Grand mufti sues News Corp's Daily Telegraph for defamation

Exclusive: Statement of claim alleges tabloid suggested Dr Ibrahim Abu Mohammed was ‘an apologist for the terrorist attacks in Paris’

Dr Ibrahim Abu Mohamed’s lawsuit asks for general and aggravated damages and asks that the allegedly defamatory News Corp stories be taken down.
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The grand mufti of Australia is suing Sydney’s Daily Telegraph for defamation over two stories and a front page it ran in the aftermath of the Paris terrorist attacks depicting him as the three “unwise” monkeys.

A statement of claim was filed in the New South Wales supreme court on Friday alleging the News Corp tabloid had suggested Dr Ibrahim Abu Mohammed was “an apologist for the terrorist attacks in Paris”, a supporter of “violent Islamic holy war” and had deliberately refused to attend a vigil after the attacks, among other claims.

The newspaper’s front page on 18 November depicted Mohammed, the most senior Sunni Muslim scholar in Australia, in three poses covering his eyes, ears and mouth, with the caption: “Sees no problems, hears no concerns, speaks no English.”

The two stories were headlined “The unwise mufti” and “Even Hamas condemn the Paris attacks so why won’t Australia’s Grand Mufti Ibrahim Abu Mohammed?”

Mohammed had issued a statement two days after the 13 November attacks saying he “mourn[ed] the loss of innocent lives” and conveyed his “deepest condolences to the families and friends of the deceased”.

A statement on his Facebook page the previous day had read, in relation to the attacks and another bombing in Beirut: “There are no words to truly describe the devastation of these acts but we will continue in solidarity and pray for peace.”

A line in his Monday statement also pointed to what he believed were the “causative factors” of terrorism, including “racism, Islamophobia, curtailing freedoms through securitisation, duplicitous foreign policies and military intervention”.

The Daily Telegraph claimed in both stories that Mohammed had shown a “stubborn refusal to condemn the Paris terror attacks” and accused him of “snubbing” a requiem mass held in the aftermath, attended on his behalf by the head of the Muslim Women’s Association, Maha Abdo.

The Australian National Imam’s Council said in a statement its lawyers had “clearly and succinctly raised concerns regarding the article with News Corp” and provided opportunities to make amends.

But the news outlet had shown a “completely failure to engage with the grand mufti or his representatives”, it said.

The lawsuit asks for general and aggravated damages and that the allegedly defamatory stories be taken down.

News Corp Australia has been contacted for comment.

Analysis

Five things Australia’s grand mufti may or may not have said about the Paris attacks

Some in the media have decried the response of Ibrahim Abu Mohamed to the Paris attacks. Here is what he said, what he didn’t say and what they said that meant

Read more http://www.theguardian.com/media/2016/apr/23/grand-mufti-sues-news-corps-daily-telegraph-for-defamation
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Tony Abbott admits to litany of mistakes as PM

Tony Abbott has conceded a long list of mistakes and misjudgments in relation to policy, public opinion and dealings with colleagues that were instrumental in costing him the prime ministership. Picture: Tim Carrafa

---
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A contrite Tony Abbott, in a unique exercise in self-criticism, has conceded a long list of mistakes and misjudgments in relation to policy, public opinion and dealings with colleagues that were instrumental in costing him the prime ministership. Mr Abbott’s admissions constitute a deep personal reassessment. He pledges to try to rectify in his future public life the lessons from his inadequacies as prime minister.

He concedes that the Abbott government failed to rise to the challenges of “greater fairness, more thoroughgoing justice and deeper empowerment”. The litany of admitted failures, large and small, has no parallel as a public confession for a deposed prime minister, even though most are made in hindsight. Mr Abbott says: “I made some unnecessary enemies and left too many friends feeling under-appreciated.”

MORE: I am not blind to my flaws

“I can’t let pride in what was achieved under my leadership blind me to the flaws that made its termination easier, even if claims were exaggerated or exploited in self-serving ways.”

He concedes “there were some issues the Abbott government could have managed better or not pursued at all”.

Mr Abbott’s comments are made in an article, obtained by The Weekend Australian, to be published in the May issue of Quadrant magazine. It is the third and last in a series reviewing his government.

He says having only one woman in his initial cabinet was an “avoidable error”. He concedes he should have anticipated the “hostility” in knighting Prince Philip and left decisions for knighthoods to be taken by the Order of Australia council.

He believes in hindsight that the early decision to abolish the “debt ceiling” was a mistake because it completely misread the Senate’s resistance to making spending cuts.

In retrospect, he admits that abandonment of the change to section 18c of the Racial Discrimination Act in the cause of free speech was a mistake and says he should have persisted with the sort of reform amendment later advocated by crossbench senator Bob Day.

Mr Abbott says that he should have realised far earlier that his generous paid parental leave scheme (based on six months at full pay) was “undeliverable” because of budget realities.

He concedes that a series of decisions he took at the outset to downplay Croatia’s involvement in crimes with their National Socialist allies during World War II, while significantly increasing the numbers of casualties incurred by disarmed Croat NS-allied soldiers and civilians who were victims of Yugoslav Communist partisans after WWII.

Predictably, the Simon Wiesenthal Center and its chief moral admonisher for East European governments, Ephraim Zuroff, are now playing an important role in reprimanding the Croat government and public for not emphasizing the primacy of Jewish victimhood — never mentioning massive post-war crimes committed by early Communist governments in Yugoslavia and elsewhere in Eastern Europe. With his frequent criticism of East Europeans, who had allegedly failed to come to the rescue of persecuted Jews in war-torn Europe, Zuroff has managed to pit diverse nationalist narratives in East Europe against each other.

The Simon Wiesenthal Center Trump Card in the Serb-Croat War of Memories

Dr. Tom Sunic is author and Board member of the AFP

The Jewish and Serbian communities in Croatia have decided to boycott the official commemoration of their World War II dead, which is scheduled to take place in Croatia from April 15 to April 22 of this year. Their boycott was expectable. Over the last twenty years there has been an increasing effort among Croat historians and an array of nationalist politicians to downplay Croatia’s involvement in crimes with their National Socialist allies during World War II, while significantly increasing the numbers of casualties incurred by disarmed Croat NS-allied soldiers and civilians who were victims of Yugoslav Communist partisans after WWII.

