

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia

Mob: 61+401692057

Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



January 2015 No 836

A moral victory after six years' battle

The final words on this matter: People with dignity do their hardest to preserve their dignity. People with no dignity cannot lose it; they continue in their positions of presumed power. My quoted utterances were mutilated by the Journalists: They quoted me saying "the definition was not clear". I remember myself saying "The definition of conduct unbecoming was not clear". I am claimed to have said, "the accusations sticks". They bestowed an extra "s" to give the impression that I did not speak the language properly. – M A Hegazi.

Scrabble rouser in court victory as ban ruling overturned

ANGUS THOMPSON, HERALD SUN, JANUARY 05, 2015 5:46PM



Mohammed A. Hegazi at Ringwood Magistrates court. Picture: Stuart Milligan

A SCRABBLE player has spelled victory in a court battle to clear his name over findings of misconduct.

Mohammed A. Hegazi served a one-year ban from competitions amid claims of unbecoming conduct by the Australian Scrabble Players Association in 2008.

But Ringwood Magistrates' Court today revoked the resolution following a long running feud in which Mr Hegazi has fought "tooth and nail" against the decision.

Lawyer Adam Baker, acting for the association, said Mr Hegazi, a former schoolteacher, had complained about the way opponents handled tiles and accused other players of cheating without any basis.

"He was accused of creating an environment where it was unpleasant to play in," Mr Baker told Ringwood Magistrates' Court.

The parties agreed during a pre-hearing conference in September 2014 to overturn the 2008 resolution that saw Mr Hegazi slapped with the 12-month suspension.

But his lawyer, Robert Frajsman, asked the court to insert a clause in the terms of settlement revoking claims of cheating levelled against Mr Hegazi in 2008.



Triple word score. Mohammed A. Hegazi has won in court in a battle over Scrabble.

The court heard that Mr Hegazi had been accused by an onlooker of hiding a tile under his leg during a tournament.

"My client is seeking an express reference to cheating," Mr Frajsman said.

But magistrate Phillip Ginnane said it was not within his power to revoke that accusation because it had not been subject to the pleadings by the parties.

"There might be a nine-letter word for fail called 'dismissed' that may come along," Mr Ginnane said.

After consulting with Mr Hegazi, Mr Frajsman said his client wished to withdraw the proceeding and accept the settlement put to him by ASPA in September.

Mr Ginnane dismissed the proceeding and ordered ASPA's 2008 resolution be repealed and that the association announce the court's decision in its quarterly publication, Across the Board.

But while Mr Hegazi has had the last word, he was ordered to pay ASPA's costs of \$3096.83.

Mr Hegazi said outside court: "it's a moral win but a financial loss."

He also reiterated that he was not a cheat.

"There is a group of people who are trying for whatever reason to make that claim stick," he said.

Asked if he would continue playing Scrabble, he responded: "Yes, I don't see why not."

angus.thompson@news.com.au

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/news/victoria/scrabble-rouser-in-court-victory-as-ban-rulingoverturned/story-fni0fit3-1227175161535>

Scrabble squabble over cheating, playing ban settled in Melbourne court

By James Fettes

Updated about 3 hours ago Tue 6 Jan 2015, 11:51am

A Scrabble player has had a one-year ban overturned but lost his battle to have his name cleared of cheating in a Melbourne court.

Mohammed A Hegazi was issued a one-year suspension by the Australian Scrabble Players Association (ASPA) in 2008 over allegations he created an unpleasant environment for players.

The association claimed Mr Hegazi bullied and intimidated opponents, regularly accused them of cheating and, in one case, allegedly cheated himself.

Mr Hegazi served the ban and returned to play years later, but continued to dispute the suspension.

Ringwood Magistrates Court today overturned the ban and ordered the ASPA print a statement saying the suspension had been revoked in their quarterly magazine, Across The Board.

However, Magistrate Phillip Ginnane said it was not within his power to order the ASPA to make a declaration that Mr Hegazi had not cheated.

The magistrate also ordered Mr Hegazi pay the ASPA's costs of \$3,096.83.

The lawyer representing Mr Hegazi, Robert Frajsman, said the matter was fraught with emotion.

"We are dealing with passionate members of this vocal community," Mr Frajsman said.

Mr Hegazi runs a "Scrabble detective" blog, about Australian Scrabble rules and governance.

Parties previously reached agreement

The court heard the parties had reached an in principle agreement at a pre-hearing conference in September 2014, but after the agreement was made Mr Hegazi requested the ASPA explicitly state he did not cheat.

"That's why we're here, because we haven't been able to finalise the wording of the agreement," Mr Frajsman said.

But the lawyer representing the ASPA, Adam Baker, said Mr Hegazi had agreed to the settlement without that clause.



PHOTO: Mohammed A Hegazi said he would continue to play Scrabble despite the court's decision. (Flickr:icolman)

"There was no discussion of any word to do with cheating [at the pre-hearing conference]," Mr Baker said. "We shouldn't be here, and yet we are."

Two hours into the hearing, Mr Frajsman told the court his client would, in fact, agree to the original settlement terms.

Magistrate Phillip Ginnane then made orders to officially revoke the ban.

Outside court, Mr Hegazi said that despite the settlement, the exclusion of the so-called cheating clause was disappointing.

"I'm a bit disappointed because the definition is not legally clear," Mr Hegazi said. "The (accusations of cheating) still sticks because they have not been specified."

But asked if he would continue to play Scrabble, Mr Hegazi did not hesitate.

"Yes, there's no reason why not," he said.

<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-01-05/scrabble-squabble-in-court-over-hegazi-one-year-ban/6000820>

Scrabble squabble over cheating, playing ban settled in Melbourne court

By [Legal Era](#), Monday, 05 January 2015 11:08



Mohammed A Hegazi was issued a one-year suspension by the Australian Scrabble Players Association (ASPA) in 2008 over allegations he created an unpleasant environment for players. The association claimed Mr Hegazi bullied and intimidated opponents, regularly accused them of cheating and, in one case, allegedly cheated himself. Mr Hegazi served the ban and returned to play years later, but continued to dispute the suspension.

Ringwood Magistrates Court overturned the ban and ordered the ASPA print a statement saying the suspension had been revoked in their quarterly magazine, Across The Board. However, Magistrate Phillip Ginnane said it was not within his power to order the ASPA to make a declaration he had not cheated. The magistrate also ordered Mr Hegazi pay the ASPA's costs of \$3,096.83.

The court heard the parties had reached an in-principle agreement at a pre-hearing conference in September 2014, but after the agreement was made Mr Hegazi requested the ASPA explicitly state he did not cheat. Two hours into the hearing, Mr Frajsman told the court his client would, in fact, agree to the original settlement terms. Magistrate Phillip Ginnane then made orders to officially revoke the ban.

<http://www.legalera.in/news-deals/global-legal-news/australia/item/15447-scrabble-squabble-over-cheating-playing-ban-settled-in-melbourne-court.html>

☐ [SCRABBLE DETECTIVE](#)

<http://scrabledetective.blogspot.com.au/>
SCRABBLE DETECTIVE

In an effort to internationalise the game, a group of nutcases periodically revise the allowed vocabulary. Scrabble vocabulary developed into a bizarre jargon claimed to cover words from other languages. If you only play with friends, use any dictionary without the word "Scrabble" on its cover. This blog is more relevant to Australian players. If you get bored with ranting about Scrabble and the incompetence of its custodians in Australia, logon to my politics blog

<http://hegazi.blogspot.com.au/>

☐ [Fact not Fiction by Mohammed A. Hegazi](#) **JAN 4**

[SORTING OUT THE DEFINITIONS](#)

Being of Egyptian origin has indeed affected my early writing about Jews. Over there, in that great

country EGYPT, we shared the borders with Palestine (a.k.a. Israel). Zionist Jews, who lived next doors in occupied Palestine were called "the Jews", simply because since 1948 Egyptians knew of no other Jews.

When I meet fellow Egyptians in Australia, we still loosely call Zionist Jews living in occupied Palestine "the Jews", even though we know very well that most Australian Jews have no connection to those Jews committing all the barbaric atrocities in occupied Palestine, and the fact that cultured Australian Jews I met would dissociate themselves from those Zionist Jews.

Having said that, many Australian Jews still have an affinity with the "promised land". They are prepared to turn the blind eye to all the crimes committed over there. It is possible that many rich Australian Jews are still pumping their huge tax-free donations into that "shitty little country" in the Middle East.

Some time in the early seventies I was buying a second-hand item from an Australian Jew in Prahran, Victoria. As the friendly discussion progressed, he told me that he was taking his family away to live permanently in "Israel". As I looked sympathetically at his 8-year-old son, I could only advise him to stay away from areas inhabited by Palestinians. It was futile to drag the discussion any further.

On another occasion in the late seventies, at a time I had legal problems with the Victorian "Department of Education", a fellow teacher recommended a Melbourne Jewish lawyer of the name Finkelstein. Amazing enough, the strange lawyer asked me, "Would you personally donate my fees to the State of Israel?" my confused reply was, "It's your money, you can direct it any way you like." That was my first and last visit to his office.

So let me sort out my definitions:

Jew: a person of Jewish descent.

Zionist Jew: a Jew who believes in Zionism.

Zionism: A political movement that believes in Jewish supremacy and the need to eliminate the existence of any Palestinian identity. Many American Christian Zionists are associated with Zionism via the belief that a Jerusalem inhabited by Jews is a prerequisite for the resurrection of Christ.

Readers are welcome to contribute their own definitions. Jew/Zionist is indeed a confusing dichotomy.

<http://hegazi.blogspot.com.au/>



"None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free." ~ Johann Wolfgang von Goethe

..some thought criminals – past and present...



"HANUKKAH MIRACLE" DOWN UNDER: THE DIRTY ZIONIST HANDS BEHIND THE SYDNEY SIEGE

By Jonathan Azaziah, Mouqawamah

Music EXCLUSIVE, [DECEMBER 20, 2014 MADDOLDSONOFKUFA](#)

"Worse than one of Jewish Hollywood's B movies," I muttered to myself as the events in Sydney unfolded earlier this week, "all that's missing is a damn Jewish or 'Israeli' hero and/or victim story eclipsing the horror of every Gentile in the crowd and we'd be set!" I animatedly but nevertheless quite seriously concluded as I turned off my computer for a moment to take a break from the horrifically monotonous hasbara. Little did I know that my miniature, infuriated cathartic rant would actually turn out to be rather prognosticative.

