

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



August 2014 No 787

In Holocaust Revisionism everything is political/religious/racial – Fredrick Töben

In our last edition of *Adelaide Institute Online No 786* we featured the David Cole saga wherein a moral breakdown of values is written large. This is nothing new in human affairs, and to illustrate that this is nothing new we have selected the following news items from 2011-14. Therein is told the story how a senior Australian politician becomes caught up in such a process of disintegration, as Cole has been subjected to, mainly because of his opportunistic endeavours that then cause too many casualties on the way – but also because of an overindulgence of alcohol, which tends to kill off the ability to retain a normal and healthy level of moral inhibition.

Of course, all this is nothing new for individuals who are participating in public debates and it comes, so-to-speak, with the territory. The imperative is to cope with it, and Fredrick Töben reminds us of what he learned when in 1999 at 55 years of age he experienced his first taste of prison life at Mannheim, Germany. There prison inmates often quoted a German saying: *Ist der Ruf einmal ruiniert, lässt es sich leben ganz ungeniert!* – once your reputation is ruined, then living your life becomes a breeze.

So, too, it is with Revisionists and we are extremely pleased to welcome back Fred Leuchter whose 1988

The Leuchter Report sent a huge message into the world that the allegation of homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz is scientifically an impossibility. Germar Rudolf confirmed these results in his 1993-published *The Rudolf Report*.

Although viciously attacked by those who uphold the Auschwitz Lie, and taking his livelihood from him, Leuchter – as his German name implies – has continued to radiate the Revisionist scene with his research and wisdom, and now comes up with biting criticism to counter what David Cole has said about Revisionists.

In the Revisionist's case, it is specifically Jews, and their willing helpers, who aim to stop Holocaust Revisionists "from functioning", to little avail because most Revisionists are fearlessly pursuing the truth of a matter, in particular those countless stories from alleged survivors of the Holocaust-Shoah.

This kind of questioning Revisionist idealism sends a frightening message to the exaggerators, distorters, fabricators and liars that attempt to maintain the Holocaust-Shoah narrative with their mountains of lies. So, let's now read the Slipper saga first before we move to the Cole-Irving-Weber threesome saga.

Peter Slipper elected as new Speaker to the House of Representatives NOVEMBER 24, 2011 6:03PM

Peter Slipper elected as independent speaker

[As it happened: Speaker quits. Labor power boost](#) [The Punch: Abbott is paying the price for Slipper](#)



Peter Slipper was elected as independent speaker today, causing massive uproar in parliament. Picture: Gary RamageSource: *The Daily Telegraph*

PARLIAMENT was in uproar today after a Liberal MP accepted Labor's invitation to become Speaker and helped the Government ambush the Opposition. Peter Slipper was elected unopposed as an independent Speaker after the Opposition asked nine Labor back-benchers to stand for the job, and was rebuffed.

Mr Slipper, a former National, said he will leave the Liberals, just in advance of efforts by the party to get him out of his Queensland seat of Fisher.

The defection on Parliament's last day this year boosted the Government's ability to navigate legislation through the House of Representatives and left the Coalition less able to block bills. It means the Government now has the prospect of 76 votes to the Opposition's 73. Previously the ratio was 75-74.

This could also mean Ms Gillard will not have to rely on the vote of MPs such as Tasmanian independent Andrew Wilkie, who has threatened to withdraw support for the minority Government should it fail to legislate for poker machine restrictions by next May.

With the extra votes, the Government could more easily survive any hostility from Mr Wilkie, and might not have to proceed with the unpopular poker machine regulations. It would also lessen dependence on the Greens through the party's Lower House MP Adam Bandt.



The Prime Minister and Labor front-benchers couldn't hide their pleasure over Mr Slipper's appointment.

Picture: Gary Ramage Source: *The Daily Telegraph*

The drama began quietly when Labor's Harry Jenkins, Speaker for the past four years, at 7.30am told Prime Minister Julia Gillard he wanted to resign and return to the back bench.

Mr Jenkins later told a stunned Parliament: "To further avoid controversial party political matters, I have divorced myself from involvement from the federal parliamentary Labor Party.

"In this era of minority government, I have progressively become frustrated at this stricture. My desire is to be able to participate in policy and public parliamentary debate. And this would be incompatible with continuing in the role of Speaker."

Prime Minister Gillard said "a remarkable thing has happened in this Parliament this morning," and wished Mr Jenkins well, while Opposition Leader Tony Abbott said he was an honourable man.

Mr Jenkins had been Deputy Speaker or Speaker since 1996 and a colleague said that after the visit of US President Barack Obama last week, now was a good time to become a back bencher again.

"He's lonely. He's separate from the Caucus and can't attend meetings because he's Speaker," said the MP.

"And he wants to finish his career as a good local member again."

But the Opposition insisted that what is known as "the killing season" because of the number of leaders who have fallen in end-of-year coups had claimed a new victim.



Opposition leader Tony Abbott attacked the Government over the move.

Picture: Ray Strange Source: *The Daily Telegraph*

Tony Abbott told Parliament "the Sussex St death squads" had been activated to take out Mr Jenkins because police might be closing in on a Labor MP and forcing him to leave Parliament.

"The Sussex St death squads have been out again, the Sussex St death squads that did for the former Prime Minister (Kevin Rudd) have now done for the Speaker of this Parliament.

"And why have they been out? They have been out because the Prime Minister's hold on a majority in this Parliament has never been more tenuous."

Mr Abbott said Ms Gillard "knows the member for Dobell (Craig Thomson) is in diabolical trouble".

Mr Thomson's activities as head of the Health Services Union are being investigated by police.

"Well we'll see what the NSW police have to say about the member for Dobell in just a little while," Mr Abbott told Parliament.