The letters’ somewhat contrived self-indulgent contents reveal how important it is to have a bureaucracy that keeps the country going, and we should all be proud of our state and commonwealth public service for doing just that. This is because politics in our still functioning democracy creates the artificial left-right divide, which becomes a side-show wherein the performers refuse to mention who and what is the actual elephant in the room that oppresses and strips them of moral and intellectual integrity. Sometimes there is justice to be had after the killing, like Pauline Hanson who served time in prison because Tony Abbott needed to stop Liberal voters fleeing to One Nation, and who must have had a fuzzy feeling when Turnbull replaced Abbott.


The Simon Wiesenthal Center, pictured in Croatia, 2007, at the main square in Zagreb
The simmering conflict of World War II memories between Croatia and Serbia has again reached a boiling point with insults of “Fascists”, “Communists”, “anti-Semites” flowing around on both sides. From the point of view of international security, the relationship between Serbia and Croatia does not look good. It is at its lowest point since their bloody divorce following the break-up of Yugoslavia in 1991.

**Mathematics in Lieu of Historiography**

The small Jewish community in Croatia (approximately 1,000 members), and the much larger Serbian community (250,000), including a very large number of Croatia’s communist veterans and retired communist apparatchiks, are upset over the ongoing prodding into their own World War II body counts — especially over the critical delving into the numbers of their post-World War II victims at the “Croatian Auschwitz,” i.e., the Jasenovac camp. It appears now that the early local Communists in Yugoslavia ran what had been Jasenovac, the “Nazi” camp after World War II. Croat revisionist scholars claim that more people were killed by the Yugoslav communists after World War II than by the Croat NS-allied regime during World War II. Their victims included Croats and Germans who were captured “enemy combatants,” civilians, including ethnic Germans, anti-communists, clergy, etc.

Their data, which includes the allegedly 200,000 to 500,000 killed Croat civilians and soldiers after WWII, is based on forensic examinations, tons of bare bones and excavations conducted at over 800 hidden sites in Croatia and in neighboring Slovenia over the past ten years. Curiously, neither the Croat nor the Serbian government, nor the SWC want to solve the mystery of these diverse victimhoods. No party is keen to give official green light for ploughing the ground in and around the “Croat Auschwitz” Jasenovac and uncover the truth. Instead, the site of the Jasenovac camp in Croatia continues to function, just like it did in Communist Yugoslavia, as the largest “memorial antifascist center” in this part of Europe.

During the lifetime of communist Yugoslavia, the tally of Serb-Jewish-Communist-Gypsy casualties at Jasenovac in the official Yugoslav narrative (mandated in the public schools) ranged from 300,000 to 1.5 million dead. With the proclamation of independence of the new Croatia in 1991, the Croatian government, headed then by Franjo Tudjman, who was himself a revisionist historian, that number sank abruptly to 45, with the implication that far fewer internees were killed there.

The additional rebuke came from the Simon Wiesenthal Center and its emissary Ephraim Zuroff, seconded by the admonitions of the ever present aging ex-Communist Croatian Jew Slavko Goldstein who protested the recent screening of the documentary Jasenovac — the Truth by the Croat director Jakov Sedlar. In this documentary Sedlar focuses mainly on crimes at the camp of Jasenovac allegedly perpetrated by the Yugoslav Communist authorities after the end of World War II. For allowing such an open “historians’ debate” to take place in public, the recently sworn-in center-right coalition government of Croatia has become the prime target of the mainstream media in the EU, the local antifa, and some journals in Israel — all calling for the dismissal of several Croat government officials.

Further fuel to the fire of the ongoing war of memories is being added by recent diplomatic “charges of fascism” by Serbia against the government of Croatia. The Serb authorities continue to use the tally of 500,000–700,000 Serbs, Jews, Communists, Gypsies allegedly killed by the Croat NS-allied regime at the Jasenovac camp between 1941–1945. Thus official Serbia resorts to the same memorial narrative which was once part and parcel of mandatory public education in communist Yugoslavia.

*“Croat Auschwitz” Jasenovac and uncover the truth. Instead, the site of the Jasenovac camp in Croatia continues to function, just like it did in Communist Yugoslavia, as the largest “memorial antifascist center” in this part of Europe.*

If these memorial disputes were restricted to ivory tower academics, there would be lots of laughter and plenty of material for interesting exchanges of ideas. But these disputes, in an oblique manner, are already having a haunting impact on security arrangements in Europe. Croatia, being a full-fledged NATO member, is also an important linchpin in its Southeastern defense flank. Serbia, by contrast, which is not a NATO member, has traditionally nourished warm historical, religious and cultural ties with Russia. Moreover, the current president of Croatia Kolinda Grabar-Kitarovic, prior to her inauguration last year, had been to a large extent groomed by NATO officials during her tenure in Brussels. Also worth recalling are century-long Croat sympathies for the people of Ukraine. Two years ago, pro-independence nationalists were among the first to dispatch a dozen volunteers to Ukraine to fight the Russian secessionists — creating for a while a serious diplomatic rift between Russia and Croatia. Serbian nationalists did the same — albeit by sending their volunteers to Russian secessionists in eastern Ukraine. Also indicative is the official visit to Croatia, on April 10, by Nicholas Dean, a special US State Department envoy in charge of civilian affairs in Croatia. In 1941 the date marked the beginning of the Croatian government’s proxy war against the Yugoslav communists, as the Croatian National Uprising was launched on April 10, 1941, the date when the first Croatian under German NS sponsorship had come into being. Although April10 is today publicly rebuked by all parties in Croatia, it is still widely celebrated in private by millions of Croats, both at home and abroad among expat Croats from San Francisco to Stuttgart, from Santiago de Chile all the way down to Sydney. It is now being rumored in Croatia that president Kolinda Kitarovic is being pressured by Israel and its proxy US emissaries to fire some Croat politicians for holding “fascist, revisionist and unacceptable” views.