Whenever constructed and media-fueled events such as what took place in the state capital of New South Wales on December 15th occur, the first question that needs to be asked obligatorily is "who benefits?" In this instance, as the case was with the murderous attacks on [September 11th, 2001 \(1\)](#), [July 7th 2005 \(2\)](#), and [November 26th, 2008 \(3\)](#), to name just a notable few, the prime beneficiary is the felonious, sanguinary, usurping Zionist entity occupying Palestine and its perfidiously anti-Islamic "Global War On Terror (GWOT)". And while detailed information continues to trickle in regarding what took place on that fateful day, the proofs already available are more than sufficient enough to not only put a dent in the official story of the Zionist mainstream press, but beat the living daylight out of it and expose it as the handiwork of Zionism's dirty hands.

Incubating Intelligence Asset:

Man Haroon Monis, Iranian Extradition Requests and Organized Jewish Interests' Subconscious Cultural Imperialism

Without even the slightest bit of hyperbole intended, Man Haroon Monis, an Iranian expatriate and the "lone gunman" criminal behind the hostage crisis in Australia's most populous city, was, for all intents and purposes, a nutcase. Indeed, describing him as mentally disturbed would be entirely accurate. Moreover, he was a huckster who had been engaged in scamming and other illicit activity for years. Even his name is rooted in fallacy as Man Haroon Monis is merely an alias. Known also as Mohammad Hassan Manteghi and "Ayatollah Borujerdi", Monis has an extensive criminal history that includes a plethora of sexual assault charges as well as a charge for being an accessory to the murder of his ex-wife in Australia, not to mention the fact he is wanted for "heavy financial fraud" back in Iran, which is the real reason he fled the Islamic Republic, not the propagandistic drivel he had been [feeding to Australian media about his wife and children being held hostage due to his "liberal views \(4\)"](#).

The self-proclaimed "spiritual healer" Monis, called "damaged" and "unstable", as well as ["isolated" and "unhinged" by several Australian Islamic organizations and even his former attorney, was well-known to Australian police \(5\)](#). He became further acquainted with the authorities – which had launched a [quasi-crackdown on ISIS supporters back in September \(6\)](#) – when he [declared his allegiance to ISIS leader Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi on November 18th, 2014 in a public statement \(7\)](#), which raises a blatantly obvious question: Considering how intimately aware the Australian authorities were of his violently felonious misbehavior and publicly proclaimed Takfirism, what was he doing running around free at all, let alone with guns?

In addition to this egregious anomaly, another question arises: What was he doing on Australian soil to begin with? Monis's bogus "political asylum" story aside, [Iran had been requesting his extradition for 14 years \(8\)](#) and had provided the Australian security services with proof of Monis's criminality to no avail due to the lack of an Iranian-Australian extradition treaty. Iranian Police Chief Brigadier General Esmayeel Ahmadi Moqaddam noted that, "It seems that there are some hands at work behind the scenes; the incident seems to be playing out exactly like a drama, of course we don't blame Australia for it but anyway the Zionists and Westerners want to project the

blame on others for their negligence in fighting terrorism and [they want to involve the Islamic Republic of Iran in it, but Iran's positions are clear in this regard \(9\)](#)." Negligence is definitely an appropriate word to use, as Australia's [Shi'a Muslim community had also had asked the security services to investigate Monis in 2008 for masquerading as an Ayatollah \(10\)](#), but the request fell on deaf ears. As the Iranian Police Chief pointed out however, there were undoubtedly other hands in the mix, and this went beyond mere negligence right into the realm of outright subterfuge.

It is quite telling that Australian Prime Minister Tony Abbott, a rabid neocon who has [played no small role in spreading Islamophobia across Australia \(11\)](#), refused to call the Sydney hostage crisis a ["terrorist attack", instead choosing to label it an act of "political motivation" and a "very disturbing incident \(12\)"](#). Abbott, [who doesn't exactly have an issue with attacking Islam and in fact has a history of it \(13\)](#), also [refused to blame Islam for the actions of Man Haroon Monis \(14\)](#). This strongly suggests that while there was undoubtedly Australian complicity in what is now being dubbed the "Sydney Siege", the central force behind the cartoonish, Hollywood-style event that took place at Lindt Café – replete with robust, "intellectual" Jewish-dominated [mass media discussions on the "Jihadi" flag placed in the Lindt Café window either belonging to ISIS, Jabhat al-Nusra or some other terrorist outfit \(15\)](#) – was an outside actor, and that outside actor is none other than the illegitimate "Israeli" regime.

As revealed by Australian-Jewish journalist and activist Antony Loewenstein in a discussion with a former senior Australian ambassador, the criminal Talmudic enclave putrefying Palestine not only works hand-in-glove with ASIO and ASIS, but its notorious international killing machine known as the Mossad actually grooms potential intelligence agents at colleges like Monash in Melbourne and military academies like Duntroon. [Australia has literally outsourced its intelligence agencies and foreign affairs sovereignty to "Israel" and the Zionist-occupied American regime \(16\)](#). This devastating information is compounded further by former Mossadkatsa Victor Ostrovsky, who revealed that many segments of the Australian intelligence services [report directly to Mossad first, that the artificial "Israeli" regime has assets in ASIO and ASIS that "pass everything on" and that the intelligence directorate of the genocidal Jewish gangster "state" is actively recruiting sayanim in the Australian Jewish community \(17\)](#).

The destructive Zionist entity's Defense Minister Moshe Yaalon gave even more credence to these truths and the strong possibility that "Israel" was running Man Haroon Monis in its own ad hoc intelligence operation when he arrogantly contradicted Prime Minister Abbott's description of the event, saying that it was ["most likely the work of an Islamist terrorist organization." The Jewish ethnic cleansing regime mouthpiece Haaretz, which quoted Yaalon, also documented that Jewish schools and institutions across Australia were locked down amid the Sydney siege \(18\)](#), indicating Zionist foreknowledge of the event, as how could so many organizations close so swiftly with such fluid happenings at hand unless Jewish authorities were warned by their "Israeli" brethren prior to the occurrence?

This foreknowledge, as well as Mossad's penetration and de facto control of ASIO and ASIS, could also explain why Monis wasn't on any Australian security watchlist despite him being among the New South Wales cops' persons of interest, [something wondered aloud by Tony Abbott \(14\)](#). It is of the utmost likelihood that Monis was in no such file because Mossad deleted him from it, either directly due to its access to the databases of the Australian security services, or by proxy via one of its mid-level contacts in ASIO and ASIS as described by the aforementioned Victor Ostrovsky.

Perhaps the most glaring signal of Organized Jewish Interests being the handler of Man Haroon Monis is the press coverage of the Sydney Siege itself. Combing the Zionist media, one sees Monis's Iranian origins and his pro-ISIS, Takfiri proclamations being incessantly repeated and in the case of more neoconservative outlets, conflated. The ethnocentric "Israeli" entity's hatred for the Islamic Republic of Iran is well-known, and in any way, shape or form that the former can damage the latter, the opportunity will be exploited in a showing of what can be deemed subconscious cultural imperialism.

The words "Iran" and ISIS" will be regurgitated with such frequency in the Jewish-controlled mass media that the average Western observer, already mentally and politically damaged and stupefied by decades of psychological abuse from "Israeli"-and-Zionist-produced hasbara, will think that the Iranian nation and the Takfiri terrorists of ISIS are one in the same, despite the fact that this [\(still-repeated\) Zionist-Imperialist lie has been efficiently debunked \(19\)](#), as has its [sister deception which says that Iran's Resistance Axis brother Dr. Bashar al-Assad, the Syrian president, created ISIS \(20\)](#). These facts are irrelevant however to World Zionism's subconscious cultural imperialism, as the aim is to plant the seeds of doubt and confusion deep in the minds of innocent onlookers and would-be analysts and ultimately bring forth the ruination of their ability to see truth and reflect on geopolitical events outside of the proverbial – and Judeocentric – box.

Like infamous [ex-strip club boss and Takfiri terrorist Abu Hamza \(21\)](#), the many [Zionist-NATO-backed ISIS fighters suffering from mental instability \(22\)](#), or the [1,200 some odd death row inmates joining Wahhabi-Takfiri gangs in Syria after being released from their cells by Saudi Arabia \(23\)](#), Man Haroon Monis is the latest in a long line of assets with criminal or compromising pasts being utilized by the intelligence services of World Zionism for its geopolitical goals, which, in relation to this specific happening, are to prop up the international "anti-ISIS" coalition through orchestrated media sensationalism and strengthen its stranglehold over Australian politics and security, as well as to ["compensate for their inabilities by conspiring against Iran", as defiantly noted by the previously quoted Iranian Police Chief Brigadier General Esmayeel Ahmadi Moqaddam \(24\)](#). In short, Monis was just a pawn in the game; he shouldn't have been a coward in ducking and subsequently fleeing the Iranian police all those years ago. He would've been in jail, but much better off. Alternatively, he chose the path of a *shabbos goy*, which, as he assuredly uncovered in his final moments, is still a *goy* in the eyes of the Jewish Power Configuration and is thus completely and utterly expendable.

Frank Lowy Resurfaces:

Anti-Terror Laws and The "Israeli" Takeover of Sydney's Water Supply

Just like the Mossad-orchestrated terrorist attacks of 9/11, 7/7 and 26/11, which all saw extensive anti-terror legislation – i.e. Zionist-assisted police state fortification – getting passed in their aftermaths, most important and disturbing of them all being the [Patriot Act penned by arch-Zionist and son of Mossadnik royalty Michael Chertoff \(25\)](#), the Sydney Siege has triggered the [Australian regime to seek sweeping powers and force through like-similar anti-terror bills \(26\)](#). This call for harsher anti-terror laws is being led by arguably the most influential think tank in Australia, the Lowy Institute for International Policy.

The Lowy Institute has been ubiquitous throughout the Sydney Siege, with two critical examples being its top [military fellow James Brown linking the attack to ISIS as the crisis was taking place despite his admission that "one shouldn't jump to conclusions \(27\)"](#), as well as its [international security program director Rory Medcalf calling outright for a strong anti-terror regime \(28\)](#). And if you're thinking, "Lowy? As in Frank Lowy of 9/11 infamy?" Then you thought right. The Lowy Institute for International Policy is indeed the brainchild of Slovak-Australian-"Israeli" billionaire Frank Lowy, an ex-Haganah and

ex-Golani Brigades terrorist and one of the [prime architects of the murderous Jewish global game-changer routinely referred to as the September 11th attacks \(25\)](#). Considering Lowy's propensity for being so very intimately and domineeringly involved with Zionist false flag terrorism, his think tank's involvement in propagandizing and politically profiteering off the Sydney Siege should come as no surprise whatsoever.

