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New scandal hits extradition row Baroness
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Baroness Scotland behaving with typical decorum.

***

Today’s Mail on Sunday reveals a complex scandal concerning Baroness Scotland – now Secretary General of the Commonwealth – and her cozy relationship with a wealthy public relations fixer who faces being stripped of his knighthood.

H&D readers will remember Baroness Scotland as the Attorney General in Gordon Brown’s government from 2007 to 2010, who disgraced her office by colluding with the German government in its efforts to extradite Australian academic Dr Fredrick Töben.

These efforts were ultimately blocked by a British court in October 2008, allowing Dr Töben to return to Australia, but only after British nationalists and other supporters of academic freedom had to raise an astonishing £100,000 as cash security for Dr Töben’s bail pending his appeal. See report on the case here, and the eventual victory here.

Dr Fredrick Töben and Lady Michèle Renouf as the Australian academic prepared to leave London in November 2008, following the invalidation of a European Arrest Warrant.

The German government’s attempt to prosecute Dr Töben were only possible because Britain had signed up to the European Arrest Warrant system, which allowed government’s to apply for extradition from fellow European countries without having to go through the old procedures of a full extradition hearing into the facts of the case.

Most importantly the new system scrapped the principle of “dual criminality”, which ensured that one could only be extradited from the UK if accused of something that would have been a crime if committed here.

Dr Töben’s “crime” would certainly not have been illegal here: he was wanted in Germany under that country’s notoriously oppressive laws restricting what historians, scientists or indeed anyone else can say about certain historical topics.

The Baroness survived a further scandal in 2009

Specifically Dr Töben had disputed the orthodox historical account of the supposed homicidal gas chambers which are alleged to have killed several million Jews during the Second World War, supposedly on the orders of Adolf Hitler. No one has been able to find any document from Adolf Hitler ordering such a mass murder, nor has anyone answered the famous challenge of Prof. Robert Faurisson (a French expert on documentary analysis) who asked: “show me or draw me a Nazi gas chamber”.

Nevertheless Dr Töben would assuredly have faced several years in a German prison cell had he been extradited under a European Arrest Warrant – for something that is not a crime in our country!

Baroness Scotland (as Commonwealth Secretary General) meeting the Queen at a Guildhall banquet in March 2016

Earlier in her career the same Baroness Scotland – who as Attorney General was responsible for colluding with her German counterparts in the attempted judicial kidnapping of Dr Töben – had been the Home Office minister responsible for piloting the new EAW system through the House of Lords.

She specifically assured Parliament in 2003 that revisionist historians such as Dr. Töben would not be subject to extradition under European Arrest Warrants for publishing their views on the internet. Yet five years later she allowed her senior officials to proceed with exactly the type of extradition which she had promised Parliament could never take place.

Had there been any sense of honour in politics, Baroness Scotland would have resigned as Attorney General following the Töben case in 2008, yet she remained until Labour lost office in 2010 and has since been promoted (under a Tory government!) to the position of Commonwealth Secretary-General. God knows what our Commonwealth partners in Australia, New Zealand and Canada are supposed to make of this latest demeaning of high office.

In 2009 she survived public outrage and remained Attorney General even after having been found to employ an illegal immigrant as her housekeeper. Baroness Scotland was fined £5,000 for this offence but
kept her job: though to his credit her parliamentary private secretary (Labour MP Stephen Hesford) resigned in protest, saying she should have quit or been sacked. The latest scandal exposed today by The Mail on Sunday might just halt the Baroness’s meteoric rise, but as all nationalists know – “Treason doth never prosper: what’s the reason? Why, if it prosper, none dare call it treason.” We are not surprised to see that the Baroness’s disgraced associate “Sir” Anthony Bailey is one of the leading campaigners for British membership of the European Union, and was a big donor to David Miliband’s failed campaign for Labour leader.