Ms Gillard said Mr Abbott was calling Mr Jenkins an honourable man one minute and then accusing him of deceiving the Parliament as to why he stood down the next.

The Opposition also attempted to argue it was a breach of Westminster tradition for the Speaker not to be from the party of government, in this case the ALP.

"Surely the Labor Party believes there is one of their number with the ability, honour and integrity to take this role?" said Liberal front bencher Christopher Pyne.

However, the Opposition's claim there is a Westminster convention requiring a Speaker to come from the government party is challenged in other parliaments, including the one in the Palace of Westminster.

The British Parliament has independent Speaker with no party allegiances.

Government front bencher Anthony Albanese tweeted today: "The tradition in Westminster is for an independent Speaker."

And the new Australian deputy Speaker, Labor's Anna Burke, told Parliament today state parliaments on occasion had Speakers who were not from the party forming government.

<http://www.news.com.au/national/peterslipper-elected-as-news-speaker-to-the-house-of-representatives/story-e6frfw9-1226205094827>

Who is the real Peter Slipper?

ANDREW RULE, *HERALD SUN*, DECEMBER 10, 2011 12:00AM



The 27th Speaker of the Australia House of Representatives, the Reverend and Honourable Peter Slipper.

A: The new Speaker of our Parliament

OR

B: A weirdo and a complete nut

Some of the thing they are saying about him would make your hair stand on end but that isn't anything new for the man they call Slippery Pete. ANDREW RULE gives his warts and all verdict.

EVERYONE has the face they deserve at 40 - but nicknames kick in a lot earlier.

The 27th Speaker of the Australian House of Representatives, the Reverend and Honourable Peter Slipper, was called "Slippery Pete" even before he first slid into Parliament in 1984.

Like the best nicknames, it's double-edged. Even his friends - a group shrinking faster than the contents of the Speaker's liquor cabinet - call him "Slippery".

Coming from a younger woman who befriended him in Canberra in the 1990s, it's almost affectionate.

But, like everyone from Slippery's former side of politics, she is bemused by the defection of a supposed pumpkin-scone Queenslander who wrapped himself in the flag,

the Bible and the monarchy for 30 years, only to slip into bed with southern socialist tree-huggers who don't even oppose gay marriage any more.

The suspicion dawning on his dwindling band of supporters is that Slippery was faking it all along to appeal to the hometown folk - or that he may be faking it now to snare a fatter salary and superannuation payout when the curtain drops on his chequered career.

The platonic female friend sticks up for her old drinking pal, saying he is "a good example of thwarted ambition"

and that he has been "stuffed up by people who should have treated him better".

"He communicates well, a strong thinker, a very strong Christian, a bit pompous but funny and can poke fun at himself. And likes a good drink," she says.

He was kind to her when she went through a rough patch, she says: "I like and respect him -- but I think he has made some poor decisions of late."

On that last point, at least, Slipper's many critics agree. But when they call him "Slippery Pete" there's not much affection at all. Hard to believe they're talking about the same man.

So who is Peter Neil Slipper and why are so many people calling him a rat?

"I DON'T want to be the focus of a vendetta against him," says a Queensland political figure famous for his vendetta against Slippery Pete, "but the bloke is an absolute weirdo and a nut". After that, he abandons all pretence of Queensberry Rules and starts to sink the slipper.

"I've seen him as full as a boot," he grits. "He reeks of booze. Other members don't want to sit next to him. I don't care about his weird ways but I do care about the public funds he's appropriated."

Veteran Sunshine Coast reporter Bill Hoffman admits he has spent more time tracking Slippery's expenses "than is healthy". But it's been worth it, he adds, especially now the Canberra media pack is baying for blood.

Hoffman says he has done the work the Department of Finance should have, checking Slippery's taxi and flight movements against known appointments. Hoffman's greatest hits include the claim Slippery spent almost \$7000 on taxi fares in 15 days while Parliament was in recess.

"He treats taxis as limousines - keeps them waiting for hours with the meter running," says Hoffman. "After Parliament finished one night he ran up \$320 in taxis before he got home."

Canberra taxi drivers aren't the only ones to cash in on the Slippery travel bonanza. Home on the Sunshine Coast, drivers long ago learned to flick on the meter as soon as they pull up at Slippery's, because he often makes them wait half an hour or more. And he cruises in Sydney cabs en route to Canberra from Brisbane.

The result: he spent \$57,000 on ground transport last year - more than the average income of his constituents in the seat of Fisher, which he first won as a National in 1984, lost at the next election and won back as a Liberal in 1993.

So how does one middle-aged remarried man spend so much on taxis? Hoffman pauses, trying to avoid anything defamatory. "It's about using public money for private pleasure," he says.

But when it comes to public money, private pleasure is in the queue behind electoral expediency. Slippery is an expert, from massive postage bills to handing out record numbers of "free" (ie taxpayer-financed) Australian flags to potential voters who can guarantee him a picture in their local papers.

"He's known around here as the Minister for Flags," barks a well-known Queenslander who has despised Slippery for years. "You'll notice I didn't mention him in my maiden speech," he says. "He never forgave me for it and I'm comfortable with that. I wanted nothing to do with him. "He skites he's been to 100 countries on the public purse. His greatest achievement in 18 years was to get an old ship scuttled off the coast so divers can play with it."

Canberra lore has it that Slippery has the highest staff turnover of any non-minister. A prominent former Labor minister reckons he has gone through more than 30 staff.

An even more prominent former Liberal minister agrees. Once they were enemies, but on Slippery's affairs these two are as one. They agree that like his new best friend and fellow Queenslander Kevin Rudd, Slippery has a gift for losing staff members. He has other gifts, too - mostly bought by taxpayers, they say.

"He pushed every entitlement to the maximum," says the Liberal heavyweight. "I never took a round-the-world trip every Parliament, but he did.