An equally disturbing development is that just one week prior to Man Haroon Monis's clownishness which manifested at the behest of the Jewish supremacist entity, an "Israeli" water company called TaKaDu, founded and led by Amir Peleg and Einat Zviran, stalwarts of the illegitimate "Tel Aviv" regime's intelligence community who have connections to IOF, Aman, Hebrew University, the Technion Institute and criminal weapons manufacturer Elbit Vision Systems, essentially took over Sydney's water supply under the guise of "piloting" and "assessing" the city's Integrated Water Network Management. [Fellow Iraqi freedom fighter and truth-seeker Louis Adams broke the story \(29\)](#). It would definitely appear that the Zionists' warmongering quest for ["H2O hegemony", which began in occupied Palestine, Egypt, Sudan and Libya as the Year Plan \(30\)](#), and then penetrated [Lebanon, Syria and Iraq as Operation Year-Yinon-Oranim 2.0 \(22\)](#), has now extended into the infrastructure of the Western world.

The analysis for this unfortunate piece of news is multifaceted, especially considering that amidst the media hysteria ignited by the Sydney Siege, there was a frightening report of [Zionist asset Man Haroon Monis being in possession of four bombs, two inside in the Lindt Café and two elsewhere in Sydney's financial district \(31\)](#), a claim that disappeared from the media and is ominously reminiscent of the [two "Israeli" agents of Mossad front company Urban Moving Systems who were caught on 9/11 driving down the George Washington Bridge in a van full of explosives, an event which, coincidentally enough, also vanished from press reports \(25\)](#). It's as if both of these horrifying affairs fell down Orwell's memory hole.

Either the Man Haroon Monis event – along with the bizarre quadruple bomb threat – was an "Israeli" message to dissident voices in the Australian political establishment not to interfere with the usurping Zionist entity's occupation of Sydney's Integrated Water Network Management and possible future "Israeli" acquisitions Down Under, or TaKaDu's transactions in Sydney were merely a front for Mossad operatives to enter Australia undetected in order to finalize the manufactured hostage crisis. Or more malevolent still, an amalgamation of both scenarios. What isn't up for debate is that the Sydney Siege cannot be logically separated from the TaKaDu takeover of Australia's most populous city's water supply. And due to the cancerous "Israeli" tumor's despicable crimes against humanity in each of the Arab-Islamic nations it waged "H2O hegemony" wars on, Australians better brace themselves, because anti-terror laws forced upon them by the Zionists are the least of their worries.

Miraculous Jewish Escapes and 9/11 Deja Vu

A recurring theme within the breakdown of the Sydney Siege is the baleful similarities it shares with Mossad's false flag on September 11th, 2001. Only moments before Man Haroon Monis entered the Lindt Café and the hostage crisis kicked off, [popular "Israeli" father and son singing duo Benny and Gad Elbaz "escaped" in what Benny described as a "Hanukkah Miracle \(32\)"](#).

It's important to note that Gad isn't just your run-of-the-mill entertainer.

He's a [Zionist activist with a strong Jewish religious identity \(33\)](#) who sung [Kabbalistic prayers for IOF while it inflicted genocide on the Palestinian people of besieged Gaza \(34\)](#). "Hanukkah Miracle" is the [exact same phrase used by Haaretz Editor-In-Chief Aluf Benn when he reflected upon how much benefit 9/11 brought to the illegitimate "Israeli" regime and how fast the nightmare of that fateful September day in 2011 accelerated World Zionism's pathological expansionist agenda against Iran, Syria and Iraq \(35\)](#).

In addition to the "Hanukkah Miracle" correlation, Benny and Gad Elbaz share another 9/11 connection with numerous

fellow Jews. On September 11th morning, in a tiny, makeshift synagogue not far from the World Trade Center, a group of 9 Orthodox Jews gathering for prayer waited for the 10th man to arrive so their *minyan* could be complete and they move on with their prayer. When the 10th man finally arrived and they began praying, the mysterious 10th man, who apparently wanted to recite a prayer for his father, "seemed deliberately unhurried, protracted and prolonged." [When the prayer session ended, the 9 Orthodox Jews, who all worked in the Twin Towers, realized they had been saved \(36\)](#). But this isn't the end of the Jewish September 11th miracles; no, not at all. A Hasidic Jew from the Skvere community, [an extremist Jewish sect with abyssal political connections that played a prominent role in the Zionist annihilation of Iraq \(37\)](#), wrote an entire book in Yiddish titled "Himl Signaln", or "heavenly signals" in English, about Jews miraculously escaping the September 11th attacks (38).

During the 7/7 terrorist attack in London in 2005, mass murderer and war criminal [Benjamin Netanyahu \(real Ashkenazi name: Benjamin Mileikowsky\), was told by the "Israeli" foreign intelligence directorate – which had advanced warning of what was taking place as it was, unequivocally, a Zionist operation – to stay inside his hotel \(2\)](#). Manifestations of Judaic miracles abound it would seem.

"Seem" of course is the key word. Were Benny and Gad Elbaz really just innocent bystanders who got out of harm's way by the skin of their teeth, or were they *sayanim* inspecting the operation site before the green light was given to move forward? Was the mysterious 10th man at the near-WTC synagogue really the guardian angel of the *minyan* of Orthodox Jews, or was he a Mossad agent looking out for fellow members of his clannish guild of Zionist criminals? Were the Jews discussed in the Skvere Hasidic Jew's "Heavenly Signals" book spared from a fiery death by "divine intervention", or were they [forewarned by Mossad-linked "Israeli" messaging service Odiq like thousands of "Israelis" were that September morning \(25\)?](#) And was Benjamin Netanyahu (real Ashkenazi name: Benjamin Mileikowsky) told not to leave his accommodations in London on 7/7 because Mossad is just so gifted at acquiring intelligence, or because it had an operation planned for that day and didn't want the leading Jewish supremacist fanatic to get embroiled in a sticky, bloody situation?

In each instance, the latter rhetorical question is the one rooted in evidentiary reality. The only "Hanukkah Miracle" that occurred on December 15th, 2014 in Sydney was International Jewry pulling off another false flag without so much as a peep from the joke that is the "international community".

A New Theory:

Zionists Stage Event To Deepen Their Infiltration of Australia and Save Their Flailing and Failing "Anti-ISIS" Coalition

With all of the proofs and analyses presented above, which represent an evisceration of the predominant mainstream narrative regarding the Sydney Siege and all of the fallaciousness surrounding it, we would like to present a much more plausible – not to mention cerebral– new theory, or rather, counter-theory:

With the [Iraqi Popular Mobilization led by IRGC Quds Force Commander Qassem Suleimani scoring a stunning and massive victory over the Empire-backed ISIS scourge in the strategic town of Jaref al-Sakher \(39\)](#), and the [Syrian Arab Army thwarting the Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi gang's attempt to overrun the Deir Ezzor Military Airport and inflicting heavy casualties on the Takfiri terror outfit \(40\)](#),

the Zionist-designed international "anti-ISIS" coalition laid totally humiliated, its ineptitude, two-faced intentions, deception and hubris exposed to the world. Unable to admit defeat and end the charade of its repackaged assault on Islam through the latest GWOT incarnation, as well as being handicapped by its inherent Judaic arrogance, Organized Jewry decided to make ISIS's hasbara – ordered by the Takfiris' Mossad handlers to begin with – [regarding "lone wolf" attacks](#)

[on imperialist nations involved in the coalition \(41\)](#) come to fruition.

Since the usurping Zionist entity already had impending business in the Australian city of Sydney where shadowy plans were in the works for Aman-IOF-linked "Israeli" firm TaKaDu to take control of the water supply of Sydneysiders, Sydney was chosen for the location of a high-level, low-risk, Mossad-designed media bonanza that would reinvigorate the perceivability of the ISIS threat on a global scale and deepen Jewish dominance over Australia's political, social and security sectors. As an added bonus, and due to their control over various layers of ASIO and ASIS, the Zionists activated an Iranian asset operating under the Australian state's radar for the operation as a means of dragging the Islamic Republic of Iran's name through the mud and taking the spotlight off of the successes of the Iranian nation's Iraqi and Syrian allies. The asset, Man Haroon Monis, was aided by IOF, Aman and Mossad agents who had infiltrated Australian soil via their cover as TaKaDu businessmen, as well as *sayanim* Benny and Gad Elbaz, who were under no suspicion whatsoever from the independent, non-Judaized branches of the Australian security services due to their popularity as entertainers.

Once the operation was completed, the asset was neutralized and a sufficient scare was put into the Australian public after hostages were killed and the threat of a potential quadruple bomb attack was circulated by the Zionist-dominated mass media for the sole sake of fearmongering, Organized Jewish Interests, led by 9/11 false flag architect Frank Lowy's premier think tank, would lobby relentlessly for anti-terror laws which would not only increase the power of the "Israeli"-assisted police state, but also increase sympathies for the illegitimate Jewish gangster regime and ease the entry of "Israelis" seeking to further the Jewish-Zionist colonization of Australia. Deemed "Operation Hanukkah Miracle II" in the upper echelons of World Zionism, the Sydney Siege would essentially serve as a reset for the international "anti-ISIS" coalition, as well as a spoiler at a time of better, thawed Iranian-Western relations in the wake of ongoing diplomatic talks on the Iranian nuclear file. Zionist mission accomplished...

...Until now that is, with Mouqawamah Music's deconstruction of the chain of events from front to back.

Conclusion:

Australia Has Two Choices, Decolonize Like Whitlam or Be Zionized Further

With Australia's [foreign policy, its politicians, the actual language these politicians use \(42\)](#), its security services and now even its water supply in its most important commercial city all under Jewish hegemony, Australians are now standing at the precipice, uncomfortably looking over at the prospects of their future. Only two choices lie before them: Decolonize, and forge a broad alliance comprised of the White-Euro descendants of settlers who must abandon their white supremacist delusions and colonial privilege, the Arab-Muslim population and most critically, the Aborigines, who live under a [system of poverty, oppression and genocidal apartheid where they are unconscionably viewed as "native wildlife" and their very history is denied by the colonialist regime in Canberra \(43\)](#), or be Zionized further and watch their country eventually be transformed into even more of a Judaic-Capitalist puppet state than it is now, where Takfiri terrorist attacks – far more vicious than the operation carried out by Man Haroon Monis, which was more psychological than material – emerge as an everyday normality and a new apartheid is introduced, Halakhic-Talmudic apartheid, with Jews ruling the roost under "hafrada", the separation between Jew and goy, and Gentiles of all backgrounds becoming as despicably oppressed as the Aborigines themselves.