***

Arresting Opinions - excerpts - part 1

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QMAbXQln8pk

[Note: in November 2008 Fredrick Toben holds his hands as does Angela Merkel, which for him is without any significance. Those who do not wish to speak with their hands and feet flaying about during a conversation, use such a gesture to steady their sometime involuntary gesticulations.

By 2013 it was "probably one of the most recognisable hand gestures in the world".[](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Merkel-Raute)

Arresting Opinions - excerpts - part 2

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zP_cZRdQ6rg

***

Likewise Toben’s greeting as he emerged from his plane at Adelaide Airport is an open hand greeting, which some would deem to be offensively the Hitler salute – but it can also be the Roman Salute or the Freemason’s Salute – ed. AI]

Queen’s envoy ’Baroness Brazen’ is entangled in honours scandal: Title given to Commonwealth chief’s crony is ’reviewed’ after she is accused of abusing the system

*MoS investigation revealed Baroness Scotland is entangled in honours row
*'Dear friends’ with Anthony Bailey who is abusing his Antiguan knighthood
*Bailey received knighthood after a £1.1m investment in school programmes
*But despite it being granted by Antigua, he insists on being called Sir in UK
*The Palace ordered him to stop giving impression of being a British knight
*MP said Baroness must ’take responsibility for any role she had’ in the row

By NED DONOVAN and IAN GALLAGHER FOR THE MAIL ON SUNDAY

PUBLISHED: 07:57 EST, 29 May 2016 | UPDATED: 17:14 EST, 29 May 2016

The new political head of the Commonwealth, Baroness Scotland, has become entangled in an extraordinary row over abuse of the honours system.

A Mail on Sunday investigation has discovered that the former Labour Cabinet Minister was involved in Anthony Bailey obtaining an honour from Antigua, which he is now abusing to masquerade as a knight in the UK.

Baroness Scotland and Bailey, who has described her as a ‘dear friend’, collaborated on the charitable work that earned him the honour, an Antiguan knighthood.

But he has insisted on being called ‘Sir’ in the UK, flouting convention and angering Buckingham Palace, which has ordered him to stop giving the impression of being a British knight.

Prince Charles and David Cameron are among those who have been effectively duped into wrongly referring to him as ‘Sir Anthony’.

Following The Mail on Sunday’s investigation, he now faces the ignominious prospect of having his knighthood withdrawn by Antigua.

Two of his associates, who received their knighthoods at the same time, have had their honours revoked pending an investigation.

Last night Baroness Scotland faced questions about her role in the affair. Bailey received the honour following a £1.1 million investment in schools and church programmes from a charity of which both he and the Baroness were senior members.

But she denied being ‘party to the granting of a knighthood to Anthony Bailey or anyone else, and has no opinion on how private individuals comport themselves’.

Honour: Baroness Scotland is received by Queen Elizabeth at Buckingham Palace on her appointment as Commonwealth Secretary-General. She had become entangled in an honours row over Anthony Bailey

Tony MP Stuart Andrew said Baroness Scotland ‘appears to have been particularly brazen in her actions’ and said the peer’s involvement placed a question mark against her judgment.

AI]
Baroness Scotland needs to take responsibility for any role she had in this business,’ he said.

‘If Anthony Bailey is continuing to use this title in the UK it’s disrespectful in the extreme to people who have knighthoods who absolutely deserve them. It makes a mockery of the honours system.’

Upset: Bailey received the honour following a £1.1 million investment in schools and church programmes from a charity of which both he and the Baroness (pictured with the Queen) were senior members.

This business has caused considerable anger at the Palace and Foreign Office. This man is passing himself off as a knight in Britain and won’t listen to advice to stop.

Government Minister

But Bailey – who has strong links to foreign governments, as well as the Saudi royal family and the Vatican – has ignored all warnings about the misuse of his award. In response he launched an astonishing attack on the Foreign Office and Royal Household, accusing them of conducting a ‘dirty tricks’ campaign.