"Most people would claim 100 nights living away from home allowance - he would claim 200. If the average member's postage was \$20,000, his would be \$40,000." He agrees with his Labor counterpart that Slippery's legal training and courtroom experience will make him technically a better speaker than his popular predecessor Harry Jenkins. But technique isn't everything. "He won't have the respect of people in the chamber," says the man some thought might be prime minister. "This is what happened to Mal Coulston."

He means they're waiting for him with baseball bats in the Big House. NO one admits dirt files exist in politics.

But if there were one on Slippery it might be almost as fat as some of his expense claims.

One of many young advisers to flee Slippery's kingdom is now a successful businessman. He was interviewed for the job in the Qantas Club lounge at Melbourne airport in the 1990s.

He remembers Slippery tossing boarding pass after boarding pass in the bin, ostentatiously missing flight after flight to Canberra as they chatted.

He got the job but wished he hadn't. He recalls Slipper playing the part of lawyer turned politician: all pinstripe suits, cufflinks, smart ties and superior attitude. Behind the urbane facade, sharp mind and quick tongue, he claims, Slipper seemed to be a hypercritical man who sometimes singled out vulnerable members of his staff - "but not anyone who would give it back to him".

He says Slippery had other quirks. "He was paranoid about hygiene. He insisted on a certain brand of handwash and paper towels in the bathroom. And he was a religious nut.

"Wanted to know all about the Jesuits but was obsessed by the Dalai Lama as well. I couldn't believe that such a pillar of the community could have so many faults. Now I can't believe he's going to be Speaker."

Another former staff member recalls a conversation in which Slipper suggested that in NSW politicians had some subtle way of labelling their driver's licences to show police they were MPs, and that he wanted such a scheme to be introduced in Queensland.

"I didn't enjoy the job from week two," says the source. He was an artless brown-noser. In a desperate attempt to get ahead he would suck up to both the Howard and Costello camps, as if they weren't going to realise. He was a hard worker but he lacked personal skills. He was a tool."

But at least he had been one of the sharper tools in the shed back in Queensland compared with a lot of other Young Nationals who sprang up in the Bjelke-Petersen era. Slippery survived and thrived.

THE Slippers weren't poor. They were canny and upwardly mobile. They moved from Townsville to Ipswich, west of Brisbane, in 1966. Peter, then 16, was the oldest of Stan and Joan Slipper's three boys. Stan had taken promotion to be a senior manager with Queensland Railways, whose workshops were Ipswich's biggest industry.

The boys went from Townsville's Pimlico High School to Ipswich Grammar, Queensland's oldest boarding school, known to the wider world for producing George Miller, who made the Mad Max films.

Ipswich old boys more easily recall Kerry, Peter's sporty younger brother, a top swimmer who played most sports well. Kerry was a "good bloke", whereas Peter was a confirmed member of the debating team and suspected of playing chess. Baby brother Robin, like Peter, would also end up a lawyer - although he, too, has hit trouble in recent years.

Peter studied law in Brisbane then worked in a small country firm. He topped one of his subjects, and reputedly started his own practice from a room under his parents' house. He later took on a younger partner called Brett Smith, who would eventually take over Slipper Smith.

Slippery was prepared to juggle competing responsibilities to get ahead. Well-dressed and

articulate, he cut a dash among young farmers and their mates at Young Nationals meetings.

No one was surprised when he proposed in the early 1980s to Lyn Hooper, daughter of veteran conservative state cabinet minister Keith Hooper.

There's no reason why a love story can't also be a strategic alliance with Queensland political royalty - one that would last even after the marriage ended in 2001.

In 2002, when the Commonwealth Heads of Government meeting was held at Coolumb, north of Brisbane, Slippery needed a female consort.

According to those who were there, Lyn flew home from a post-divorce overseas trip to accompany her ex-husband from Brisbane for the opening ceremony. She returned to her world trip.

Queensland's first female minister, Yvonne Chapman, helped Slippery win pre-selection for the seat of Fisher in 1984. "Now I wish I hadn't!" she booms, laughing at herself for boosting her party's notorious deserter.

"I've been a bit shocked by all this," she says. "Perhaps it's too much communion wine," she adds, referring to Slipper's heavy drinking and ordination as a priest in the breakaway Traditional Anglican Communion church.

"He's been a bit overbearing to get where he wanted to go, I suppose," she says.

Famous for ordering the cubicle doors be removed from male public toilets in her local shire to prevent homosexual activity, Chapman is perplexed by the story that her former protege has been compromised by a video tape shot secretly by a male staff member in 2003.

According to affidavits sworn by other staff members who have witnessed the tape, it is relatively mild and reflects more on the young man who made it than on his boss. But its existence guarantees Slipper the family man is finished at the next election - if he lasts that long.

Bill Newton is a Caloundra cattle farmer and reformed National Party politician who still shakes his head about what has become of the shrewd young man he helped to his first election victory in 1984.

Slipper beat another promising candidate for pre-selection for Fisher that year. The "loser" was Clive Palmer, who quit political ambitions to become a mining magnate and one of Australia's richest men.

"I sometimes wonder what would have happened if Clive had won and Peter lost," the old farmer muses. "Maybe we'd all be a lot better off."

- With additional research by Sophie Elsworth

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/news/opinion/who-is-the-real-peter-slipper/story-e6frfhqf-1226218648921>

Peter Slipper really a 'decent, nice' guy, says angry wife



[Mark Furler](#) and APN Newsdesk | 15th Aug, 2013 12:42 PM Updated: 2:52 PM



Peter Slipper is supported by wife Inge as he announces he will run in the September 7 election.