While there is hope, as Australia has a [burgeoning antiwar, anti-Zionist, pro-Syria movement led by Syrian-Australians \(44\)](#), it isn't enough, on any level. It's not large enough, not angry enough, not intellectually and spiritually sound enough, nor radical enough to combat the Halakhic-Talmudic apartheid already underway, as evidenced by [anti-Jewish-supremacist activist and political prisoner Brendon O'Connell being](#)

[rearrested by Australian police at the end of October and still being harassed by the cops to this very moment \(45\)](#), not to mention Islamophobic incidents continuing to go unpunished like the [violent threats against West Australian kebab shop owner Mustafa Atieh and his young daughter Miriam, without a single Australian Muslim organization to speak of coming to their aid \(46\)](#). Outrageous and cowardly don't even begin to describe it.

There was a time, from 1972-1975, when Australia had stepped away from its hideous, murderous colonialist past along with its identity as the junior partner in Judeo-Anglo-American imperialism. During these years, with Prime Minister Edward Gough Whitlam at the helm, royal patronage was dismissed, the Australian government was going in the direction of the Nonaligned Movement, "zones of peace" were backed, nuclear weapons testing was opposed and, in defiance of the colonialist establishment, the history of the ungodly genocide inflicted upon the Aborigines was spoken of truthfully for the first time and upheld. Whitlam also opposed Zionist-occupied Washington's war on Vietnam. For all of these "crimes", these "crimes" of free thought, nonalignment, anti-imperialism, solidarity with the Aboriginal people of the Australian frontiers and defending his nation's sovereignty, [Whitlam was overthrown in a criminal coup by the CIA, MI6 and local collaborator Sir John Kerr \(47\)](#). Factoring in the revelations unleashed by Antony Loewenstein and Victor Ostrovsky about Mossad perforating Australian intelligence, there's no doubt "Israel" had its hands in the ouster of Whitlam too, chiefly due to his [tumultuous, combative relationship with the powerful Australian-Jewish Lobby \(48\)](#).

If Australians are to return to the Whitlam years and forge a state as democratic and resistant as his or more so, they must first recognize that their enemy is World Zionism, not merely the faceless monoliths of "capitalism" and "imperialism" that "The Left" continues to berate without naming names and having the courage to declare that these levers are just that, levers, which the Jewish Power Configuration pulls whenever it needs to strengthen its octopus-like system of control. The barbarians are inside the gates already.

Will Australians continue living with the divisions that have kept them at one another's throats for years, thus making them more pliable for the Jewish-Zionist barbarians to keep running ragged? Or will they decolonize and unite in the face of this treacherous enemy? Again, they're standing on the precipice. Contrary to what Organized Jewish Interests have to say, they don't have to take the plunge. They can back away from the ledge. They can mobilize. They can say, "We will never have another 'Hanukkah Miracle' perpetrated against our nation again." They can shout, "We're done being *goyim*." They can fight. They can resist. And they can win. The choice, truly, is theirs.

~ The End ~

Sources:

- (1) The 9/11 Delusion: Israel's False Flag, Jingoism And Inhumanity by Jonathan Azaziah, Mask of Zion
- (2) 7/7: the terror drill, the military explosives, and the Israeli connection by Assad al-Liftawi, Mouqawamah Music
- (3) 26/11: Mossad Terrorizes Mumbai by Jonathan Azaziah, Mask of Zion
- (4) Iran warned Australia about Sydney attacker by Arash Karami, Al-Monitor
- (5) Man Haron Monis: 'Damaged' and 'unstable' by BBC News
- (6) Terrorism raids: Isis 'urging followers to behead Australians', says PM by Bridie Jabour, The Guardian
- (7) Sydney Hostage-Taker Says He is ISIL Member by Fars News Agency
- (8) Sydney gunman was 'wanted in Iran' by BBC News
- (9) Australia Already Warned by Iranian Police of Sydney Hostage Taker's Danger by Fars News Agency
- (10) Call to probe mystery Shia cleric by The Australian
- (11) Australia: Dangerous Rising Islamophobia Since Tony Abbott's Terrorism Speech by Loon Watch

- (12) Sydney siege: Tony Abbott condemns gunman's 'politically motivated attack' by Ewan Palmer, The International Business Times
- (13) Tony Abbott and Islamophobia: The greatest hits by Suleimani Ali Baig, Islam in Australia
- (14) Sydney siege: Abbott refuses to blame Islam for Man Haron Monis's actions by Lenore Taylor, The Guardian
- (15) What is the significance of the Sydney siege flag? by Michael Safi, The Guardian
- (16) Israel's image takes another hit with the 'Prisoner X' scandal by Antony Loewenstein, Mondoweiss
- (17) Ex-Mossad Agent Speaks Out: Tells of the Mossad-Australia Connection by New Dawn Magazine
- (18) Jewish schools, institutions on lockdown across Australia amid Sydney siege by Dan Goldberg, Haaretz
- (19) Iran Didn't Create ISIS; We Did by Ben Reynolds, The Diplomat
- (20) The Myth Of Assad, ISIL and Extremism by Sharmine Narwani, Russia Today
- (21) Another Takfiri intelligence asset exposed: Former strip club boss Abu Hamza 'worked for MI5' by Mouqawamah Music
- (22) The Takfiri Goy Golem: ISIS, Jabhat al-Nusra, Their "Israeli" Masters And The Repackaged Zionist War On Islam by Jonathan Azaziah, Mouqawamah Music
- (23) REPORT: Saudi Arabia Sent 1,200 Death Row Inmates To Fight In Syria by Geoffrey Ingersoll, Business Insider
- (24) Iran's Interpol ready to cooperate on Sydney case by Mehr News Agency
- (25) 9/11: Israel's Masterpiece by Naveed Khan, Lost Scribe Media
- (26) Sydney Siege Aftermath: Australian Government Seeks More Anti-Terrorism Laws by Brandon Turbeville, Mint News Press
- (27) Martin Place siege: Terror analyst James Brown cautions against jumping to conclusions by Nick Grimm, ABC's The World Today
- (28) Tough questions raised over hostage crisis as Sydney recovers by Jack Board, Channel News Asia
- (29) Sydney's Holy Water by Louis Adams, Justice Equals Peace
- (30) The Zionist Infestation Of Africa Revisited: The More Details, The More Devils by Jonathan Azaziah, Mask of Zion
- (31) Sydney Hostage Siege: Four Bombs Claim by Sky News
- (32) Israeli Entertainer Left Sydney Cafe as Terrorists Arrived by Dave Bender, The Algemeiner
- (33) Music and the Power to Connect by Millicent Haase, The Stroum Center for Jewish Studies
- (34) Gad Elbaz & Ovadia Chamama: Tefilla L'Shalom Chayalei IDF Soldiers by The Jewish Insights
- (35) For Israel, September 11 was a Hanukkah miracle by Aluf Benn, Haaretz; reprinted by the Campaign Against Sanctions on Iraq
- (36) Waiting for the Tenth Man by Yitta Halberstam and Judith Leventhal, Belief Net
- (37) Jewish At The Root: Iraq's Destruction, Hell Weapons, Hatred, Networking And The Interconnectedness Of It All by Jonathan Azaziah, Mask of Zion
- (38) Divine Right by Jeffrey Shandler, Tablet Magazine
- (39) Iraqis Prove That ISIS Is A Paper Tiger by Mouqawamah Music
- (40) Deir Ezzor: ISIS Suffers Significant Losses Near the Military Airport by Leith Fadel, Al-Masdar News
- (41) Western allies reject ISIS leader's threats against their civilians by Josh Levs and Holly Yan, CNN
- (42) 'Nothing but bushes': Racist, Zionist Australian PM compares nation to moon prior to British colonization by Mouqawamah Music
- (43) In the lucky country of Australia apartheid is alive and kicking by John Pilger, The Guardian
- (44) Hands off Syria 31-8-2013 Sydney Australia by TheSyrianFighter6, Youtube
- (45) Brendon O'Connell Arrested Again 10-30-2014 by The Ugly Truth

(46) Death Threats Made Against Local Muslim Family – Australian Police Cover It Up by Brendon O’Connell, Mouqawamah Music

(47) The Forgotten Coup by John Pilger, Counterpunch
(48) Australia Jews remember tumultuous relationship with late former PM Whitlam by Dan Goldberg, Haaretz.

A Wider Geopolitical Agenda

Why the Secrecy on the Mh17 Investigation

By JAMES O’NEILL, Weekend Edition December 19-21, 2014

On 17 July 2014 Malaysian Airlines Flight MH17 en route from Amsterdam to Kuala Lumpur was shot down over the Eastern Ukraine. Although the precise circumstances were at that point unknown the western media were quick to blame Ukrainian “rebels”. The means by which MH17 was destroyed, the media alleged, was a surface to air BUK missile supplied to the “rebels” by Russia. For a host of reasons it was almost certainly not a BUK missile that caused the crash. The stage was set however, for a demonization of Russia in general as the alleged supplier of the missile, and President Vladimir Putin in particular. The relentless propaganda enforcing this view has continued unabated to this day, although the evidential foundation for the allegations remains at best remote.

The Russians produced an initial denial of involvement. Four days after the tragedy however, as anti-Russian hysteria was escalating to extreme levels, the Russian military held a press presentation. The fact of this presentation was barely reported in the western media. The content, more importantly, was either ignored or misrepresented.

The Russians disclosed, inter alia, their radar and satellite data. These data showed that MH17 had been diverted from its scheduled route so that it flew directly over the war zone in eastern Ukraine. They asked for an explanation but one has never been forthcoming. These data also showed that MH17 had been shadowed during its last minutes by two SU25 fighter jets, a model flown by the Ukrainian air force. Again the Russians asked why this had happened.

The main response was a claim that the SU25 could not fly above 10,000 metres. Not only is this untrue, as an examination of military resources readily demonstrates, but the Wikipedia entry on the SU25 had been altered days before the shoot down to claim that the SU25’s operating ceiling was only 7000 metres. Again the western media ignored this obvious alarm bell.