Tory Andrew Rosindell has also become embroiled in the saga, as the Romford MP asked no fewer than seven Commons questions about Commonwealth honours in just over a month, at the height of Bailey’s dispute with the Royal Household. He said last night: ‘While I have received representations from an array of individuals, including Anthony Bailey, regarding the issue of the recognition of knighthoods, I would like to stress that my only interest in this matter is over the principles involved and is not personalised to an individual in any way.’

Baroness Scotland previously hit headlines in 2009, when she was Attorney General. It was then revealed that she employed an illegal immigrant from Tonga on a paltry wage of £6 an hour. She was prosecuted for breaking immigration laws that she had helped draft, and fined £5,000.

She took up her position of Commonwealth Secretary-General, a position which comes with an £160,000 salary and a grace-and-favour Mayfair home, last month.

Row: Anthony Bailey obtained an honour from Antigua, which he is now abusing to masquerade as a knight in the UK. Pictured, Bailey receiving the knighthood at Government House in Antigua in November 2014

The peer previously had Antigua’s support to take the job, but it was withdrawn following a change of government on the island. Bailey and his friends were knighted in November 2014 by Antigua’s Governor General Sir Rodney Williams – who is married to Baroness Scotland’s cousin.

Sources close to Bailey said last night that he is entitled to use the title ‘Sir’ which features on his passport, and said the Foreign Office had never tried to stop him. A question on this topic was one of those asked by MP Mr Rosindell.

But last night the Foreign Office insisted that Bailey was not entitled to use the title ‘Sir’ in the UK. A spokesman said: ‘The convention that British recipients of knighthoods from other countries cannot use the title “Sir” in the UK dates back to 1813. There has been no change to the convention since then and it applies to everyone.’

Baroness Scotland declined to say last night whether she was aware Bailey was intending to use the title in the UK, or if she thought it appropriate. A spokesman added that she did not petition for his honour, saying: ‘No argument was made on behalf or Anthony Bailey, nor was she involved in the process in any other way.’

Antigua’s Prime Minister, Gaston Browne, confirmed that the knighthood awarded to Bailey – who once raised funds for Tony Blair and Gordon Brown – is now under ‘review’.

He said that when his party came to power in June 2014, one of its first acts was to switch its support for the Commonwealth Secretary-General job from Baroness Scotland to Sir Ronald Sanders, a senior Antiguan diplomat.

Two of Bailey’s wealthy friends who were knighted with him in Antigua have already had their honours revoked pending an investigation.
All three knighthoods had been arranged prior to Browne’s election. ‘By the time we came to office, this was a fait accompli and we honoured the arrangements without any knowledge of the prior discussions,’ the Antiguan Prime Minister said.

One of the other men is Nadmi Auchi, who was convicted of fraud and bribery over the Elf Aquitaine corruption scandal in 2003. The other is Bulgarian businessman Spas Roussev. Mr Roussev’s spokesman said he was ‘approached and offered an Antiguan honour in exchange for supporting local charitable projects’. However, Mr Roussev had ‘questions about the arrangement from the start’.

The spokesman added: ‘It became clear that all was not well, the process involved was irregular and not as originally described. Mr Roussev therefore withheld all payments and asked to return the honour, but this was refused. He has been pursued for payment ever since.’

All three men who were knighted were, along with Baroness Scotland, part of a delegation from an ancient Catholic order, The Sacred Military Constantinian Order of Saint George, which was revived ten years ago by Bailey, who also styles himself ‘His Excellency’. The peer and Bailey flew to Antigua for his investiture as part of a delegation from the order.

On the organisation’s website, the Baroness takes personal responsibility for introducing the order to Antigua. After the 2014 trip to the island, she issued a statement saying she was ‘truly delighted’ with the visit which had only come after her ‘pleas’ to Bailey and the other leaders of the order.

Anger: Bailey is flouting convention and angering Buckingham Palace (pictured), which has ordered him to stop giving the impression of being a British knight

Royal: Two of Bailey’s wealthy friends who were knighted with him in Antigua have already had their honours revoked pending an investigation. Pictured, the royal family gathered for the Trooping the Colour parade.