What lessons can one draw from the Serb-Croat-Jewish war of conflicting historical memories? In view of the present racial and population replacement in Europe and the USA, following the Afro-Asian migratory tsunami, inter-European memory wars appear dated. The true art of politics consists in deciphering the real enemy and not getting sidetracked by picking on a surreal enemy with outdated tools. Instead of endless and unsubstantiated guesswork about some dark forces ruling over the planet Earth, White advocates in Europe and the USA should pose a very simple rhetorical question: Who benefits most from eternal inter-European memory wars? Who is the chief profiteer from senseless inter-White conflicts?

*http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2016/04/the-simon-wiesenthal-center-trump-card-in-the-serb-croat-war-of-memories/#more-35510*
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**Research Article**

**Jones v Toben**

**- Racial Discrimination on the Internet - October 2002**

In the first Australian court decision on race hate and the Internet, the Federal Court found that a website that denied the Holocaust and vilified Jewish people was unlawful under Australia’s Racial Discrimination Act 1975. Federal Court Justice Branson ordered Adelaide Institute director Fredrick Toben to remove offensive material from the World Wide Web, saying she was ‘satisfied that it is more probable than not that the material
would engender in Jewish Australians a sense of being treated contemptuously, disrespectfully and offensively’. She ordered Dr Toben to remove the document ‘About the Adelaide Institute’ and similar documents; and other material that cast doubt on the Holocaust, suggested homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz were unlikely, that Jewish people offended by and who challenge Holocaust denial are of limited intelligence and that some Jewish people, for improper purposes, including financial gain, exaggerated the number of Jews killed during World War II and the circumstances in which they were killed.

Dr Toben was not obtain legal representation, and did not actually defend his case; as such, the exceptions permitted under the RDA of academic discussions, public interest matters and fair personal comments were not argued. Nevertheless the case confirms that, at least for Australian sites, the Internet is subject to the same legal standards as other forms of communications such as print, TV and radio. This is the first Australian case where the Act has been applied to the Internet.

The claim
The recent case of Jones v Toben [1] in the Federal Court of Australia is a relatively straightforward case in terms of the application of statute law. It does however raise broader social issues connected with the publication of material, in particular opinions, on the Internet that need to be addressed.

The case involved a number of web sites constructed and maintained by the respondent, Frederick Toben, the director of the Adelaide Institute.[2] The web sites contained various opinions concerning the Holocaust and contained many references to Jews and events and people characterised as ‘Jewish’. The claim made was that the web sites were unlawful by virtue of section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act 1975:

(1) It is unlawful for a person to do an act, otherwise than in private, if:
(a) the act is reasonably likely, in all the circumstances, to offend, insult, humiliate, or intimidate another person or a group of people; and
(b) the act is done because of the race, colour or national or ethnic origin of the other person, or of some or all of the people in the group.

(2) For the purposes of subsection (1), an act is taken not to be done in private if it:
(a) causes words, sounds, images, or writing to be communicated to any person in a public place; or
(b) is done in a public place; or
(c) is done in the sight or hearing of people who are in a public place.

(3) In this section:
public place includes any place to which the public have access as of right or by invitation, whether express or implied and whether or not a charge is made for admission to the place.’

The Decision
Justice Branson swiftly found that the placing of material, whether text, graphics, audio or video, on a web site that is not password protected, is an act which causes words, sounds, images or writing to be communicated to the public under s 18C(2), in the sense that they are communicated to any person who utilises a web browser to gain access to that web site.[3] Hence, such an act for the purposes of the Racial Discrimination Act is not done in private. Justice Branson went further and found that the placement of materials on the web sites in fact caused repeated publications.

Having decided that a non-password protected web site on the World Wide Web constituted a public medium, the two remaining issues for Branson J to address in deciding the case were those presented by s 18C(1): firstly, whether the material published on the web sites, or any of it, was reasonably likely in all of the circumstances to offend, insult, humiliate, or intimidate a Jewish Australian or a group of Jewish Australians (s 18C(1)(a)); and secondly, whether the publication of the material in question was done because of the ethnic origin of Jewish Australians (s 18C(1)(b)).

In relation to the first part of the first issue - that is, that the above imputations be ‘reasonably likely in all of the circumstance to’ - Branson J followed a line of cases on s 18C stating that the test is an objective test. The question is not ‘how did the act affect the complainant’, rather it is ‘would the material - and the circumstances in which it was done - reasonably and in good faith offend, insult, humiliate or intimidate a person or a group of people of a particular racial, national or ethnic group’. [4] In relation to this test her Honour relied heavily on the case of Jones v Scully [5] which drew on judgments in the area of defamation to further expound the objective requirements. The court is required to look at the ordinary person’s view of the material - and the circumstances in which it was done - and consider whether this ordinary person would engage in loose thinking. Where a newspaper publishes words that are imprecise, ambiguous, loose, fanciful or unusual, there is thus a wide degree of latitude for the conveyance of particular imputations. Where this leaves the Internet is unclear. Although it seems likely that the Internet would be classified at the newspaper end of the spectrum and thus liable to result in a wide range of imputations, Branson J did not expressly decide as such. Instead, her Honour simply found that the material that was the subject of the case made the following imputations: [7]

1) that there is serious doubt that the Holocaust occurred;
2) that it is unlikely there were homicidal gas chambers in Auschwitz;
3) that Jewish people who are offended by and challenge the Holocaust denial are of limited intelligence; and
4) that some Jewish people for improper purposes, including financial gain, have exaggerated the number of Jews killed during World War II and the circumstances in which they were killed.