THE wife of Sunshine Coast MP Peter Slipper says she has been disgusted by rumours that their marriage is a sham. "Our marriage is real," Inge Slipper told reporters. "I love Peter." The daughter of Buderim real estate identity Bev Hall said she had been subjected to

rumours that her marriage was not real and that they had been divorced.

"I have had to listen to rumours spread throughout this community that our marriage is not real," Mrs Slipper said. She spoke out in defence of her husband, who has

been the subject of a [sexual harassment claim by gay staff member James Ashby](#).

"I am standing by my husband and I do love my husband," Mrs Slipper said. "I took my vows seriously when I married him seven years ago on the 12th of August."

Mrs Slipper said the Ashby case had been a "direct hit on our marriage," Mrs Slipper said. "Our marriage is real, I have stuck by Peter, I love Peter, there is so many things you guys don't understand about him.

"He's made some mistakes, yes. But he is actually a really nice, decent, kind-hearted guy. You just don't really understand him and I think that is really sad."

In announcing he would stand in the upcoming federal election, Mr Slipper said he faced a 'David and Goliath' battle because he was up against the major parties.

But he said it was about providing voters with real choice. Mr Slipper took repeated shots at [LNP candidate Mal Brough](#), blaming him for his role in the Ashbygate affair.

He said it was interesting Mr Brough had not sought leave to appeal the judge's damning findings against him. The long-time LNP parliamentarian, who left the party to support Labor, answered questions about the sordid text messages between him and his adviser James Ashby.

"They were personal and private messages between two people who were friends." He said they were not messages between an employer and an employee.

[Mr Slipper said he was proud of the fact that he had been repeatedly re-elected after running eight times on the Sunshine Coast.](#)

"It is a great privilege to serve in the Australian Parliament for more than 20 years."

But he said the past 18 months had a 'great impact on him'. A teary Mr Slipper said he really appreciated the support of his wife and family. "I am very confident to be judged by the people of Fisher. "People have seen through the plot to get me," he said of the public reaction after a judge threw out the sexual harassment case against him by Mr Ashby.

On the charges against him over taxi charges fraud, he said there were often disputes between MPs and the department over what was appropriate.

"Peter Reith was allowed to repay \$50,000, no questions asked." Mr Slipper said he had been disappointed by Mr Brough's action. He said he had signed up Mr Brough to the LNP yet Mr Brough had later described the party as one built on lies and deceit.

"He sought to stack me out," he said of the [LNP branch stacking claims in his seat](#).

Mrs Slipper took a blast at the media, saying she had been targeted by photographers with a long lens while she accused a local media crew of leaving fingerprints on her car as they were in hiding at their Buderim home.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eIjLPIkEAd4>

Mrs Slipper said her husband had put up with 'absolute rubbish' every day while getting funding for the electorate. She admitted Mr Slipper had made mistakes.

When pressed about that, Mr Slipper said: "We have all made mistakes, my friend." "He was crazy enough to marry me," Mrs Slipper added.

[Mr Slipper also dismissed the Daily's phone poll which has given him little chance of success.](#)

"The real poll is the poll on the 7th of September."

In his official statement, Mr Slipper says he is overwhelmed by support

"And following many approaches from local residents, I have decided to nominate to provide local people with a real choice" he said in a statement.

"It is a great privilege to be chosen to represent the people of Fisher on eight occasions so far and I feel humbled by the support I am receiving. I am aware of the enormous challenge facing me as this is a "David and Goliath" battle against the major parties.

"This will be our most difficult campaign ever. As an Independent Speaker in the Westminster tradition I introduced reforms to the operation of the House of Representatives as I wanted to make the Australian Parliament an institution of which the Australian people could be truly proud.

"Unfortunately the Ashbygate affair in which the Liberal National Party candidate Mal Brough and other LNP and Liberal figures were deeply involved has prevented me to date from completing the reform process.

"This is despite the vindication I received when Justice Rares of the Federal Court threw out Ashby's case as an abuse of process. I note Mal Brough unlike some others adversely mentioned by the Judge did not seek leave to appeal the adverse findings against him by the Court. I am proud of the many achievements I have secured for Fisher including recently the \$46.8 million for the Sunshine Coast Health and Social Wellbeing Learning Precinct, one of the biggest projects to be announced on the Sunshine Coast, Bruce Highway upgrades as well as improvements to help develop the Sunshine Coast Regional Tennis Centre at Caloundra.

"I want to make it clear that I am totally opposed to the plans by the LNP to sell off the new Sunshine Coast University Hospital to private interests. There is much more to be done on the Sunshine Coast particularly in the area of infrastructure and I look forward to continuing to provide effective local representation.

Anyone who would like to assist in my campaign should contact my office via peter.slipper.mp@aph.gov.au or ph. 54444888.

Peter Slipper among candidates at Maleny election breakfast

The independent Member for Fisher gave the greatest indication yet that he may contest the September 7 poll when he appeared at a meet the candidates breakfast at Maleny this week. Mr Slipper is not considered having much chance of success as an independent against LNP candidate Mal Brough.

In his speech to the breakfast, Mr Slipper said democracy was well served by a hung parliament and hoped that he could hold the balance of power.

"It's a great privilege to serve in the parliament since I ceased to be Speaker," he said. "Ministers personally have come to me for my vote because on a number of pieces of legislation my vote was the key vote on whether a legislation would pass or not. Since I became an independent, after I was Speaker, I was as busy as I was when I was Speaker, without some of the job satisfaction. But having said that, it's been great to be able to play a role in determining whether key pieces of legislation are passed or not."

Mr Slipper described the election as a David v Goliath-prospect, and the major parties as "tweedledee and tweedledum". Questioned about his electoral chances,

he said he did not believe they would be harmed by his ousting from the LNP. He pointed to a Daily poll last week which found 44% of Fisher voters had yet to

make up their minds, but failed to mention he attracted just 0.5% of the vote.