Last Monday, the Order of St George and Bailey hosted a dinner in Draper’s Hall in London for the president of Hungary. Tory MP Oliver Letwin read out a letter from David Cameron, which referred to ‘Sir Anthony Bailey’.

A high-level government source told The Mail on Sunday that this title was added in by Bailey during the dinner, making it seem like the Prime Minister recognised the title, in contravention of the wishes of the Foreign Office. In the official registers the next day, the event is listed as being hosted by ‘Sir Anthony Bailey.’

Earlier this year, Bailey posted on his Facebook page an invitation from the Duchess of Cornwall to a charity event at St James’s Palace. Again, he was referred to as ‘Sir Anthony’. He lists no fewer than 35 honours and awards on his personal website – including the ‘First Class Grade of the Syrian Order of Outstanding Merit’ from President Bashar al-Assad’s regime.

In 2007, the 46-year-old married Austrian princess Marie-Therese von Hohenberg, the great-granddaughter of Archduke Franz Ferdinand, whose assassination led to the outbreak of the First World War. The couple have a six-year-old son. At the wedding, Bailey is said to have worn his full papal knight uniform, which he was awarded in 2009, complete with ceremonial sword.

Anthony Bailey (pictured in Notting Hill, London) lists no fewer than 35 honours and awards on his personal website

The social climber came from relatively modest beginnings. The son of an engineer, he attended a Catholic comprehensive school in Ickenham, Middlesex, before studying at University College London.

He went on to work for global PR firm Burson-Marsteller, before setting up his own companies advising states and private bodies including Saudi Arabia, Russia, Yemen and Bahrain, weapons manufacturer BAE Systems, and oil company Shell. He is reportedly able to charge clients £500 an hour.

‘I do the job of a diplomat with the usual embassy, government and royal access, but I charge commercial rates,’ he once told a journalist. The interviewer wrote: ‘Anthony Bailey’s connections make Peter Mandelson look like a Welsh hermit.’

Mr Bailey was a key fundraiser for Mr Blair and is credited with raising £8 million for his flagship city academies project. However, in 2005, Bailey’s £500,000 donation to the Labour Party was rejected over fears that he was acting on behalf of foreign businessmen. He subsequently received a letter of apology from Labour’s then chairman, Hazel Blears, saying it had never happened. A year later he had a £50,600 donation to the party accepted. In 2007 he made a £10,000 donation to Ms Blears and in 2010, he also made a £50,000 donation to David Miliband for his failed leadership campaign.

A spokesman for Baroness Scotland – who is no longer active in the Constantinian Order – said: ‘Patricia Scotland has been a member of the order for over a decade and had a long-standing arrangement from the start to do the job of a diplomat with the usual embassy, government and royal access, but I charge commercial rates.’

She was asked to join the visit of the order to the Caribbean to mark the start of their work there and was happy to do so. She did not identify nor select those who received the institutional honours. Patricia Scotland had not met, and did not know, Mr Auchi and Mr Roussev prior to attending the ceremony.

‘She did not make any introductions on their behalf nor has she ever advocated for them in any way. If their honours are now being questioned then due process should be followed. As Commonwealth Secretary-General, she has no say in how
It seems like every time I turn on what used to be the news I'm faced with some new nonsensical allegation against a person or institution that is obviously unfounded or just old misinformation being regurgitated even though it has already been debunked. Trumplestiltskin is definitely the epitome of this behavior. He spouts lies as easily as a baby cries when it is hungry. But others having been using this technique for a long time, and this is one thing that certainly does seem to trickle-down; using lies, fears, innuendo and misinformation to discredit others.

But what has happened to us? As a society why has lying become acceptable behavior? What is the point of telling a lie? Isn't it to get something you don't deserve? Whether it be praise or persuasion, the liar is really a thief, taking what he or she hasn't earned and likely could not attain if being totally honest.

And once a lie is out there, it festers and takes on a life of its own. The liar, unless exposed, continues to walk and talk, spewing their vocal vomit, and collects support by playing down to people's fears. And playing up to their prejudices. They don't care about the truth. They just want to feed into the frenzy.