In terms of the second part of the first issue - the meaning of ‘offend, insult, humiliate, or intimidate’ - the dictionary meanings of these words were relied on. Justice Branson found that theses words meant more than mere slights. Her Honour concluded that the web sites would engender in Jewish Australians a sense of being treated contemptuously, disrespectfully and offensively. Hence, the publications were likely in all of the circumstances to offend and insult a group of people who utilised the Web, namely Australian Jewry. Her honour was particularly concerned about use of the Internet by Australian Jewish youth, who by reason of inexperience or psychological vulnerability are vulnerable to attacks on their pride and self-respect. Justice Branson noted that the World Wide Web is an important tool which many people, especially young people, use when searching for information. She considered it necessary to avoid the situation where the World Wide Web became a less useful tool by reason of insulting content on the World Wide Web.

In relation to the second issue, it was necessary for Branson J to determine if the act of placing the material on the web was done because of the ethnic origin of Jewish Australians. Her Honour decided that this was in fact the case simply because of the subject matter - it was about the Holocaust and contained many references to Jews and events and people characterised as Jewish.[8]

Exemptions
It should be noted that s 18D of the Racial Discrimination Act 1975 provides certain exemptions from the operation of s 18C:

Section 18C does not render unlawful anything said or done reasonably and in good faith:

(a) in the performance, exhibition or distribution of an artistic work; or
(b) in the course of any statement, publication, discussion or debate made or held for any genuine academic, artistic or
scientific purpose or any other genuine purpose in the public interest; or
(c) in making or publishing:
(i) a fair and accurate report of any event or matter of public interest; or
(ii) a fair comment on any event or matter of public interest if the comment is an expression of a genuine belief held by the person making the comment.

In relying on these exemptions, the onus of proof is on the respondent, who in this case did not establish any such good faith. As no exemption under s 18D was made out, Branson J found that the respondent committed an act that was unlawful under s 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act 1975.

**Broader Issues**

The case raises interesting questions about the role of the Internet as a resource in providing modern society with useful and appropriate information and opinion. There are a number of issues raised with respect to use of the Internet to publish information or opinion, in this case about the holocaust, which do not arise in the case of other forms of media.

Firstly, it raises the issue of content regulation. In traditional forms of mass media, such as television, radio and the print media, there are licensing controls that may be called upon to control and restrict the type of content and opinion disseminated. The Internet is not subject to any such licensing scheme and hence it is difficult to control the type of content that appears on the Internet. The problem is exacerbated by the international nature of the Internet. Not only does the Internet transcend national boundaries, but its international nature ensures that it is extremely difficult, if not impossible, to police every web site in existence. In short, any person with the relevant technical skill can produce a web site expressing whatever opinions they have without a license. The unaccountable nature of the power to mass disseminate opinions is an issue that needs to be considered beyond the traditional legal areas of defamation and censorship.

Secondly, the case raises issues of freedom of speech. While the respondent did not make any submissions for the summary judgment, there were other occasions before the final decision, on which the respondent expressed the view that freedom of speech and academic debate would be stifled by any decision to limit his web sites. In some jurisdictions, notably the United States, there is an express right to free speech. In Australia, while there is a constitutionally guaranteed implied right to freedom of political communication it is unlikely that this would extend to the type of material targeted by s 18C above. Rather, the implied right emanates from the constitutionally entrenched system of representative government. However, the judgment of Justice Kirby in Australian Broadcasting Corporation v Lenah Game Meats Pty Ltd [9] must be considered in this regard as it seems to extend the scope of the implied freedom of political communication.

The requirement of free political communication is derived from the system of representative government created by the Constitution. It is suggested that this implied freedom is derived directly from the express terms of the Constitution, particularly ss 7 and 24 which provide respectively that the Senate and the House of Representatives shall be directly chosen by the people.[10]

In Australian Broadcasting Corporation v Lenah Game Meats Pty Ltd, Kirby J further expounded the position arrived at in the High Court case of Lange v Australian Broadcasting Corporation.[11] The case involved secret filming inside a possum abattoir. The owner of the abattoir sought an injunction to stop the film being aired. Justice Kirby found that the full bench of the Supreme Court of Tasmania should have taken into account the implied right to freedom of political communication in two separate ways: firstly on determining the constitutionality of the Tasmanian legislation; and secondly in the court's exercise of discretion in issuing an interlocutory injunction. It is the second of these that is of interest.

Justice Kirby elucidated the principle that the implied guarantee of freedom of communication only extends to matters concerning political discussion in relation to all areas of government. The Tasmanian legislation in question conferred on the Tasmanian Supreme Court the power to grant interlocutory injunctions that uphold the availability of relief to restrain the use of information obtained improperly where it would be unconscionable. Kirby J stated that such a law was not incompatible with the Constitution, in that the power is consistent with representative democracy, and in fact a feature of that democracy.

In relation to the discretion whether or not to grant an interlocutory injunction, Justice Kirby stated that the implied right to freedom of communication should be considered, and balanced against competing considerations. Because the power is exercised by the Australian Judicature, such exercise must conform to the constitutional setting in which the court functions. In relation to the present case, Kirby J stated that concerns of a governmental and political character must not be narrowly defined. In the Australian democracy, concerns about animal welfare are clearly legitimate matters of public debate across the nation. Indeed, many advances in animal welfare have occurred because of public debate and political pressure from special interest groups. The importance of this consideration must be balanced against the personal denigration, humiliation and invasion of privacy of a particular individual. Kirby J found that the Supreme Court had miscarried in exercising its discretion to grant the injunction.

In relation to the implied right generally, Kirby stated that it must be taken into account in formulating the common law, rules of equity and judicial practice. The relevance of this point to the facts of Jones v Toben is moot. It may be that, given a different set of facts, there are possible grounds to argue for consideration of free speech in exercising judicial discretion with respect to the making of orders.

---


---

Man Enters Little Girls Showers, Strips Nude, Claims He's a Women.

We Told You So!

On February 8, 2016 at Evans Pool in Seattle, Washington, a man wearing board shorts entered the women's locker room and took all his clothes off. When females alerted staff they asked the man to leave.

"The law has changed and I have a right to be here," the man told them, citing the transgender rule.