<http://www.sunshinecoastdaily.com.au/news/peter-slipper-announcing-his-intention-run-or-not/1985409/>

Peter Slipper moves to have Cabcharge fraud case thrown out



Christopher Knaus, Reporter for The Canberra Times., July 23, 2014 - 3:59PM



Former Speaker Peter Slipper arrives at the ACT Magistrates Court on Wednesday. Photo: Alex Ellinghausen

Former Federal Parliament Speaker Peter Slipper has moved to have the Cabcharge fraud case against him thrown out, saying prosecutors failed to prove he was not on parliamentary business during taxpayer-funded trips to Canberra wineries. The surprise move from Slipper's defence team came at the end of the prosecution's case on Wednesday afternoon.

Commonwealth prosecutors have spent three days attempting to prove Slipper dishonestly used about \$1000 worth of government-issued Cabcharge vouchers for trips to regional wineries on three dates in 2010.

But barrister Kylie Weston-Scheuber, who is representing the former member for Fisher, asked Chief Magistrate Lorraine Walker to find Slipper had no case to answer as soon as the prosecution closed.

If successful, it will see the charges against Slipper dropped without his defence team having to present any evidence.

Dr Weston-Scheuber said the court had no clear definition of what constituted parliamentary business to guide it. Even if the court decided upon such a definition, she argued it could not be retrospectively applied to Slipper's actions four years earlier.

She said the prosecution, which bears the onus of proof, had failed to show that Slipper wasn't on parliamentary business during the winery trips.

The two people who were with him on the trips - his wife Inge Jane-Hall and staffer Tim Knapp - were not called in the prosecution's case.

"There is simply no evidence, in my submission, that what Mr Slipper was doing and saying at these locations

does not fall within parliamentary business," Dr Weston-Scheuber said.

But counsel for the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions, Lionel Robberds, QC, said it was "abundantly clear" Mr Slipper was not on parliamentary business while drinking at the wineries.

"As they went from spot to spot, it was obvious they were drinking alcohol, and they became moderately affected," Mr Robberds said. "That is the primary reason why these trips took place."

He argued Mr Slipper had tried to camouflage his actions by splitting the first of the trips into four separate Cabcharge vouchers.

Dr Weston-Scheuber said there was evidence from a hire-car driver that discussion of work took place between Mr Slipper and Mr Knapp during one of the trips. She said some of Mr Slipper's texts and phone calls appeared to be work-related.

But Mr Robberds said it was the purpose of trip that mattered. "The purpose of these trips was not for parliamentary business, the purpose was to go to these wineries." He said the court did not need to clearly define what constituted "travelling on parliamentary business. No matter what that phrase means, it does not cover what happened here." Ms Walker reserved her decision and will deliver it on Thursday morning in the ACT Magistrates Court.

<http://www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/peter-slipper-moves-to-have-cabcharge-fraud-case-thrown-out-20140723-zvy6e.html#ixzz38Gm5dEvs>

Before we get to the David Cole matter, here is an item that will please many Jews because Richard Wagner had the courage to express himself critically about Jewish behaviour – and that needs to be NEVER FORGOTTEN. Then fast forward and think of the two Malaysian Airline tragedies. Why Malaysia? It dared to hold a war crimes trial that found Israelis guilty of committing war crimes. Nuremberg's military tribunal results have now come full circle!

Clouds over Wagner's Green Hill at famed Bayreuth Festival



EXPATICA.COM - Wed, 23 July 2014

The curtain goes up on the Bayreuth Festival on Friday, but observers say the prestigious month-long summer music fest dedicated to the works of Richard Wagner could be losing some of its shine. Detractors say that under its current management -- Wagner's great-granddaughters Katharina Wagner and Eva Wagner-Pasquier, who took over in 2009 -- the festival is on its way downhill. Fans of the late German composer already joke that the best Wagner singers can be heard anywhere but in Bayreuth.

But the perceived drop in artistic standards may now be starting to hit ticket sales -- unheard of for a festival which has always prided itself on being sold out and having a waiting list for tickets stretching to 10 years and more. The highbrow daily *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* this week complained the festival is suffering from "artistic arteriosclerosis" and was "about as exciting as stale beer".

Ever since Wagner's grandsons Wolfgang and Wieland relaunched the event after World War II, tickets to Bayreuth have been the hardest to come by in the world of opera and classical music.

But this year, when a contingent of seats was made available directly on the Internet for the first time, they did not sell out straight away. In fact, there were still some unsold tickets for one of the performances of the sprawling four-opera "Ring" cycle next week.

- Unpopular choice of directors -

Critics point the finger at the artistic choices made by Katharina and Eva. Last year, for example, for Wagner's Bicentenary, the self-styled enfant terrible of German theatre, Frank Castorf, was picked to direct a brand-new production of the "Ring".

Hired for the project at very short notice after the original director, filmmaker Wim Wenders, pulled out, Castorf with his punkish, anarchic aesthetic was bound to raise hackles among ultra-conservative Bayreuthers. And when he took his curtain call at the premiere last year, he was met with more than 15 minutes of booing, whistling and jeering for his bizarre, surreal reading setting the action in faraway places such as Baku, Azerbaijan, and featuring prostitutes, gangsters, Kalashnikov rifles and copulating crocodiles.

But their choices of directors before that have proven similarly unpopular.

Katharina's own recent production of "The Mastersingers of Nuremberg", for example, was panned by critics and audiences alike.

And the current production of "Tannhaeuser" by Sebastian Baumgarten -- chosen for this year's opening night -- has been almost unanimously vilified ever since it first premiered in 2011.

In an interview in this week's *Der Spiegel* magazine, Castorf accused Katharina and Eva of treating him like an "idiot" and ruling over the festival with an atmosphere of fear and intimidation familiar from communist East Germany where he grew up. Castorf even hinted at a possible legal battle, saying he had taken the prominent left-wing politician and lawyer, Gregor Gysi, as an advisor.