How is that acceptable? To me this is about respect. When you truly respect yourself and others you tell the truth. We should insist on this from our elected officials as well as those who are campaigning for office, and obviously also from our friends and family. Why should a politician be able to tell lies with no consequences? And please, don't say you are just doing it so the person you are lying to "doesn't get hurt", you don't need to "protect" them with a lie. That is just theft by deception.

What we see on the macro level we then see mirrored on the micro. Seems that what goes around really does come around when it comes to lying. And when the lie feeds into your already preconceived notions, even if they are based on fallacies, well then everyone seems ready to jump on the bandwagon of bullshittery.

So for example, if someone made an accusation and that accusation was absolutely a lie but the person just keeps repeating it over and over, then there are some people who are going to start believing that lie. And so the liar becomes the thief. They steal respect. They steal kindness. They steal good intentions. And they steal relationships. It is very sad to see.

But people want to be a part of the "in" crowd more than they want the truth it seems. They crave so desperately to be relevant they will jump on any bandwagon. And those few who point out that the Emperor is naked are left wondering why anyone would prefer an imaginary golden cloak that leaves everything exposed. Because while the liar may appear to enjoy some fleeting popularity, the reality is that soon enough the liar will need even more attention and will therefore tell an even bigger lie to once again steal the spotlight and your attention.

The liar is just a fraud, he or she doesn't understand the hard work that goes into earning respect. They just take the easy, and lazy, way to steal what they cannot earn. They hide behind a fake mask and pretend to believe the nonsense they spout. Whether it be by inclusion or exclusion, design or deception, accepting the lie is almost worse to me than the pathetically pathological people who think they are "winning" by being despicable. Because at the end of the day lying is the lowest form of communication. It is the abdication of all self-respect and certainly the shining star of the chronically pathetic. So really, the answer to the question, why do people lie?, really doesn't matter. The question we all need to ask is, when did we stop respecting ourselves enough to insist on the truth?

Follow Lisa Longo on Twitter: www.twitter.com/lisa_longo

Fredrick Toben
If you look at the various contradictory Holocaust narratives you will see countless examples of individuals lying for whatever reason. Such lying is rampant within academia, the teaching profession, in medicine, et al.

You focus on the current US political scene and allude to what you so nicely formulate as “Trumplestiltskin”, and I would add Prestitutes-Pinocchioism to which we could further add those individuals who have fully embraced Political Correctness and who now demand total censorship of unorthodox ideas to be expressed-published on Facebook, YouTube, et al. The legal fraternity often claims that there is no such thing a truth and all is but OPINION! Yet, tell an “untruth"- a lie in court and you suddenly are confronted with legal sanctions.

The legal fraternity often claims that there is no such thing a truth and all is but OPINION! Yet, tell an "untruth"- a lie in court and you suddenly are confronted with legal sanctions. You focus on the current US political scene and allude to what you so nicely formulate as “Trumplestiltskin”, and I would add Prestitutes-Pinocchioism to which we could further add those individuals who have fully embraced Political Correctness and who now demand total censorship of unorthodox ideas to be expressed-published on Facebook, YouTube, et al. The legal fraternity often claims that there is no such thing a truth and all is but OPINION! Yet, tell an “untruth”- a lie in court and you suddenly are confronted with legal sanctions.

We live in interesting times - and for me Donald Trump is a man who has matured and now has his self-reflective intelligence guide him by confessing his past sins, and is now highlighting them in those who still are dabbling in lying - for whatever reason.

Like · Reply · 10 mins
Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, Microsoft back EU hate speech rules

BY JULIA FIORETTI AND FOO YUN CHEE

BRUSSELS - Facebook (FB.O), Twitter (TWTR.N), Google's (GOOGL.O) YouTube and Microsoft (MSFT.O) on Tuesday agreed to an EU code of conduct to tackle online hate speech within 24 hours in Europe.