Fearing getting sued for "discrimination", the Trojan Horse hooked the gaystapo lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, pedophile,
necrophile, coprophile and zoophile community uses to attack your basic human and civil rights, the Parks and Recreation city staffers declined to call police.

The gaystapo schemed rule applies to schools, businesses and parks. The state ruling enacted by the (Homosexual) Rights Commission of Washington was met with criticism by some in the state who thought the rule went too far. But efforts to repeal the rule halted in January when a judge ruled that the bill to reject transgender bathroom access would not get a hearing. As far as policy to protect everyone, Seattle Parks spokesman David Takami says they're still working on the issue. Right now, there's no specific protocol for how someone should demonstrate their gender in order to access a bathroom.

Employees just rely on verbal identification or physical appearance, and this man offered neither.

“This didn’t seem like a transgender issue to staff – someone who was “identifying” as a woman,” Takami said in a statement. “We have guidelines that allow transgender individuals to use restrooms and locker rooms consistent with their gender identity. We want everyone to feel comfortable in our facilities. Except for the gaystapo does not want women and little girls to feel comfortable, they only want tranny sex pervert mentally ill freaks to feel enabled and comfortable as they attack the privacy rights of women and girls.

Hours before the man used gaystapo laws too naked in the locker on front of little girls, protesters on both sides of the bathroom safety bill gathered at the steps of the Washington state capitol in Olympia.

Supporters of the law allowing women and girls privacy to be denied said that predators would still be prosecuted if they took advantage of the rule, while opponents cited sexual assault as a reason the ruling was dangerous for women in particular. That's the point, ignorant libtards, all one has to say is they "feel" like the opposite sex.

“We're not here saying that the transgendered community are predators,” a woman who was a victim of sexual assault told KING-5 TV, “We will never say that because we don't believe that. What we do believe is that this code is so poorly written that predators will abuse. We know it because we have lived it.” And who lobbied for the law, imbecile? Homosexual Super Predators!

http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=5ce_1461328063#tqZQzXpLuRYUidM.99
In May 2014, a New York City cab driver caused quite a local media firestorm after some self-righteous "anti-Nazis" ratted him out for wearing a Swastika armband. The New York tabloids sparked a feeding frenzy which spilled over to TV News, and culminated with the Anti-Defamation League demanding that the driver be punished. He was indeed suspended for 30 days.

What made the story even more unusual was that the "Nazi", Gabriel Diaz, was a Black Hispanic. When confronted by an ignorant reporter at his home, Diaz proudly stood his ground and stunned millions of TV viewers in the NY-NJ-CT area. Here are a few excerpts from the exchange:

**CBS Reporter:** You know what that (swastika) means, right?
**Diaz:** I know what it means. The media portrays it as a symbol of hate, but it's not to us, not to us.

**CBS Reporter:** Who is "us"?
**Diaz:** The believers of the ideology. National Socialists. We don't call it "Nazi". That's what you guys call it.

The tone of the news coverage was more comical than it was indignant. How funny that a Black Hispanic like Diaz should be a Hitler fan. Crazy fool! ... Or is he? Although it is certainly true that Hitler extolled the greatness of his German people and the Aryan (Nordic) race in general, his love for his people never took the form of hatred for other races nor a desire to rule over them. To the contrary, Hitler came to believe that Europeans had done harm to other races and should refrain from dominating them!

**Select quotes from the Hitler-Bormann documents (1945):**

"In them (Asians), the White races have imposed their will by force, and the influence they have had on the native inhabitants has been negligible; the Hindus have remained Hindus, the Chinese have remained Chinese, and the Muslims are still Muslims. There have been no profound transformations, and such changes as have occurred are less marked in the religious field, notwithstanding the tremendous efforts of the Christian missionaries, than in any other. There have been a few odd conversions the sincerity of which are open to considerable doubt—except, perhaps in the case of a few simpletons and mentally deficient. The White races did, of course, give some things to the natives, and they were the worst gifts that they could possibly have made, those plagues of our own modern world-materialism, fanaticism, alcoholism and syphilis.
For the rest, since these peoples possessed qualities of their own which were superior to anything we could offer them, they have remained essentially unchanged."

Colonization is not an activity which Germans feel called upon to pursue, Germany should never make common cause with the colonizing nations and should always abstain from supporting them in their colonial aspirations.

"Pride in one’s own race - and that does not imply contempt for other races - is also a normal and healthy sentiment. I have never regarded the Chinese or the Japanese as being inferior to ourselves. They belong to ancient civilizations, and I admit freely that their past history is superior to our own. They have the right to be proud of their past, just as we have the right to be proud of the civilization to which we belong. Indeed, I believe the more steadfast the Chinese and the Japanese remain in their pride of race, the easier I shall find it to get on with them."

"I am sure that the Japanese, the Chinese and the peoples of Islam will always be closer to us than, for example, France, in spite of the fact that we are related by blood."

"To tell the truth, I feel much more sympathetically inclined to the lowliest Hindu than to any of these arrogant islanders (Britain)."

Leon Degrelle, Belgian SS General and confidante of Hitler, also supports the claims of Gabriel Diaz:

"German-racialism has been deliberately distorted. It never was anti-"other race" racialism. It was a pro-German racialism. It was concerned with making the German race strong and healthy in every way. Hitler was not interested in having millions of degenerates, if it was his power not to have them. Today one finds rampant alcohol and drug addiction everywhere. Hitler cared that the German families be healthy, cared that they raise healthy children for the renewal of a healthy nation. German racialism meant re-discovering the creative values of their own race, re-discovering their culture. It was a search for excellence, a noble ideal. National Socialist racialism was not against the other races, it was for its own race. It aimed at defending and improving its race, and wished that all other races did the same for themselves."

Bormann (with Hitler in Image 1) and Degrelle (with Hitler in Image 3), both knew Hitler very well. Their works confirm that Hitler was NOT a racial bigot and respected all humanity. The same cannot be said for the imperialist British & French.