It remains to be seen whether Katharina will be able to turn the festival's fortunes around once she assumes sole control of the festival next year while Eva retires.

- Merkel absent -

Bayreuth's showing for last year's Wagner Bicentenary was also widely criticised. The composer's villa Wahnfried, home to the Richard Wagner Museum and Archive, was effectively reduced to a building site for repairs.

The town's world-famous Margravial Opera House, a baroque jewel listed as a UNESCO World Heritage site, has also been closed indefinitely for renovation.

And the Festspielhaus theatre built to Wagner's own designs was clad in scaffolding to prevent crumbling brickwork from falling and injuring passers-by.

That work is also expected to take many years to complete.

Compounding such image problems was an announcement earlier this month that Chancellor Angela Merkel -- an ardent Wagnerian and hitherto faithful visitor to the festival -- will not be attending the glitzy opening gala for the first time in 10 years.

A "diary clash" is the official reason for her absence and festival spokesman Peter Emmerich insists Merkel will turn up later in the season.



The Bayreuth Festival opens on Friday and runs until August 28, with a total 30 performances in all of seven different operas, "Tannhaeuser", "The Flying Dutchman", "Lohengrin" and the "Ring" comprising "Rhinogold", "The Valkyrie", "Siegfried" and "Twilight of the Gods."



http://www.expatica.com/de/news/german-news/clouds-over-wagner-s-green-hill-at-famed-bayreuth-festival_297953.html

From Fred Leuchter

For Your Information. Cole has some following on Facebook.

I have to straighten them out from time to time. An excerpt from Facebook:

- [King Quest](#) so did Ernst zundel, faurisson, I can name a lot, im just proud of all those men for exposing the truth, me being german on both sides of my family we were made to feel ashamed, ashamed to speak on the years of 1933-45, but today im a proud german, we did nothing wrong, if anything we fought a noble battle and lost
[4 hrs](#) · [Like](#) · [3](#)

- [Tom Early](#) <https://fbcdn-sphotos-h-a.akamaihd.net/.../10408785...>
[3 hrs](#) · [Like](#) · [1](#)

- [Azzaam Abdul-Hakeem](#) Dont get mr started on that self righteous prick Faurisson, he claimed he does not want freedom of speech for any person except himself, he can go f-ck himself. That is one person I hate. Now Ernst he is a true German Patriot
[3 hrs](#) · [Like](#) · [1](#)

-  [Fred Leuchter](#) We all owe a great deal more to faurisson then we think, Azaam!
[38 mins](#) · [Like](#)
-  [Azzaam Abdul-Hakeem](#) I dont deny some of his work, I just dislike the character and the selfish nature of Mr Faurisson, [Fred Leuchter](#) that is really my only issue with him.
Azzaam, Robert Faurisson has done more and Given more as an Academician than any of the other Revisionists. He was not the first, but early on he was the strongest. Zundel knew this and involved him in both trials. He was responsible for my involvement and the avalanche that followed. He has more than paid his dues. David Cole is not in the same category as Faurisson. I am not even sure he is a Revisionist. His only claim to fame was the Piper interview which is a mere footnote to Revisionist History. You really need a better understanding of Revisionist History!

Mon, Jul 21, 2014 at 4:26 PM

R. Faurisson on David Cole

Here are three pieces in which I deal with the matter of David Cole's activities concerning the Auschwitz-I "gas chamber": first, a letter addressed to him and Bradley Smith on January 9, 1993; then, a footnote from the Introduction to my *Ecrits Révisionnistes (1974-1998)*, December

3, 1998; finally, an extract from the May 8, 2000 presentation of my three letters to *Le Monde* (December 1978-January 1979). With regard to Cole's recent statements on the supposed gas chamber at the Struthof-Natzweiler camp I shall soon produce a brief text.

Robert FAURISSON, January 9, 1993 Letter to Bradley Smith and David Cole on the "gas chamber" in Auschwitz-I*

Dear friends,
In the **Smith's Report # 12, Nov.-Dec. 1992**, you present as a sensational piece of news the fact that Franciszek Piper, Director of Research at the Auschwitz State Museum, admitted on camera to David Cole that the "gas chamber" of Auschwitz I was "reconstructed". You add that Robert Faurisson had been denied access to the Museum's archives. Many errors!
I would be pleased if, in the *Smith's Report* and everywhere else, you could correct all this.
It was in 1975 that R. Faurisson succeeded in having a man in charge at the Auschwitz State Museum, Jan Machalek, admit that this so-called "gas chamber" was not "genuine" (in German: *echt*) but "reconstructed" (in German: *rekonstruiert*). Consequently, Faurisson asked: "Reconstructed according to the original plan?" and Machalek replied "yes".

Therefore, coming back to Auschwitz in 1976, Faurisson asked Tadeusz Iwazsko, Director of the archives, whether Machalek had been right or not in saying that the so-called "gas chamber" was "reconstructed according to an original plan". And Iwazsko replied "Yes".
So Faurisson asked to have access to this plan and, generally speaking, to plans and documents related to the Auschwitz and Birkenau crematories. Iwazsko, reluctantly, handed over to Faurisson, who had presented himself as someone teaching in the Sorbonne (which was true), some volumes, mostly in Polish, of the Rudolf Höss judicial inquiry and trial. Those volumes contained tiny photos.
Very quickly Faurisson found some 30 interesting photos. Among them were photos of two different plans of the Auschwitz-I crematory today supposed to have contained a homicidal "gas

chamber". It was easy to see what that room had in fact been:

State 1 - From 1940 to 1943, a *Leichenhalle* - a cold storage room for bodies, with a washroom, etc.;

State 2 - From June 1944 to January 1945, a *Luftschützbunker für SS-Revier mit einem Operationsraum* - an air-raid shelter for the SS-hospital with an operating room.