EU governments have been trying in recent months to get social platforms to crack down on rising online racism following the refugee crisis and terror attacks, with some even threatening action against the companies.

As part of the pledge agreed with the European Commission, the web giants will review the majority of valid requests for removal of illegal hate speech in less than 24 hours and remove or disable access to the content if necessary. They will also strengthen their cooperation with civil society organizations who help flag hateful content when it goes online and promote "counter-narratives" to hate speech.

"The recent terror attacks have reminded us of the urgent need to address illegal online hate speech. Social media is unfortunately one of the tools that terrorist groups use to radicalize young people," EU Justice Commissioner Vera Jourova said.
Germany got Google, Facebook and Twitter to agree to delete hate speech from their websites within 24 hours last year and even launched an investigation into the European head of Facebook over its alleged failure to remove racist hate speech.

"There’s no place for hate speech on Facebook," said Monika Bickert, Head of Global Policy Management at Facebook.

"With a global community of 1.6 billion people we work hard to balance giving people the power to express themselves whilst ensuring we provide a respectful environment."

The code of conduct is largely a continuation of efforts that the companies already take to counter hate speech on their websites, such as developing tools for people to report hateful content and training staff to handle such requests.

Twitter has suspended over 125,000 accounts since the middle of 2015 for threatening or promoting terror acts, primarily related to Islamic State.

The United States has undertaken similar efforts to entice the cooperation of tech companies in combating online radicalization, focusing on promoting “counter-narratives” to extremist content.

EU ministers had called for cooperation with tech companies to be stepped up after the Brussels attacks in March.

Jewish lobbyists, frequently the target of hate speech, welcomed the code of conduct.

"This a historic agreement that could not arrive at a better time," said Dr. Moshe Kantor, President of the European Jewish Congress.

http://uk.reuters.com/article/us-eu-facebook-twitter-hatecrime-idUKKCN0YM0VJ
Jeff Kennett: Safe Schools funding lost if Roz Ward stays

GREG BROWN, Journalist, Melbourne, THE AUSTRALIAN, 12:00AM MAY 31, 2016

Safe Schools activist Roz Ward. Picture: David Geraghty

One of the most influential backers of the Safe Schools program has threatened to withdraw future financial support, unless the founder of the program, hard-line Marxist Roz Ward, steps down over her comments denigrating the Australian flag.

Beyondblue chairman Jeff Kennett yesterday called for Ms Ward to resign from the role, saying her "extreme political views" rendered her "ineligible to be involved in any program .... in schools". Mr Kennett, whose charity has provided almost $600,000 over the past two years to La Trobe University’s Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health and Society, which administers the Safe Schools program in Victoria, added: "You don’t want extremists in there. Her behaviour and her statement clearly indicate a belief which goes well beyond the conditions of which I am prepared to have this subject taught in schools."

While Ms Ward’s Marxist leanings have been a matter of public record for some time, last week’s post to Facebook, in which she called for the “racist Australian flag” to be replaced with the red ensign favoured by socialists, appears to have been a step too far for her supporters within Victoria’s Labor government.

Ms Ward was forced to resign from a state government education advisory role as a result, while La Trobe University announced an investigation into her role as manager of Safe Schools Coalition Victoria, which is funded by the state government.

Federal Education Minister Simon Birmingham yesterday called for Ms Ward to step down from the national steering committee for Safe Schools Coalition Australia, which has recently severed ties with the Victorian branch.

“Given Ms Ward saw it fit to resign from her appointment with the Victorian government, I would expect that she do likewise for any remaining role associated with the National Safe Schools program,” Senator Birmingham said.

“Her extreme views have done a grave disservice to this program and are anathema to the vast majority of Australians.”

The Australian understands that Ms Ward’s role on the steering committee has come under scrutiny in recent months, with several members approaching chairman Anne Mitchell to discuss her “ongoing involvement in the program.”

The approaches stemmed from “concerns that Ms Ward’s activities had unnecessarily politicised the program” and reports about her, sources said.