Further testimony in support of the racial tolerance of Hitler comes to us from the Black American hero of the 1936 Olympic Games in Berlin, Jesse Owens. In refuting the big lie that Hitler "snubbed" him by storming out of the stadium after Owens' victories, Owens took the propaganda press to the woodshed:

"Hitler had a certain time to come to the stadium and a certain time to leave. It happened he had to leave before the victory ceremony after the 100 meters. But before he left I was on my way to a broadcast and passed near his box. He waved at me and I waved back. I think it was bad taste to criticize the 'man of the hour' in another country."

Many years later, Owens wrote: "Hitler didn't snub me – it was our president (FDR) who snubbed me."

Indeed, the German crowds went wild for Owens, and the 1938 German film commemorating the Olympics (Olympia) featured Owens accomplishment. Finally, in 2009, an aging German sportswriter named Siegfried Mischner came clean and revealed that Hitler not only had his picture taken with Owens, but that Owens carried the cherished photo around in his wallet and wanted the press to publish it. From the UK Daily Mail (August, 2009 / (here):

"Mischner claimed Owens showed him the photograph and told him: ‘That was one of my most beautiful moments.’"
Mischner said: 'It was taken behind the honor stand and so not captured by the world's press. But I saw it, I saw him shaking Hitler's hand.'

'The predominating opinion in post-war Germany was that Hitler had ignored Owens. We therefore decided not to report on the photo. The consensus was that Hitler had to continue to be painted in a bad light in relation to Owens.'

Mischner, who went on to write a book about the 1936 Olympics, said other journalists were with him on the day that Owens produced the photo and they too did not report on it."

Above, and below: Long-Jump Gold-medalist Owens and German Silver-medalist Luz Long became good friends and pen pals. Their exchange of letters ended in 1943 after Long was killed in the North African theater of World War II.

1936

Owens honorably carried out Luz Long's wish to maintain friendship with his family if he were to perish in the war, and he was indeed killed in 1943. In the image above, a much older Owens and Long's grown-up son re-enact the photo from 1936.

***

The most compelling evidence of all that Hitler's Germany was not a "White Supremacist" bigoted nation is the multi-racial foreign composition of the German Waffen SS, in German uniforms. Have a look:

*Artistic Rendition: According to witnesses, Owens carried a photo of he and Hitler shaking hands. Owens evidently knew that it would be best for him to keep the photo a secret.
Africans, Indians, Muslims all fought voluntarily and honorably in the Waffen SS under German command.

So you see, Gabriel Diaz was right. "National Socialism" truly is a liberating and natural ideal that is fit and healthy for all races. And Diaz is not the only non-White who has come to understand this.

Black St. Louis, MO pastor Ray Hagins pulls no punches when defending Hitler:

"How can the world have been so misled. .....Now I'm gonna drop something on you all that a lot of people ain't gonna like when they hear what I'm about to say. But there is a man who these people convinced us was a mad man. .....These people that I'm talkin' about told us that this man was a Satanic agent - he was a mass murderer. These illegitimate people called Jews convinced the world that a man named Adolf Hitler was a mad man. And we all grew up understanding that Hitler was a tyrant, that he was a mad man. But I'm gonna be honest with you. After doing some research on this, I found out that it was these liars, and deceivers that Adolf Hitler was trying to cleanse the world of."

Hitler adopted one of the oldest symbols of God-consciousness. It's called the swastika. We have to hate the swastika because we have been taught by these people (Jews)." video here

***

Black Hispanic and Black American Hitler fans also have some counterparts among Asians, particularly in Thailand where Hitler-Mania is totally in fashion these days. On April 20th, 2015 (Hitler's Birthday), Thai Royal Prince ML Rungguna Kitiyakara posted the following comments on his Facebook page:

"So why is Hitler considered to be evil? Because he found a way to free the people from being slaves to the Jews? Because he found a way to create a better world, free from Zionists?

As that is what he did. He was a People’s man. He was the Father of Germany. His people loved him, and he loved his people."
Heil Hitler... Happy Birthday to you!
Hitler was a true genius and a patriot. Everything in Germany became better because of him.

History is written by the victors, by the Allied forces. The Zionists and the Jewish bankers don’t want the truth to come out. They destroyed Hitler and Germany. **Hitler has been imputed as the bad guy for the so-called Holocaust, which actually did not occur.** It was propaganda to establish sympathy to expel and kill Palestinians from their homeland so the Jews would have their own state.”

The Big H has always had a huge fan base throughout the Arab and Muslim world as well:

German officials and Hitler meet with Mohammed Effendi Amin el-Husseini, Palestinian Arab nationalist and Muslim leader in British Palestine.
Malaysian politician congratulates Hitler after Germany’s 2014 World Cup (Soccer) victory over Brazil

Hitler makes appearance in a Thai School video aimed at instilling good morals.
Young Thais, including many intellectuals and government officials, understand that you don’t have to be White to appreciate the greatness of the Great One and his system.

The falsely-accused Michael Jackson (who usually wore Black & Red colors and an armband) was a closeted admirer of Adolf Hitler (here).

Perhaps the ultimate proof that Hitler was not the raving, ranting ‘racist’ bigot that he is portrayed as lies in the fact that 150,000 1/2 Jewish & 1/4 Jewish soldiers proudly fought for Germany in World War II. True story!

Hitler’s Jewish soldiers were not swayed by the propaganda of the West’s Marxist Jews.

Jewish Dr. Eduard Bloch had treated Hitler’s dying mother and, until his dying day, spoke highly of the then 18-year old Hitler. After coming to power 25 years later, Fuhrer Hitler still remembered the kindly physician and granted favors to him.
Adolf Hitler was great because Adolf Hitler was good. He was a man for all ages and his noble philosophy is for all races. Hitler’s legacy should serve to unite the diverse peoples of the world in the common cause of liberation from the murderous predatory gangsters who work ceaselessly to torture and enslave the peoples of this planet. His great name will be restored one day, but, like Jesus, only after many years of being dragged through the Marxist mud.

