaust believer...**

## **Antony Loewenstein: Rejecting hate speech spewed by Geert Wilders**

**February 23rd, 2013**

**I was proud to be involved in last night's Sydney rally against far-right, anti-Islam racist Geert Wilders, raising my voice against his bigotry. I believe he has the right to free speech but opponents have a responsibility to challenge his bile.**

Via [AAP](#):

About 100 protesters are rallying outside a function centre in Sydney's west where right-wing Dutch MP Geert Wilders is to deliver a speech. Mr Wilders will address the conservative Q Society of Australia on Friday night on the third leg of his controversial tour of Australia.

The protesters, many carrying anti-Wilders placards, assembled outside the front of the function centre in the centre of Liverpool and chanted: "Muslims are welcome, racists are not."

Police officers, including some on horseback, are ensuring guests in-

vited to the event can make it through the crowd. They are also blocking attempts by members of the media to enter the function centre and report on the speech. In the lead-up to the speech, an anti-Wilders protester addressed the crowd over a loudspeaker.

"We have to make sure that these people are hounded if they try to do these things," he said. "Thanks for helping brand Geert Wilder what he really is."

One protester, social commentator Antony Loewenstein, said Mr Wilders believed in dividing society. "I think his message of division and hatred against Muslims is exactly the opposite of what Australians should be hearing," Mr Loewenstein told AAP. "It's ignorant and shows a desire to exclude Muslims."

Mr Wilders is the founder and leader of the Dutch Freedom Party, which holds 15 seats in the Dutch parliament. He cancelled a media conference and speaking engagement in Perth on Wednesday after a four-star hotel scrubbed his booking.

He received a standing ovation on Tuesday in Melbourne, where several hundred people dodged a large group of protesters to hear the first speech in his Australian tour.

<http://antonyloewenstein.com/2013/02/23/rejecting-hate-speech-spewed-by-geert-wilders/>

\*\*\*

### "European Alliance for Israel"

7. Mai 2013 – תשע"ג באייר כ"ז

Die Israel-Freundschaftsgesellschaften aus Österreich, Deutschland und der Schweiz planen Bildung einer „European Alliance for Israel“...

Anlässlich ihrer jährlich stattfindenden Konferenz kamen die drei Gesellschaften überein, gemeinsam die Bildung einer „European Alliance for Israel“ an die Hand zu nehmen.

Gründe für diese Initiative sind die immer aggressiver werdenden europaweiten Aktivitäten der „BDS-Organisationen“ BDS=Boycott, Desinvestment, Sanctions, die Israel diffamieren und zu dessen Boykott aufrufen. Mit Sorge beobachten die drei Gesellschaften überdies eine Zunahme des Antisemitismus in Europa, wie er sich in besonders verwerflicher Weise in Ungarn zeigt. Aber vor allem angesichts

der unwägbaren Entwicklung in Nachbarländern Israels sowie der nuklearen Bedrohung durch den **Iran**, drängt sich ein Verbund aller Israel-Freundschaftsgesellschaften in Europa auf, um geschlossen für Israels Existenzrecht einzutreten.

ÖIG, DIG und GSI haben an ihrer zweitägigen Konferenz in Schaffhausen (Schweiz) einen Aktionsplan verabschiedet, den sie nunmehr allen Schwestergesellschaften in Europa unterbreiten werden.

*Dr. Richard Schmitz, Präsident ÖIG  
Reinhold Robbe, MdB a.D., Präsident DIG*

*Corina Eichenberger-Walther,  
Nationalrätin, Zentralpräsidentin GSI  
Gemeinsame Medienmitteilung der  
Österreichisch-Israelischen Gesellschaft (ÖIG),  
der Deutsch-Israelischen Gesellschaft (DIG) und der Gesellschaft  
Schweiz-Israel (GSI)*

[www.oeig.at](http://www.oeig.at)

[www.deutsch-israelische-gesellschaft.de](http://www.deutsch-israelische-gesellschaft.de)

<http://www.schweiz-israel.ch>

\*\*\*

## Pulling out the Holocaust-Shoah victim card is useless against fighters...



**A Lion named LÖWENSTEIN on the run?**