The British-born academic has become the face of Safe Schools, an anti-bullying program geared at gay and transgender youth, which has been criticised for promoting the idea that gender and sexuality is a "social construct" rather than biological.

Ms Ward, who did not respond to requests to comment yesterday, has previously admitted that the program was about gender and sexual diversity, rather than preventing bullying, and has spoken at public events about Safe Schools being part of a strategy to change society.

Mr Kennett said that while he could not speak for his entire board, he could not personally support funding further research conducted by the university if Ms Ward continued to be involved.

“As chairman of the board I would oppose and argue against funding any research of which she was a contributing researcher,” Mr Kennett said. “She has done so much damage to the cause it’s difficult to accept.”

According to ARCSHS’s latest financial report, Beyondblue contributed $166,000 last year and $413,000 the year before, largely to fund research into mental health and the LGBTI community.
The curse of knowing

We lose those we love when they fail to let go of the lies they believe

By John Kaminski

When you tell the truth, you risk losing everything you have, including those you love. This is the curse of recognizing truth, and acting on it. Blowing the whistle jeopardizes everything you have accumulated in this life, your relationships, your possessions, your reputation. It can get worse if you offend the wrong people. As it has for so many, it can cost you your life.

We live in a world constructed on a giant pile of lies. America is the land of the free and the home of the brave. If you just say the right words you will live forever. Good always wins out in the end. None of these things are true, yet we believe them, and ostracize those iconoclasts who deny them.

Lately I’m seeing families torn apart by the recognition that 9/11 was a lie, that Hitler was the good guy, and that Jews control the world.

Couples who have been married a long time break apart because partners who maintain their belief in the lies of mainstream history can’t deal with the shocking pronouncements of spouses who have suddenly discovered that Adolf Hitler continually sought peace and that Franklin Roosevelt, acting on behalf of the world’s most powerful Jewish puppetmasters, eagerly sought World War II that killed 60 million people.

Children who are propagandized in school that homosexuals and so-called transgender personalities are merely normal variations of human behavior are brought into radical confrontation with their parents who realize that demonic forces out to destroy the country are encouraging these pathological distinctions to obliterate families and necessitate the fragmented personalities who remain to be forced to seek support from Big Brother.

Perhaps this is what is really meant by the Biblical enjoiner to abandon your families and follow the Lord, who has now morphed into the toxic beast of centralized government.

Perhaps most puzzling and tragic of all are the “idealists” of the Left who preach justice for all and compassion for the world’s poor and then savagely deny the right to speak at all for those level-headed people who point out that unrestrained immigration will sink the ship that is keeping us all afloat. This fascism of the proletariat ironically features Mexican flags being waved on American soil.

And like the implication of “black lives matter” means that white lives don’t matter at all, the stage is set for a replay of the French Revolution, in which brother will kill brother until the puppetmasters decide the population has been sufficiently reduced.

But worst of all is what we lose by telling the truth, when the children and spouses, who only wish to believe in the system they have been taught, attempt to convince us of the wisdom of believing in the lies for the simple purpose of keeping the peace.

Considering the way the American army has plundered the world at the behest of the Jewish bankers who control the world, it gives renewed meaning to the words of the Roman historian Tacitus.

To ravage, to slaughter, to usurp under false titles, they called empire.

And where they make a desert, they call it peace.

Average braindead Americans are willing to live with this reality, believing that they’ve given up a lot of their freedoms, but still have plenty left. They are mistaken. Things never stay the same. Patterns that have been established continue to evolve. Every day they take another bite. Each day you possess less. As the pattern continues, soon you will have nothing, not even your life. It’s like inflation of the soul. Soon enough what you thought you had will be worthless. And when you have nothing, it will be too late to cry out and plead that you didn’t know.

The truth tellers know this, but their words fall on deaf ears. As long as the story hasn’t been heard on television, the vast majority thinks it must not be believed.

Some stories will never be heard on television. And this is the accurate history of America. You have never been told the truth about how this country has been governed, and you’re not hearing it now.