How deliciously ironic it is that so many non-Whites seem to be more enthused about Hitler these days than the dumbed-down Whites of the brainwashed West. Perhaps they will be the spark that serves to finally wake the dying West up to the cultural and physical genocide that is rapidly being prepared for the oblivious White Man.

Hitler’s 1942 prayer may not have been answered then, but to the Eternal Cosmic Intelligence, the answering of prayers has no time-table. If and when the whole world comes to understand what World War II was really all about; it will be “lights out” for the Satanic scum of The New World Order!

With that hope in mind, let’s us close as we began; with Hitler’s sincere prayer for ALL humanity—a prayer that is as relevant today as it was then:

“Lord God, give us the strength that we may retain our liberty for our children and our children’s children, not only for ourselves but also for the other peoples of Europe, for this is a war which we all wage, this time, not for our German people alone, it is a war for all of Europe and with it, in the long run, for all of mankind.”

Amen Chief. A-flippin-men!

http://tomatobubble.com/hitler_champion.html

It’s becoming increasingly evident that the mainstream media extravaganza centering around Saudi Arabia’s minuscule involvement in the 9/11 terror attacks is yet another scheme to control the discourse and deflect attention off the designer, planner and executor of the most terrible false flag EVER: ‘Israel’.

While Al-Saud most certainly had a hand in the events in New York and Washington D.C. on that world-changing day in September 2001 and should indeed burn for it, the fact that you have AIPAC shill Wolf Blitzer on CNN and the neocon nutjobs on Chabadmik Rupert Murdoch’s Fox News, among others, damn near cackling with glee over the Wahhabi tyrants being considered the prime suspects for this crime tells you that there is something tremendously off regarding this entire debacle. And make no mistake, something is VERY wrong about all of it. This is merely an extension of the last time the Western-Zionist press went loony and placed the blame on someone other than the Zionist entity: the Islamic Republic of Iran. Only in that instance, the evidence was nonexistent and was unleashed strictly as an Iranophobic PSYOP. In 2011, a US district court in the Southern District of New York headed by one Judge George B. Daniels ruled on an affidavit filed by an alleged 9/11 widow named Fiona Havlish that Iran and Hizbullah were the primary parties guilty of the 9/11 attacks. Just last month, Daniels ruled that Iran needs to pay this Havlish deceiver and others mentioned in the affidavit $10.5 billion for damages.

In this utterly ridiculous, completely cartoonish document, Judge Daniels came to the conclusion that Ayatollah Sayyed Ali Khamenei, Akbar Hashemi Rafsanjani, several commanders of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps and members of Hizbullah, including Hajj Imad Mughniyeh (R.A.), gave material, logistical and financial support to Al-Qaeda for the purpose of
ANZAC DAY 25 April 1915
This World War One celebration, 4 August 1914 – 11 November 1918, hides the agony experienced by those who were conscripted to defend the imperial powers: Britain, France, Russia-later USA v Germany, Austria-Hungary, Turkey.

The Vietnam War, 1 November 1955–30 April 1975, changed the focus somewhat on perpetual war for perpetual peace but humour has it that the US president will next declare war on Sunshine!
“Fighting children” - remember what the Israelis are doing to Palestinian Children?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Urtiyp-G6jY
Now – the story keeps on changing...by attempting to OWN the newly developing SILK ROAD trade and to claim an historical Jewish ownership – from G’d! Einstein, where are you? A new research tool – “GPS” - Geographic Population Structure = the best of Talmudic Spitzfindigkeit, and don’t worry about factual truthfulness; there is no truth only another opinion, perhaps mistaken at that!

Yiddish may be a TURKISH dialect:

DNA study suggests it was invented by Jews as they traded on the Silk Road

*Yiddish was thought to have originally been an old German dialect
*A new genetic study, however, has pinpointed origin of Yiddish speakers
*Suggests it was invented by Iranian and Ashkenazic Jews on the Silk Road

By SARAH GRiffITHS FOR MAILONLINE

PUBLISHED: 09:02 EST, 20 April 2016 | UPDATED: 09:16 EST, 20 April 2016

It may have been spoken for 1,000 years, but the origins of Yiddish – the language of Ashkenazic Jews – has been a bone of contention between linguists for years.

Now researchers say the DNA of Yiddish speakers may have originated from four ancient villages in north-eastern Turkey.

And they believe the Yiddish language was invented by Iranian and Ashkenazic Jews as they traded on the Silk Road, challenging the popular idea it is an old German dialect.

Researchers say the DNA of Yiddish speakers may have originated from four ancient villages in north-eastern Turkey. This stock image shows a Yiddish man in Jerusalem

Scientists at the Universities of Sheffield and Tel Aviv used a tool dubbed the Geographic Population Structure (GPS) to convert DNA data into ancestral coordinates. This enabled them to identify the ancient villages - Iskenaz, Eskenaz, Ashanaz, and Ashkuz – close to the crossroads of the Silk Roads, which were a historically important international trade route between China and the Mediterranean. They believe the villages names derive from the word 'Ashkenaz' and may have existed as long as 1,500 years ago.

Dr Eran Elhaik from Sheffield University's Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, said: 'Language, geography and genetics are all connected.

'Using the GPS tool to analyse the DNA of sole Yiddish and non-Yiddish speakers, we were able to predict the possible ancestral location where Yiddish originated over 1,000 years ago – a question which linguists have debated over for many years.'

'North east Turkey is the only place in the world where these place names exist - which strongly implies that Yiddish was established around the first millennium at a time when Jewish traders who were plying the Silk Road moved goods from Asia to Europe wanted to keep their monopoly on trade.

'They did this by inventing Yiddish – a secret language that very few can speak or understand other than Jews. 'Our findings are in agreement with an alternative theory that suggests Yiddish has Iranian, Turkish, and Slavic origins and explains why Yiddish contains 251 words for the terms "buy" and "sell".

'This is what we can expect from a language of experienced merchants.'