The Russians further disclosed that at the precise time of the shoot down an American spy satellite was directly overhead the scene and would have recorded the sequence of events. The Russians invited the Americans to share these data with the official investigation that had been launched, but to date the Americans have failed to do so. Again, the western media are singularly incurious as to the reason for this lack of cooperation.

Under IATA Rules, the parties responsible for the investigation would be the Malaysians, as owners of the plane and home country of the airline, and the Ukrainians over whose territory the atrocity occurred. It was the Dutch however, who took the lead role, citing two facts: the plane had departed from Amsterdam; and they had suffered the largest number of their nationals as victims. The Malaysians were initially excluded from the inquiry for reasons that have never been satisfactorily explained. They were finally invited to join the Joint Inquiry on 2 December 2014.

Instead, the initial inquiry group consisted of Ukraine, the Netherlands, Australia and Belgium. The Australians suffered the third largest loss of life but had no standing to be one of

the investigatory nations, and certainly less of a claim than the Malaysians. The Australian Prime Minister and some other politicians had been at the forefront of making extreme allegations against Russia and President Putin. Why Belgium was included remains a mystery.

On 8 August 2014 these four investigating nations signed an agreement that the results of the investigation would not be published unless all four countries agreed. This gave one of the prime suspects in the atrocity, Ukraine, an effective veto over any investigations result that attributed blame to them. This is an astonishing situation and probably without precedent in modern air crash investigations.

More significantly however, is that the existence of this secret agreement was not announced by the Australian government, nor to the best of my knowledge has any report about the existence of the agreement or its extraordinary terms, been published in any mainstream publication.

The Dutch magazine Elsevier, under Dutch Freedom of Information laws, sought a copy of the agreement. On 19 November they announced that the request had been refused on the grounds that it “*could endanger the relations with other countries involved.*”

An Australian citizen (name redacted) wrote to the Minister for Infrastructure and Regional Development (Deputy Prime Minister Warren Truss) seeking a copy of the agreement. By letter dated 15 October 2014 the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) replied on behalf of the Minister, refusing the requester a copy of the agreement as its contents were “classified.”

The present writer wrote to DFAT on 21 August 2014 seeking a copy of the agreement of 8 August 2014 under the Freedom of Information Act. The department declaimed responsibility and said that they had passed my request on to the Attorney-General’s Department. This was odd, but even odder was advice from the Attorney General that my request had been passed in turn to the Australian Federal Police who were the responsible body.

This must be the first time in Australian history since 1901 that negotiations and agreements between sovereign nations had been conducted on Australia’s behalf by the Federal Police.

On 2 December 2014 the Australian Federal Police finally gave their decision on the FOI request. It was declined on the basis that disclosure of the document (which they acknowledged existed) under section 33 would, or could reasonably be expected to, cause damage to:

- (i) the security of the Commonwealth; or
- (ii) the defence of the Commonwealth; or
- (iii) the international relations of the Commonwealth.

The refusal also relied upon section 37(1)(a) of the Act which exempts a document if it could reasonably be said to prejudice the conduct of an investigation.

Thirdly, the Federal Police relied upon section 37(1) (c) where disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of a person.

The fourth ground of refusal was under section 37(2)(b) which exempts disclosure where it might reasonably be expected to prejudice an investigation by disclosing methods of investigation or detection of unlawful activity.

In the circumstances of this case it is very difficult to see how any of those provisions would apply. The agreement, it should be remembered, is to give any one of the four investigating countries a veto over publication of the results. A final report would be entitled to withhold details of the investigation that would truly prejudice matters of national security.

An investigation of a crash of an aeroplane is however, carried out under IATA Rules and its procedures are well established and well documented. Whose life or safety might be endangered by releasing the agreement is unspecified.

One is left with the conclusion that 33 (iii) is the real ground and the "international relations" referred to are the difficulty Australia and other nations have got themselves into by prematurely blaming Russia when all of the emerging evidence points squarely at Ukraine.

Given the existence of this agreement it is difficult to see how anyone can have any confidence in whatever final report is published by the Dutch. The preliminary report was careful not to apportion blame or even state the cause of the crash other than to say that the plane was hit by a large number of "high velocity objects" which were undefined.

Another major question is why have the mainstream media kept up a barrage of misinformation up to and including the recent G20 debacle, when they know, or ought to know that the investigation is a sham?

It is also difficult to see how the continued demonization of Russia and Mr Putin for manifestly geo-political reasons (and the probable reasons for the shoot down in the first place) represents any form of justice for the families of the 298 victims and in particular the 37 who were Australian citizens or residents.

It is clear that the Government's professed support for Security Council Resolution 2116 (2014) for a "full, thorough, and independent international investigation into the incident in accordance with international civil aviation guidelines" is no more than window dressing for a much wider geopolitical agenda.

James O'Neill is a former academic who has practiced as a barrister for the past 30 years. He has a special interest in international human rights issues. He may be contacted at j.oneill@bigpond.net.au

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2014/12/19/why-the-secrecy-on-the-mh17-investigation/>

Fredrick Toben asks:

How come ISIS has a YouTube account while mine was cancelled when a Jew in Sydney laid a complaint against mine because its content offended against social norms?

How Isis got its anthem

A stark Arabic chant is emerging as the anthem of the Islamic State. And it's just the tip of a booming industry turning out songs of bloodshed and devotion. Alex Marshall investigates the rise of the jihadi 'nasheed'

[Alex Marshall, The Guardian](#), Monday 10 November 2014

04.59 AEST, [Jump to comments \(255\)](#)

The first two minutes and 52 seconds of [Dawlat al-Islam Qamat](#) are undeniably beautiful. It is little more than an Arabic chant, sung by a man whose voice is so relaxed you expect him to drift off halfway through. It sounds timeless – as if it has been dug up from the eighth century. The man's melody has got a gentle swing, something you could easily imagine a jazz drummer playing behind to give it some oomph. Soon he starts layering his voice, so it sounds like a whole choir is trading lines, the song's impact growing as he does. Musically, it's better than just about any other religious song you could name.

But just as you are starting to enjoy it – perhaps thinking you might tell your yoga teacher to play it in class – some sound effects drop in. There's a sword being unsheathed, the stomp-stomp-stomp of soldiers' feet and some stuttering gunfire. And it is about that point you remember exactly what you are listening to. This is, to give it its English name, My Ummah, Dawn Has Appeared. It is the most popular song in the Islamic State. It is, arguably, the world's newest national anthem. "The Islamic State has arisen by the blood of the righteous," it goes. "The Islamic State has arisen by the jihad of the pious." God Save the Queen it isn't.

"The Islamic State isn't going to jump up and down and say, 'This is our official song,'" says Phillip Smyth, a researcher of Middle Eastern affairs at the University of Maryland and a jihadi music obsessive. "But it's recognised by the fighters and supporters as kind of their anthem. It just spells out everything they stand for: the Islamic State has arisen, we've defeated so many enemies, we're going to keep on doing so. And it also sounds good. Even for an infidel like me, it has a certain quality. It invigorates certain spirits."

The Islamic State's "high-tech media jihad" – its use of YouTube, Twitter and Instagram to boost support – has received reams of press coverage over the past year. But its use of music seems to have slipped by. That might be because its songs are in Arabic and so harder for non-speakers to take in. It might also be because they all sound similar: a cappella chants sung by men and drenched in echo, all with spoken word intros in which someone says the Arabic word for "Introducing ..." like a judge on The X Factor.


But these songs are vital to the organisation. They provide the soundtrack to all the Islamic State's videos; they are played from cars in towns they control, rather like US gangs use rap songs to demarcate their territory; they are even played on the battlefield. The group also seems to churn them out on an endless array of topics. Earlier this year, it put out an eight-minute nasheed called [Al-Maliki, Your End Will Be Tomorrow](#), directed at the former Iraqi prime minister, which seemed to be little more than an exercise in trolling. More recently, [it has been issuing songs](#) about the "life of security and peace" the Islamic State is providing, as if to convince people in the territory it controls it is worth supporting. If you need more evidence of the importance of these songs, some rival jihadi groups have reportedly banned them from areas they control.

My Ummah, Dawn has Appeared was released online in December 2013, and its ongoing popularity shows its staying power. If the Islamic State does continue, could it be named an official anthem? One thing seems to stand in the way: anthems are a western concept.

http://www.theguardian.com/music/2014/nov/09/nasheed-how-isis-got-its-anthem?CMP=ema_565

Slaughter of German Cities After World War II (Part I)

By [Jonas E. Alexis](#), October 11, 2014



Historian Keith Lowe declares at the beginning of his 2007 book *Inferno: The Fiery Destruction of Hamburg* that "I am aware that this book might have made uncomfortable reading for some." [1]

Why? Did Lowe fear that *Inferno* would upset the Holocaust establishment? Who are those people who would feel uncomfortable reading the book?

If Lowe is presenting facts, why would some people be uncomfortable with them in the first place? And what is it that Lowe is actually saying in the book that would make people so upset?

We all know that serious historical scholarship can be an uncomfortable territory, particularly for those who do not have enough guts to tell the truth and follow it wherever it leads. In fact, historical truth and artifacts can bring emotional pain and wounds. As intellectual historian Mark Lilla rightly put it, "Whoever takes it upon himself to write an honest intellectual history of twentieth-century Europe will need a strong stomach. But he will need something more. He will need to overcome his disgust long enough to ponder the roots of this strange and puzzling phenomenon." [2]

Lowe seems to have a strong stomach when it comes to examining the destruction of German cities, but he does not seem to possess the intellectual courage "to ponder the roots of this strange and puzzling phenomenon."

Furthermore, Lowe lacks the moral courage to tell us quite frankly who the culprits really are. And we shall see why in a moment. Lowe writes,

"There is still a great deal of bitterness toward Germany, despite the decades that have passed, and many people simply do not care if the Germans suffered or not.


"During the course of my research, I have spoken to scores of people—Jews, Gypsies, Poles, Danes, Dutchmen, Frenchmen, the list goes on—who have listened to my descriptions of the Hamburg firestorm and merely shrugged their shoulders. 'It was their own fault,' is the standard reply. 'They started it.'

"I would be surprised if even the most intransigent of these people could remain unmoved by some of the eyewitness accounts of the firestorm and its horrific effects.