The *Leichenhalle* was a dead-end room: there was no door on the S/E side.

The *Luftschützbunker* was a room with an opening on the S/E side: a typical anteroom with two doors and, inside, there were typical partition walls in zig-zag as in any air-raid shelter.

Therefore, if the Poles had "reconstructed" anything, it would have been either state 1 or state 2. In fact, they invented a state 3 - a mixture of state 1 and state 2- and they baptized it *Gaskammer*-gas chamber. They destroyed the partition walls and they kept the anteroom with the two doors.

It was absolutely necessary for the lie's sake to make tourists believe that the "victims" entered the "gas chamber" by this anteroom - recently, D. Cole has told me that the people who run the Auschwitz Museum have changed the story but this is of no importance here - instead of from the room with the ovens.

There lies the fraud: the Poles have destroyed the partition walls of state 1 and kept the anteroom of state 2!

It must be clear that the Auschwitz Museum's ordinary guides are of course lying when they tell the tourists that the room is a genuine "gas chamber" or when, more prudently, they simply lead them to believe that. But those in charge at the Museum have also been lying, since 1975 and not since 1992, in claiming that this room is a "reconstructed gas chamber".

Be careful: when you say to your readers that F. Piper has admitted that the "gas chamber" is "reconstructed", you in a way corroborate the story of the "gas chamber"! Your readers may think: "OK, the gas chamber is no longer in its original form but, anyway, here we had, at the time of the Germans, a real gas chamber."

I know that the title of your article contains the word "fraud". It reads: "Director of Research at Auschwitz State Museum Admits on Camera that Auschwitz 'Gas Chamber' is a Fraud!"

But this is misleading since F. Piper only said "reconstructed" - implying "honestly reconstructed", which is the contrary of a "fraud". Secondly, you don't bring forth any evidence of a "fraud", which is a pity since I had shown the evidence of this fraud in 1979.

Let me remind you what I have been repeating for thirteen years in my books, articles, audio and video cassettes, conferences and even in court.

In 1979, I published the story in the Italian monthly *Storia Illustrata*, August 1979 issue, where one could for the first time find photos of the two plans of Krema I, p. 28, and of the plan of Krema II in Birkenau, p. 33.

I showed all this at our first IHR convention in September 1979 in Los Angeles and then at a conference in New York. I recounted the details of the Machalek story when I testified in court at the first Zündel trial in 1985.

I told there how I had managed to make Machalek confess what he actually confessed. And remember the models of Krema I and Krema II at Zündel's house for the second Zündel trial in 1988! They were built according to the plans I had managed to find, order and buy at the Auschwitz Museum: quite an adventure, believe me.

Of course, at that time, I could not get Machalek or Iwaszko on camera but I did not need a camera since I had the best possible proof of the fraud (and not an "admission" - a quite false one - of a "reconstruction"). And since 1979, the Auschwitz Museum has never published a denial of what they know I have been saying all over the world. This is another kind of proof.

I hope you will understand my position. I feel disappointed to see that what I have discovered and so often made public is sometimes not perceived even by revisionists.

As for very recent revisionists, they, of course, as we say in French, have a tendency to break down open doors. I perhaps made the same kind of mistake with A. Butz and D. Felderer. I wish you a Happy New Year.

January 9, 1993.

* Text slightly amended by GN, on line at <http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.it/1993/01/letter-to-bradley-smith-and-david-cole.html>

Introduction to *Ecrits Révisionnistes* - 1974-1998 December 3, 1998, note 8

<http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.it/1998/12/introduction-to-ecrits-revisionnistes.html>

[8] *Ibid.* In 1992, that is, long after "the late 1970s", David Cole, a young Californian revisionist of Jewish origin, presented himself as the discoverer of the "gas chamber" falsifications

at Auschwitz-I. In a mediocre video he showed, on the one hand, the museum guides' version (according to which the gas chamber was genuine) and, on the other hand, that of

Franciszek Piper, a member of the museum administration (for whom this gas chamber was "very similar" to the original). There was nothing new in that. The trouble was that D. Cole and his friends exaggerated greatly – to put it mildly – in afterwards proceeding to claim that F. Piper had acknowledged that there had been a "fraud". In effect, there had been a fraud but unhappily Cole had not been able to unmask it, because he was too ill acquainted with the body of revisionist work. He could have definitively confounded Piper by showing him, during the video recording, the

original blueprints that I had discovered in 1975-1976 and published "in the late 1970s". Therein it is plain to see that today's alleged "gas chamber" is the result of a certain number of makeovers of the premises carried out after the war. For instance, the ceiling's four alleged "holes for the pouring in of the Zyklon B" were effected – quite crudely and clumsily – after the war: the steel reinforcement cables in the concrete were broken by the Polish Communists and remain today as they were left then.

Presentation of three letters to *Le Monde*–1978-1979, May 8, 2000

<http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.it/2009/09/three-letters-from-professor-faurisson.html>

Finally, it may be said in passing, those who are well acquainted with the revisionism dossier will have noticed that there were, unhappily, instances in the 1990s where purported discoveries were trumpeted by other revisionists, but which were in fact things already to be found in these three pieces by Robert Faurisson from 1978-1979. In this regard the case of a discovery allegedly made by the young Jewish-American revisionist David Cole comes especially to mind. In 1992, Cole was to make quite some fuss over the fact that a young female Polish guide, while showing tourists the Auschwitz I "gas chamber", declared it to be "in its original state" whereas, for his part, a museum official stated that the room

visited was "very similar" to the original one. Yet, in his letter published on January 16, 1979 and, afterwards, distributed in English by a friend of Cole's, Faurisson had already pointed out that contradiction (see the text's first paragraph and first footnote). Let us add, moreover, that the room was not at all "very similar" to an original "gas chamber": its exposition as such amounted to an outright hoax, as a certain number of persons in authority were eventually to admit, among whom, in 1994, the assistant director of the museum (see the article by Eric Conan, "[Auschwitz : la mémoire du mal](#)", *L'Express*, January 19, 1995, p. 68).