Yiddish, which incorporates German, Slavic and Hebrew, and is written in an alphabet based on Hebrew characters. This US World War One poster is written in Yiddish and begins 'Food will win the war'

However, an alternative theory proposed by Professor Paul Wexler from the University of Tel Aviv, who is also an author on the study, suggests that Yiddish is a Slavic language that used to have both Slavic grammar and words but in time shed its Slavic lexicon and replaced it with common and unfamiliar German words.

The findings of the study, published in the journal Genome Biology and Evolution, led researchers to believe that towards the end of the first millennium, Ashkenazic Jews may have relocated to Khazaria before moving into
Europe half a millennium later after the fall of the Khazarian Empire.

This was a time when the international trading networks collapsed.

Yiddish is the language of the Ashkenazia Jews (and their descendants) of Central and Eastern Europe. It literally means Jewish, according to JewFaq. The language is a hybrid of Hebrew, and other languages where the Ashkenazic Jews have lived, including Germany.

It has an unmusical grammatical structure of its own and is written in an alphabet based on Hebrew characters. Just one century ago it was understood by 11 million of the world's 18 million Jews, many of who spoke it as their first language. Now, far fewer people use it.

As Yiddish became the primary language of Ashkenazic Jews, the language began to acquire new words from other cultures while retaining its Slavic grammar. Dr Elhaik said: "Yiddish is such a wonderful and complex language, which was inappropriately called 'bad German' by both its native and non-native speakers because the language consists of made-up German words and a non-German grammar."

"Yiddish is truly a combination of familiar and adapted German words using Slavic grammar. ‘In a sense the language uses the same premise as Yoda from the Star Wars movies." For example, Yoda's language consists of common and made-up English words like 'Wookie' or 'Jedi' but the grammar is different – the words are used in a different order to what we are familiar with."

He added: 'Utilising the GPS ancestry technology and applying it to the DNA of sole Yiddish speakers and non-Yiddish speakers allowed us to find the ancestral origins of their DNA. 'The GPS tool indicates where ancient Ashkenaz could have existed over 1,500 years ago.' Professor Wexler, added: 'The linguistic data used are from Yiddish, which we assume was invented in Western Asia as a Slavic language with a largely German-like lexicon and a significant Iranian component on all levels of the language. 'The genetic data presented here appear to corroborate the linguistic hypothesis.'

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article3548149/Yiddish-TURKISH-dialect-DNA-study-suggests-invented-Jews-traded-Silk-Road.html

She-kespeare?
Shakespeare was a Jewish woman, spoke Hebrew, book claims
Amelia Bassano, a crypto-Jew of Italian descent born in 1569, is real author of famous plays, says expert

A Venetian Jewish woman who lived in London and spoke Hebrew is the actual author behind William Shakespeare, a leading expert claims in a book newly released in paperback.

Exactly 400 years since his death, the English playwright’s mysterious identity still stirs its fair share of rumors, including ones that he was Jewish, and the newest theory is relatively elaborate

**Shakespeare’s Dark Lady**

Shakespeare’s Dark Lady by John Hudson claims Amelia Bassano, a Marrano born in 1569 to a family of Venetian Jews who were court musicians to Queen Elizabeth I, wrote the works attributed to Shakespeare, on Sunday.

According to a Daily Mail review Bassano has been known as the first woman to have published a book of poetry in 1611 (Salve Deus Rex Judeaorum), and is said to be a solid candidate for the “dark lady” referred to in Shakespeare’s famous sonnets. Hudson’s theory that Bassano is, in fact, the real author rests in large part on her life circumstances: She was a mistress to Lord Chamberlain, a man in charge of English theater and patron of the company that staged the famous plays, which put her in a prime position to have written them; she had knowledge of Italy — where some of the plays are based — whereas Shakespeare was believed to have never ventured outside England all his life.

Hudson also believes that as a crypto-Jew, Bassano’s Hebrew skills and knowledge of Jewish texts were evident in the plays and that she left “clues” as to her identity. (There is an Emilia in Othello and a Bassanio in The Merchant of Venice.)

“Amelia’s strategy was to leave behind a preposterous case for William Shakespeare, which has now fallen away, revealing the true creator who is now at last visible,” Hudson told Haaretz in 2008. His book was originally published in 2014.

“It is a stratagem she used to get her work published, as many other women have done, by having their work published under a man’s name. In Elizabethan London, women could not write original literature at all, let alone plays, so this was her only option,” he said.

“All the world is a stage, and this was especially true at the Elizabethan court, where courtiers were constantly creating and performing meta-theatrical dramas to persuade the queen about various issues. This is where the author learned his or her highly developed sense of theater, and as a Marrano passing in a Christian society, she had to act every moment of her life, ” he added.


Shakespeare’s most famous lines translated into everyday English - By Luke Royes


***
It goes without saying how different our world would have been had Germany won WWII. It’s both an exercise of hopeful WHAT IFs and then the final desperate reality of, WHAT WAS AND IS. Perhaps Adolf Hitler was a man ahead of his time, who could have provided what the entire world needed at the time - and maybe something the world didn’t deserve then ... or now.

A SMALL (Happy Birthday) TRIBUTE ON BEHALF OF THE FUEHRER

- Alles Gute zum Geburtstag! April 20, 1889

THE HITLER SPEECH THEY DON’T WANT YOU TO HEAR:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G57GKUtWzNs

HITLER’S SPEECH WITH SUBTITLES:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EV9kyocqKo&ebc=ANyPxKt6nodROT7bZyBgsY8ZpOYvfs3g7mh0JiigSh4HdqgFa_G06qge_JmL6YFNggOlKpT9a-cavSPypPb8U_kvSaRWA-Dpw
HORST WESSEL LIED - WEHRMACHT MARCHING TO NATIONAL SOCIALIST PARTY SONG:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MD6oDnm43HA
PREUSSIANS GLORIA MARSCH (WEHRMACHT): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eNJWEFPYu8E
DER KOENIGGRATZER MARSCH: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EDvY5gY0x-k
WHAT IF GERMANY HAD WON WWII: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lp11IDC1G9Q
Panzerlied: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ALC3Hmp3QJc
and https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8JDKdc246QQ