"In Germany it remains virtually impossible to mention the bombs without the immediate acknowledgement that it was they who opened Pandora's box in the first place." [3]

Lowe, like noted historian R. M. Douglass and Hans Erich Nossack, [4] indicates that popular historians have been doing a terrible job documenting what happened to German cities after World War II. Lowe declares,

"I have been consistently surprised by the general ignorance of these facts among my own countrymen. In the course of the two years of writing [*Inferno*] I have come across very few people outside the world of military historians who knew that Hamburg was ever bombed at all, let alone the sheer scale of the destruction that took place. Hamburg is a byword for horror, and yet in Britain few people know it even happened.



"In North America, too, there is widespread ignorance of the basic facts, although to some extent America's geographical and emotional distance from Hamburg excuses this. Even those who have heard of the Hamburg firestorm are generally unaware of its ghastly human consequences." [5]

Lowe's frustration is understandable. I too have been flabbergasted by some of the most ridiculous statements ever uttered by one of my historian friends with respect to Nazi Germany.

After a long conversation, during which I challenged some of his sources, he then turned around and questioned archival documents, saying things like, "How do I know that I should trust them? How do you know they are not fabrications?"

I specifically bought him a copy of R. M. Douglass' *Orderly and Humane: The Expulsion of the Germans after the Second World War*. I told him to read it and we generally agreed to discuss it.

After a few weeks, he came back with his conclusions. I simply could not believe what I was hearing from him. His main objection was that he could not trust Douglass' citations and conclusions because they are hard to verify, since most of them are from historical archives.

Now here is a man who frequently cited secondary sources, but all of a sudden he cannot trust archival documents! Why?

Obviously the documents did not line up with his preconceived notion. Yet he did not know that he was making my job easy. I agreed with his thesis only to destroy it later. I responded, "Well, well, well. That begs the question, doesn't it? If you want to go to great length to challenge archival documents, which are some of the finest historical artifacts we have, shouldn't you do the same thing with secondary sources?"

"Don't you laboriously cite secondary sources to me as your authority? Don't you repeatedly say that Hitler wanted to exterminate all the Jews in Europe, a historically preposterous and mathematically implausible idea?"

"Are you seriously saying that secondary sources are more reliable than archival and primary documents? Is that an intellectually sound position?"



Germany after the War

He slowly got a little quiet. He is a military man, and I am not. He went to the Gulf War and knows much more about warfare than I do. He got shot at twice and at one point he almost got killed by a hand grenade. We have been friends for more than

two years and he has shown to be a gentleman. Yet his ideological worldview does not allow him to see the obvious. What I have learned last year and what I am still trying to understand is that some beliefs are so dear to some people that they are willing to die for them—even if those beliefs could be demonstrably shown to be false. I simply am appalled when I read some of the stuff that is out there and some of the statements that people try to put out in order to make a point. I sometimes simply have to ignore them precisely because many of those statements are simply silly and sophomoric.

And then you've got other people who believe that when you refute an argument or declare that an argument is silly or sophomoric or preposterous or irrational, you somehow are attacking the person who posited the argument in the first place! [6]

That really was news to me precisely because I thought this was a non-issue among serious academics and scholars.

It is really discouraging when people who ought to know better would even remotely suggest that by attacking an argument, you somehow dislike the person positing the argument.

For example, after arguing against one of Plato's arguments, eminent philosopher Julia Annas of the University of Arizona declares that

"This gives Plato a very silly argument, and we should try to do better if possible." [7]

Was she personally attacking Plato? Did Annas somehow have some animosity toward the ancient Greek thinker? Did she really despise him as a human being?

Or did Annas have to make a list of things that she likes about Plato so that readers would know that she really does not have some animosity toward him?

When mathematician John Allen Paulos for example talks about "an intentionally silly argument" [8] and compares the trinity to an "obviously silly" statement, [9] or that the argument for miracle is "beyond silly," [10] was he personally attacking the people who produced the argument? Can we seriously deduce that Paulos somehow hates those people just on that premise alone?

Moreover, have people even read popularizers such as Richard Dawkins, who make it very clear that some arguments are really silly? [11]

When David Hume declared that "I never asserted so absurd a Proposition as that anything might arise without a cause," [12] was he personally attacking those who maintain this view?

What is even more disheartening is that if you evidentially point out that some statements are demonstrably false, the same people who produce those statements continue to uphold and defend them as if facts and evidence simply do not matter! Sometimes it is just disappointing to know that some of those people graduated from many of the top universities in the country, where they should have been trained in logic and rigorous and solid argumentation. [13]

[Colgate University professor R.M. Douglas discusses his book: *Orderly and Humane: The Expulsion of the Germans after the Second World War* -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V6UjtIHCxhA>]



Richard J. Evans

Going back to Lowe, he is far from alone. Richard J. Evans of Cambridge, in reviewing Douglass' *Orderly and Humane*, noted quite rightly,

"At the end of World War II, between twelve and fourteen million people, ethnic Germans, were forcibly expelled from

Eastern Europe, or, if they had already fled, were prevented from going back to their homes.

"Others were detained in appalling conditions in concentration camps for weeks, suffering from disease, starvation, and maltreatment, before they were brutally pushed out to the west. "Long lines trudged towards Germany, with the weak succumbing to hypothermia and malnutrition. Altogether probably half a million and perhaps as many as a million perished in what was the largest action of what later came to be known as 'ethnic cleansing' in history.

"This massive act of expulsion and forced migration is still largely unknown outside the countries most closely affected by it. The story appears in standard histories of Germany and Europe in the twentieth century as little more than a footnote." [14]

So far, so good.

But the question that Evans should ask himself is simply this: whose fault is that? Is Evans really telling us that he is not an accomplice in all this? Didn't he defend Jewish thought police Deborah Lipstadt in court?

And didn't Evans get thousands of dollars in return? Didn't he get to write books for major New York publishing houses while those same publishers did not want to reprint David Irving's books because Irving said some uncomfortable but true things about what he called "the traditional enemy of the truth"?

Does Evans mean to tell us that he doesn't know that Lipstadt calls just about anyone she does not like an anti-Semite? Doesn't Evans know that Lipstadt even called Jimmy Carter—of all people!—a soft-core denier for writing *Palestine: Peace Not Apartheid*?

Doesn't Evans know that Lipstadt tried to get people fired from their academic positions just because they expose lies as perpetuated by hoaxers like Elie Wiesel? [15] Here's what Lipstadt said about the noted German historian Ernst Nolte:

"Historians such as the German Ernst Nolte are, in some ways, even more dangerous than the deniers. Nolte is an anti-Semite of the first order, who attempts to rehabilitate Hitler by saying that he was no worse than Stalin; but he is careful not to deny the Holocaust. Holocaust-deniers make Nolte's life more comfortable. They have, with their radical argumentation, pulled the center a little more to their side. Consequently, a less radical extremist, such as Nolte, finds himself closer to the middle ground, which makes him more dangerous."

Lipstadt is simply a double-minded person. For example, in 2011, she declared that

"If anti-Semitism becomes the reason through which your Jewish view of the world is refracted, if it becomes your prism, then it is very unhealthy. Jewish tradition never wanted that."

She even added that "The 'hysteria' and 'neuroses' of many Jews and Israelis who compare the current situation in Europe and in the Middle East to the Holocaust era. 'People go nuts here, they go nuts. There's no nuance, there's no middle ground, it's taking any shade of grey and stomping on it. There are no voices of calm, there are no voices of reason, not in this country, not in Israel.'"

Yet when the Israeli regime was getting a huge backlash for slaughtering innocent civilians in Gaza just a few months ago, Lipstadt took the center stage and declared in the *New York Times* that Anti-Semitism "was rooted in longstanding Christian views that demonized the Jews." She then concluded:

"The telegram has arrived. Jews are worrying. It is time for those who value a free, democratic, open, multicultural and enlightened society to do so, too. This is not another Holocaust, but it's bad enough." [16]

Going back to Evans, has he already forgotten that he is the author of the new book *Altered Past: Counterfactuals in History*, in which he takes it as a brute fact that six million Jews died in Nazi Germany. [17]

Evans indeed is part of the Holocaust establishment, [18] but somehow he is trying to distance himself from the very circle which, at this present moment, holds the West captive when it comes to probing historical enquiry and research.

In the same vein, Keith Lowe certainly should know that there is a Jewish establishment in the West that seeks to usurp serious historical knowledge.

Lowe, like Evans and others, should know that there is a book out there by a Jewish ideologue entitled *Hitler's Willing Executioners: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust*, in which its author argues that ordinary Germans play a crucial role in bringing about Nazi Germany.

The author of the book, Daniel Jonah Goldhagen, tells us in [an afterthought](#) that those ordinary Germans were certainly "anti-Semites who believed that exterminating Jews was right and necessary."

If you happen to challenge that premise on a rational and historical ground, Goldhagen will try to sue you. [19]

Lowe does not even analyze Goldhagen's book in his *Inferno*. Nor does he discuss the ideological forces behind those who try to cover up historical events with respect to what happened during and after World War II.

Why?

Well, if Lowe even mentions the names of those people, he probably would not be able to publish books for major New York publishing houses. He almost certainly would be sent to academic Siberia. He probably knows what happened to historians and academics such as Norman Davies and Norman Finkelstein.

[The Holocaust Industry - Interview with Norman Finkelstein (2002)<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mSwVz7JTRCA>]



Norman Davies

We all know what happened to Norman Finkelstein. But when Norman Davies challenged the accepted views of both the Holocaust in Nazi-occupied Poland and the Stalinist regime in Soviet Union, he was ultimately denied tenure at Stanford University.

His accusers were none other than the Dreadful Few, most notably Jewish historians Lucy S. Dawidowicz and Abraham Brumberg. A Stanford University article reads:

"Davies's works have been criticized at Stanford and elsewhere, by such experts as Lucy S. Dawidowicz (author of *The War Against the Jews: 1933-1945*) who said they felt Davies minimized historic anti-Semitism in Poland and tended to blame Polish Jews for their fate in the Holocaust.

"Davies' supporters contend that Poles suffered as much as Jews did in the war and could have done very little to save any of the 3 million Jews living in Poland at the time of the Nazi invasion in 1939.


"Davies had sought \$3 million in damages from the university for what he called fraud, misrepresentation, breach of contract, discrimination and defamation." [20]

Davies suddenly realized that the Dreadful Few were a lot more powerful than he ever imagined. He eventually moved to England, where he taught history at the University of London and later became a fellow at Oxford.

Davies is not an obscure historian. His scholarly works include *God's Playground: A History of Poland* (in two volumes); *Europe: A History*; *No Simple Victory: World War II in Europe, 1939-1945*; and *Heart of Europe: The Past in Poland's Present*.