Michael Santomauro advises:

David Cole responds on my Facebook Page but won't allow Dr Faurisson's post to be linked to his Facebook page on July 21, 2014:



• [David Cole Stein](#) I have no comment beyond what I wrote in 1995. Except perhaps to add that Faurisson has managed to alienate Mark Weber and David Irving, and I am privileged to be in their company. And the only reason he has not alienated Brad Smith is because he keeps...See More

[Shofar FTP Archives: people/c/cole.david/cole-vs-faurisson-struthof](#)

www.nizkor.org

<https://www.facebook.com/BigInfidel?fref=ufi>

July 21, 2014:

I'm going to make this short, and it will be my final word on the subject. I've been hearing a lot recently about Robert Faurisson badmouthing me. This is nothing new. This is what Faurisson does. He has systematically alienated, via his unwarranted insults, Mark Weber and David Irving, the two finest revisionist historians there are. Faurisson is displeased that I point out in my

book that he froze on the witness stand at the Zundel Trial when asked about the Einsatzgruppen operations in the East after the invasion of Russia. If he is angry, let it be with his own behavior on the stand, preserved in the record of the proceedings (and accurately reproduced by me in my book). If Faurisson does not like Faurisson's words being recorded, Faurisson needs to take that up with Faurisson. Just as in the case of "skeptical" fraud Michael Shermer, Faurisson is upset that I recounted his own words. Tough shit, boys.

After my outing, Freddy Leuchter Facebook friended me like we were old pals. I'd met him maybe three times in my life, and I'd never had any conflict with him. A few days ago, Faurisson declared me an enemy, and Freddy concurred. And all of a sudden we were old enemies instead of old pals. The truth is, we were neither. Freddy isn't a historian; Weber and Irving are. If Weber and Irving are on Faurisson's enemies list, I am happy to be in their company. I'd rather be

Weber's real-life friend than Leuchter's Facebook friend.

Mark Weber and I have been friends for almost a quarter century. It is a friendship built on respect. Have we disagreed? Sure. All friends do every now and then. But we've never taken it public or made it a spectacle. Same with Bradley Smith, a friend of mine since 1989. But Faurisson? When he declares you an infidel, he makes a bigger spectacle of it than Cecil B. DeMille on acid. I have never sought conflict with Faurisson, but he has come at me time and again, and time and again I have stated that I don't give one small damn about his opinion of me. He should be thankful to a man like Weber for giving him a forum for as long as he did. Instead, Faurisson strikes out at anyone who "displeases" him, and, in doing so, violates the tenet of a free and friendly exchange of ideas that separates revisionism (in theory if not in practice) from "orthodox" Holocaust historiography.

He's insignificant to my work and my life. I'm sorry that he's bitter, but I can't help that. He's not worth another one minute of keyboard-typing. End of story.

<https://www.facebook.com/BigInfidel>



[Macro Tiger](#) good luck Mr. Cole.



[Gunnar Fredriksson](#) My memory may be faulty but I seem to recall that Dr. Faurisson wrote and published a letter in which he alleged that David went underground purposely, as though it was his intent all along, and thus that he was working with the JDL thugs for the purpose of discrediting Revisionism. I admit that I may be confused about the author but it seems to be correct in my mind. If this is the case then I will only say that he should be ashamed of himself levying such an accusation. It takes balls of steel to be an ethnic Jew and to do what David did. And I am so happy to have him return for round 2.



[Neil Hiatt David](#) this whole episode is on Carolyn Yeagers July 21st show. It starts at 1:50:40 and is linked below. Unfortunately I had to listen to 2 hours of this mumbling cretin to get to it, but there you go, for your interest. You should get on her show, that'd be a fun listen. <http://carolynyeager.net/heretics-hour-traitors-and...>

And if you're really interested, not in relation to this Faurisson saga, you are also discussed on the May 19th Deanna Spingola show (at 61:10 min) by Eric Hunt and Friedrich (Fritz) Paul Berg:

<http://www.blogtalkradio.com/.../05/19/the-spingola-zone>



[Shaun Portwood](#) [Neil Hiatt](#) Yeah, Carolyn is really hard to listen to. She's had a few interesting podcasts on revisionism, but she's so rude and unorganized that it's nauseating.

A final word from Fred Leuchter

Sent: Wednesday, 23 July 2014 12:28 AM

I further would like to address Davis Cole:

I do not like to see infighting amongst Revisionists and quite often get in the middle and try to mediate. Unfortunately, it was not possible in this case because you decided to publicly display your malicious slander against Faurisson and the rest of the Revisionist community. Your conduct in this affair is reprehensible. You are a "Johnny Come Lately" to Revisionism who managed to Get Piper to admit to the Russian Fraud. All Revisionists knew about this before you started. You claim to be an expert on Auschwitz in the matter of floor drains. You lie when you say you were the first to recognize this. I discussed it in my Reports (if you ever read

them you would know that) and I was not the first.

You have been riding on the coat-tails of many competent Revisionists with your plagiarisms. You are not a Revisionist! You never were. You are a self centered thief who runs roughshod over the reputations of many good men.

I knew you when you were wet behind the ears. You still are. I, like many other Revisionists, accepted you and befriended you. That was a mistake. I publicly call you the fraud that you are. I am sorry it has come to this.

Have a nice life Mr. Do nothing! Good bye! I will say no more!