Yet in 2005, Davies dropped a historical bomb that implicitly devastated the Holocaust establishment, writing a sharp critique

of both the Soviet Union and Nazi Germany, which was published by the *Sunday Times*.



After demolishing many of the arguments as articulated by the Holocaust establishment, Davies declared,

"On the ideological front, westerners are accustomed to thinking of the second world war as a two-sided conflict, of good fighting evil. The Soviets had a similar dialectical view. They were the authors of the concept of antifascism, which caught on in the West, encouraging the illusion that all opponents of fascism were inspired by similar values. In reality, Soviet communism was as hostile to western democracy as it was to fascism. Stalinist practices, however, undermine the entire moral framework within which the allied cause is perceived. It is not possible to maintain that the allies were fighting for untrammelled good if the largest of their members was habitually given to mass murder.

"Before 1941, enough was known about Stalin's concentration camps, purges, show trials and state terror that western leaders had no excuse for ignorance. Yet such was the desperate need for Soviet military assistance that all western suspicions were suspended.

"During the war, there were thousands in London and Washington who had witnessed Stalin's camps and murders. But they were effectively silenced by war censorship, and sometimes by military discipline. Officers caught discussing what they had heard about Stalin's crimes were threatened with courts martial. "Even Churchill, who had been a strident anti-Bolshevik and who admitted to 'supping with the devil,' warmed to the blandishments of success.

"When victory finally came, very few were willing to count the political and moral cost. At the Nuremberg trials, three categories of criminal conduct were established: crimes against peace (i.e., wars of aggression); war crimes and crimes against humanity.

"By any reckoning, Stalin's regime deserved to stand trial on all counts. It had been expelled from the League of Nations for crimes against peace. While defeating the Wehrmacht, its forces had perpetrated numberless atrocities.

"And in pursuing policies of mass murder, mass deportation, repressions and ethnic cleansing the Soviet state had manifestly entered the realm of crimes against humanity.

"Yet in the victory euphoria, they need not have feared a public reprimand, let alone a formal accusation. When German defence lawyers at Nuremberg protested on this score, they were cut short by the chairman, Sir Geoffrey Lawrence.

"We are here to judge major war criminals,' he reminded the court, 'not to try the prosecuting powers.'

Meanwhile, the notion of a general 'liberation' of Europe was false.

"The liberation was genuine enough when the allies entered Rome, Paris or Brussels; and it was dramatically evident when allied soldiers rescued the survivors of Belsen, Buchenwald or Auschwitz. But in eastern Europe, Soviet forces imposed a new tyranny as soon as the Nazi tyranny was crushed. Buchenwald was emptied of one set of inmates, then used for another. At the very time that Auschwitz was being liberated in January 1945, other camps like Majdanek were filling up with members of the resistance movement (our allies) whom the NKVD

regarded as enemies. Wartime heroes, flown into continental Europe by SOE and the RAF, were cast into Soviet dungeons. Democrats were arrested, shot or put on trial. Vast tides of innocents, including all Soviet prisoners of war who had survived German imprisonment, all so-called 'repatriants' handed over by western forces, and most of the slave workers returning home from Germany were shot or shipped off to the Gulag. Puppet dictatorships were introduced by force into country after country." [21]



Davies also indirectly cut the Goldhagen thesis to pieces when he said,

"For every European who was involved in the fighting of the Second War, there were at least ten civilians who were not directly involved but who nonetheless were forced to suffer the painful consequences of international conflict." [22]

There was no way for Davies to survive the Zionist regime's machination of historical events. But he certainly was not the only individual to challenge the powers that be. Listen to Norman Finkelstein in his 2000 book *The Holocaust Industry*:

"Articulating the key Holocaust dogmas, much of the literature on Hitler's Final Solution is worthless as scholarship. Indeed, the field of Holocaust studies is replete with nonsense, if not sheer fraud. Especially revealing is the cultural milieu that nurtures this Holocaust literature." [23]

Finkelstein of course had people like Daniel Jonah Goldhagen, Eli Wiesel, and Deborah Lipstadt in mind. Those people can meticulously craft a palpable lie in such a way that the average person who knows little about World War II will accept it as brute facts. Why do you think that Elie Wiesel received so many accolades and media attention over the past few decades?

Lowe does not want to be another Zionist casualty or victim. He also should know that there is a book out there called **Genocide Denial and the Law**, in which it is stated in a perverse way that "Holocaust deniers" should face harsher punishments—such as imprisonment if necessary. [24]

The premise of that particular book is absolutely worthless precisely because it can only be applied to "Nazi Holocaust." If we extrapolate the same thesis to other historical events, then Jewish historians such as Bernard Lewis and others would be in jail for denying the Armenian genocide, an issue we will address in the future.

This is why Lowe can never be a good cop because he never got the intellectual and moral courage to tell us the real culprits who are playing fast and loose with historical artifacts.

[Berlin Germany 1947 Rare Destruction #1
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lrz2B9Stipw>]

Furthermore, Lowe does not tell us that major media publications such as the *New York Times* play a huge role in brainwashing the masses.

It just gets to a point now that the *New York Times* doesn't surprise thinking people anymore with countless stories of "Nazi Holocaust" and with little or no historical depth and honesty and fair balance. [25]

It seems that editors and writers for the *Times* make sure that at least one story with respect to "the Holocaust" be published every month.

In fact, if you have been living on a steady diet of mass media and Hollywood manipulation in movies such as *Inglorious Basterds*—and to a lesser degree in *Hellboy*, *Captain America*, etc.—then you now know that Nazi Germany was the darkest era in recent memory.

Yet none of those editors and writers would be willing to publish the awful tragedies that literally fell upon the German civilians after the war precisely because that would ruin their daily wining and moaning.

That also means that some of those editors will have to find a serious and honest job other than producing hoaxes about Nazi Germany.

Writers and editors at the *New York Times* are certainly not oblivious to what has been happening in the historical world. They know pretty well that serious scholarly studies have been done over the past ten years unequivocally showing that German civilians indeed suffered tremendously after the war and the vast majority of those people had nothing to do with Nazi Germany.

Yet those *New York Times* writers continue to moan because the powers that be tell them what to think and what to write about. The powers that be tell us *ad absurdum* that no crime in history can be compared to what happened to the Jews in Nazi Germany. As Abraham Foxman himself declared a few years ago:

"The Holocaust is something different. It is a singular event. It is not simply one example of genocide but a nearly successful attempt on the life of Gods' chosen children and, thus, on God himself." [26]

If the "Holocaust" is actually "a single event" and unique, then there is no way to compare it with other historical events. But the Dreadful Few always violate that rule whenever they want to destroy a new country or leader.



"Are you Goyim ready to get smashed through 'entertainment'? Keep in mind that my work is going to 'fuck an entire generation.'"

Didn't they compare Saddam Hussein to Hitler? [27] Didn't they produce fabrications saying that Ahmadinejad was another Hitler? [28] Didn't they place Vladimir Putin on the front page of the *Times* with a Hitler mustache?

Didn't Paul Johnson help the Dreadful Few by marshalling the ridiculous argument that Putin was following Hitler's ideology? [29] Didn't the Dreadful Few and their puppets preposterously declare that **Assad** joined Hitler in ideology?

As a corollary, if the Dreadful Few really believe that Hitler was that bad, why don't they go after Hollywood which, as some Jewish scholars have argued, aided Nazi Germany? [30]

Nazi Germany was the greatest evil in the twentieth century, but Jewish towns such as Hollywood that actually helped Nazi Germany get a free pass? Does that make any sense at all? What the Dreadful Few end up saying is that if Hitler didn't exist, they would invent him.

Furthermore, why is it that we have hundreds upon hundreds of "Holocaust" movies and nothing about the Russian Holocaust, the Chinese Holocaust, the Vietnamese Holocaust, etc.?

Chinese scholar Mao Yushi, who actually was in his early 30s when Mao took over the country and who suffered tremendously as a result, recently declared that the Great Famine took the lives of 36 million people. [31] This is very close to what historian Frank Dikotter has said.

In a similar vein, Jo Lusby, head of China operations for Penguins, declared that "The Chinese people were cheated. They need real history." [32]

Indeed. They are still being cheated because the Holocaust establishment does not want to examine all historical events by the same standard. Everyone has to know about "Jewish Holocaust" and other historical events are just an afterthought. For example, *Inglorious Basterds*, which is about Jewish vengeance, received numerous accolades in the Jewish establishment when it came out. In fact, Abraham Foxman declared that *Inglorious Basterds* "should be recognized with an Academy Award." [33]

It does not seem to bother Foxman that the movie contains graphically violent scenes and flashes of pornography; the end—Jewish vengeance—justifies the means.

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=isVwgPyxLM8>]

Foxman concluded, "Hopefully the millions who see it will understand the horrors of the Holocaust and echo my view of 'if only it were true!'" [34]

Jewish producer Lawrence Bender ended up saying that *Inglorious Basterds* was actually "a Jewish wet dream."

[35] Bender continued to say that "we're getting to live out a fantasy of revenge, getting to do what every Jew probably dreamed of." [36]

Jewish thought police Jeffrey Goldberg reported that "Harvey and Bob Weinstein, the film's executive producers, also reportedly enjoyed the film's theme of Jewish revenge...

"Neal Gabler told me that Jewish revenge fantasies aren't entirely alien to the movie industry, but they've always been exercises in sublimation, *Superman* being only the most obvious." [37]

French Jewish actress Melanie Laurent, who played Shosanna Dreyfus in *Inglourious Basterds*, declared in an interview,

"When I read the script, I was like, wow, it's been my dream to kill Hitler since I was like four so I was kind of like Shosanna already. I'm Jewish. I read the script together with my grandfather and he told me, 'You have to make that movie, please.' So it was not just for me, it was for my family...I'm the face of Jewish vengeance."

[38]

[youtube 2fHjT_akI_U]

In another interview, she said, "I think everyone has that sort of dream, to kill that sort of man [Adolf Hitler]. I think it's kind of universal." [39]

Even rabbis extolled the movie as a perfect example of Jewish revenge. Rabbi Jack Moline of Alexandria, Virginia, after attending an early screening of the film, declared to his congregation,

"To my surprise, my complete and utter surprise, there was something cathartic and deeply satisfying watching this revenge fantasy play out.

"It was as if something I did not dare admit—my secret blood lust to do unto them what they did unto us—was being acknowledged, permitted and validated. I was liberated from victimhood." [40]

[youtube Sx-rrBv2lyc]

In short, *Inglourious Basterds* is the modern poster-child for the theme that vengeance is a Jewish virtue. Rabbi Meir Kahane—who founded "the Jewish Defense League (JDL) in the 1960s, a movement intended to counter acts of anti-Semitism"—declared in 1980,

"Vengeance is a fundamental Jewish concept that is a precept, injunction, commandment for the Jew."

Eli Roth, "The Bear Jew," admitted,

"I grew up with this psychotic hatred of the Nazis and just these fantasies of killing them... It was very cathartic, it was extremely personal to do the role...So there is probably a lot more of me in Donny Donowitz and Donny Donowitz in me than I would care to admit."

Since the [1940s until the present](#), Hollywood has made sure that at least one or two "Holocaust" movies are released. Is there an agenda?

Let us ask Jewish Holocaust historian **Tim Cole** of the University of Bristol. He writes in the first page of his stunning book ***Selling the Holocaust: From Auschwitz to Schindler: How History is Bought, Packaged, and Sold***, **"Shoah [Hebrew for Holocaust] business' is big business...[In] the twentieth century, the 'Holocaust' is being bought and sold. \$168 million was donated to pay for the building of the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum on a plot of Federal Land in Washington, DC.**

"Millions of dollars have financed memorial projects throughout the United States, ranging from the installation of Holocaust memorials to the establishing of University chairs in Holocaust studies. Steven Spielberg's movie Schindler's List netted over \$221 million in foreign box offices and even Academy Awards." [41]

Cole titled the prologue of his book **"The Rise (and Fall?) of the Myth of the 'Holocaust.'"**

It is high time that people of all stripes wake up against the Zionist/Neo-Bolshevik/Neoconservative machination of the West and much of the world because everyone will end up paying the price.

And this is where historian Thomas Goodrich's meticulous study comes in. We will address his admirable book, ***Hellstorm: The Death of Nazi Germany***, in the next article.

[10 Hard Facts About the Holocaust -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j5QIWJuk4-o>

[1] Keith Lowe, *Inferno: The Fiery Destruction of Hamburg, 1943* (New York: Scribner, 2007), xiii.

[2] Mark Lilla, *The Reckless Mind: Intellectuals in Politics* (New York: New York Review of Books, 2001), 198.

[3] Lowe, *Inferno*, 303.

[4] Hans Erich Nossack, *The End: Hamburg, 1943* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2011).

[5] Lowe, *Inferno*, xii.

[6] For a long treatise on this issue, see for example Jonathan Barnes, *The Pre-Socratic Philosophers* (New York: Routledge, 1982); Stewart Duncan and Antonio Lolordo, *Debates in Modern Philosophy: Essential Readings and Contemporary Responses* (New York: Routledge, 2013), xxi; Francisco J. Ayala and Robert Arp, ed., *Contemporary Debates in Philosophy of Biology* (Malden, MA: Blackwell, 2010), 77; Raymond M. Smullyan, *A Beginner's Guide to Mathematical Logic* (New York: Dover Publications, 2014), 257.

[7] Julia Annas, *An Introduction to Plato's Republic* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1981), 197.

[8] John Allen Paulos, *Irreligion: A Mathematician Explains Why the Arguments for God Just Don't Add Up* (New York: Hill and Wang, 2008), 35.

[9] *Ibid.*, 48.

[10] *Ibid.*, 85.

[11] Richard Dawkins, *The God Delusion* (Boston: Mariner Book, 2008), 109.

[12] J. Y. T. Greig, ed., *The Letters of David Hume* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1932), 187.

[13] Even if those people had used the knowledge they had gained from a basic geometry course, they would have learned that there are some arguments that are just plain inept. I keep updating my response policy precisely because some people will not or refuse to listen.

[14] Richard J. Evans, "The Other Horror," *New Republic*, June 25, 2012.

[15] See for example E. Michael Jones, "Holocaust Denial and Thought Control: Deborah Lipstadt at Notre Dame University," *Culture Wars*, May 2009.

[16] Deborah Lipstadt, "Why Jews Are Worried," *NY Times*, August 20, 2014.

[17] Richard J. Evans, *Altered Pasts: Counterfactuals in History* (Waltham: Brandeis University Press, 2013), 1.

[18] I particularly contacted Evans back in 2012 while researching *Christianity & Rabbinic Judaism*, Vol. II. I also contacted Lipstadt and Shermer. I frankly was appalled by some of their contradictory remarks. Moreover, upon observation, it became obvious to me that although Evans theoretically

believes in primary sources (*In Defense of History*), practically his attachment to the Holocaust Industry does not allow him to follow what he subscribes to, especially when it comes to examining important issues about Nazi Germany. I believe it is that establishment that keeps many historians, including Evans and to a lesser degree Lowe, from reaching their full potential as serious historical writers.

[19] Yes, Goldhagen actually did threaten to sue one historian by the name of Ruth Bettina Birn. You can view Birn's critique of *Hitler's Willing Executioners* [here](#).

[20] Stanford News Service, September 5, 1995 <http://www.stanford.edu/dept/news/pr/91/910905Arc1210.html>.

[21] Norman Davies, "Russia: The Missing Link in Britain's VE Day Mythology," *Sunday Times*, May 1, 2005.

[22] Norman Davies, *No Simple Victory: World War II in Europe* (New York: Penguin, 2006), 283.

[23] Norman Finkelstein, *The Holocaust Industry: Reflections on the Exploitation of Jewish Suffering* (New York: Verso, 2000), 55.

[24] Ludovic Hennebel and Thomas Hochmann, *Genocide Denials and the Law* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2011), 317-318.

[25] For story after story, see for example Alexis Soloski, "Recounting a Tragic Past Through the Eyes of Survivors," *NY Times*, August 3, 2014; "'The Banality of Evil,' and the Nazis' Early Victims," *NY Times*, September 8, 2014; Jodi Rudoren, "Holocaust Told in One Word, 6 Million Times," *NY Times*, January 25, 2014; Timothy W. Ryback, "The Unquiet Ghosts of Nazi Germany," *NY Times*, February 4, 2014; Joseph Berger, "Split Up by Holocaust, Top Collection of Yiddish Works Will Reunite Digitally," *NY Times*, October 2, 2014.

[26] Abraham Foxman, *ADL on the Frontline*, January 1994. Foxman never bothered to define what he meant by "God's chosen children." Did he mean to say that Trotsky and Lenin were also "God's chosen children"? How about Freud? Were the Dreadful Few chosen by God to spread pornography in Weimar Republic?

[27] Anne Kornblutt and Charles Sennott, "Saddam the New Hitler, Bush Tells Europeans," *The Sydney Morning Herald*, November 22, 2002.

[28] "Wikileaks: US Referred to Mahmoud Ahmadinejad as 'Hitler,'" *The Telegraph*, November 28, 2010.

[29] Paul Johnson, "Is Vladimir Putin Another Adolf Hitler?," *Forbes*, May 5, 2014.

[30] Ben Urwand, *The Collaboration: Hollywood's Pact with Hitler* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2013); Thomas Doherty, *Hollywood and Hitler, 1933-1929* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2013).

[31] Mao Yushi, "I'm Trying to Solve a Decades Old Mystery: How Many People Were Killed by China's Great Famine?," *Washington Post*, September 1, 2014.

[32] Ibid.

[33] Abraham Foxman, "Inglorious Basterds Should Be Recognized with an Academy Award," *Huffington Post*, April 19, 2010.

[34] Ibid.

[35] Andrew O'Hehir, "Is Tarantino Good for the Jews?" *Salon.com*, August 13, 2009.

[36] Ami Eden, "'Inglorious Basterds' at JTS," *Jewish Telegraphic Agency*, Dec. 18, 2009.

[37] Ibid.

[38] "Laurent is Happy to be the 'Face of Jewish Vengeance,'" *Hollywood.com*, Aug. 14, 2009.

[39] Linda Gorov, "Glorious Women," *Boston Globe*, August 16, 2009.

[40] Ami Eden, "'Inglorious Basterds' at JTS," *Jewish Telegraphic Agency*, December 18, 2009.

[41] Tim Cole, *Selling the Holocaust: From Auschwitz to Schindler, How History is Bought, Packaged and Sold* (New York: Routledge, 2000), 1.



Jonas E. Alexis

Jonas E. Alexis studied mathematics and philosophy as an undergraduate at Palm Beach Atlantic University and has a master's degree in education from Grand Canyon University. Some of his main interests include the history of Christianity, U.S. foreign policy, the history of the Israel/Palestine conflict, and the history of ideas. He is the author of the new book, **Christianity & Rabbinic Judaism: A History of Conflict Between Christianity and Rabbinic Judaism from the first Century to the Twenty-first Century.**


He is currently teaching mathematics in South Korea. He plays soccer and basketball in his spare time. He is also a cyclist. He is currently writing a book tentatively titled *Zionism and the West*. Alexis welcomes comments, letters, and queries in order to advance, explain, and expound rational and logical discussion on issues such as the Israel/Palestine conflict, the history of Christianity, and the history of ideas.

In the interest of maintaining a civil forum, Alexis asks that all queries be appropriately respectful and maintain a level of civility. As the saying goes, "iron sharpens iron," and the best way to sharpen one's mind is through constructive criticism, good and bad.

However, Alexis has no patience with name-calling and ad hominem attack. He has deliberately ignored many queries and irrational individuals in the past for this specific reason—and he will continue to abide by this policy.

...read on at: **Rape and Sex in German Cities After World War II Revisited (Part II)**

"The women were raped, not once or twice but ten, twenty, thirty and a hundred times, and it was all the same to the Russians whether they raped mere children or old women." [\[1\]](#)



Virtually nothing can be compared to what the Allied Forces did to German women after World War II. I honestly thought I knew enough about the brutal torture that the German civilians went through until I started reading Thomas Goodrich's book.

M. Douglas' *Orderly and Humane* is the most rigorous work I have ever read on this particular subject, but Douglas understandably did not or could not cover everything in a 500-page book.

If you have read ***Orderly and Humane*** and found it to be eye-opening, then there is no doubt that you will find ***Hellstorm*** worth your time. Goodrich is to be recommended for this painstakingly laborious work. He makes the historically convincing case that,

"Of all the methods used to express its anger, the Red Army said it best with rape. From eight to eighty, healthy or ill, indoors or out, in fields, on sidewalks, against walls, the spiritual massacre of German women continued unabated.