Your husbands and sons and fathers who have tried to tell you about the lies that are ruining your lives have risked everything, and for this significant effort their loved ones have abandoned them, all for that siren song they hear on TV, or from the plastic platitudes they hear from the foul mouths of politicians, movie stars, demonic doctors or jaded teachers, who all say, “Stick with the plan; the government knows what’s best for you.” Or, “that pill won’t hurt you,” when it surely will.

And while the people who really needed your love and support are left wanting and abandoned for their courage in telling the truth, you feel smugly justified in trusting your president as you watch a strange man dressed as a woman follow your daughter into a public restroom to write the future history of your once beautiful country.

See also:

How the French were lobotomized
Stop sneering, it’s high time to take Trump seriously

Janet Albrechtsen, Columnist, Sydney,
12:00AM JUNE 1, 2016, THE AUSTRALIAN

Donald Trump’s next book should be called The Art of Under-Estimation. Ever since the businessman announced his candidacy as Republican nominee for the US presidency on June 16 last year, political and media elites treated him, with few exceptions, as a joke.

That same day, Democratic National Committee press secretary Holly Shulman released this statement: “Donald Trump ... adds some much-needed seriousness that has previously been lacking from the GOP field, and we look forward to hearing more about his ideas for the nation.” Vanity Fair enjoyed the mockery, applauding Shulman: “You shot, and you scored.”

The punchline came last week when Trump clinched 1239 delegates to secure the Republican nomination. The dimwitted reaction from America’s so-called best and brightest in the media and politics has barely shifted. It’s just become more manic and more doggedly ill-informed.

Trump has gone from strength to strength, ripping up the political rule book, except the rule that winning is a numbers game, picking off primaries in the south, in the northeast, in the mid-west, right across the nation, slaying a field of Republican presidential contenders, some impressive, some not, drawing even with Democrat doyenne Hillary Clinton in the polls. With pants-on-fire Clinton in trouble for lying about her use of a personal email server, Trump is riding high.

Yet, from Capitol Hill to Hollywood to hallowed conservative newspaper columns, from Bill Shorten to Kim Beazley and our own ABC, it’s been stock-standard outrage at the prospect of Trump as president. And stock-standard ignorance about the only outrage that matters: the anger of millions of Americans left behind by the US economy and that country’s political establishment.

It’s fine for George Clooney to muster his red-carpet acumen to denounce Trump as “ugly”. The real ugliness resides in America’s economic stagnation. It’s all well and good for conservative commentators such as George Will to punch out earnest columns calling for a “time for prudence”, demanding Republicans purge Trump to “preserve the identity of their 162-year-old party”. To Trump supporters, prudence means status quo Washington politics. And what precisely is the identity of the 162-year-old GOP in 2016?

It’s easy politics for Shorten to take a potshot at Trump by calling him “barking mad”. It plays well to Labor’s perpetually outraged green-left flank but it reveals the alternative prime minister’s refusal to acknowledge the reasons for Trump’s success. And by claiming that mainstream Australians wouldn’t agree with Trump’s views, Shorten also refuses to understand many among Labor’s traditional but dwindling working class base.

Our taxpayer-funded ABC streams the same dogged head-in-the-sand ignorance. On Radio National, PM recently homed in on Trump as a ratings boon for television networks, the appeal of Trump’s braggadocio, Trump’s $2 billion in free airtime, Trump’s celebrity factor, Trump as the lead player in political reality TV and so on. With an annual budget of more than $1bn, is this really the best analysis the ABC can offer Australians?

Rather than depicting Trump supporters as angry channel surfing reality TV deadbeats, what about explaining to Australians the genuine concerns of millions of American voters? And when will the regular Sunday morning snickering about Trump on Insiders give way to thoughtful analysis?

When Trump announced his candidacy, he said the American dream was dead. He promised to “make America great again ... the old-fashioned way”. Any vox pop of Trump supporters echoes these eight words: make America great again, the old fashioned way. The beauty of Trump’s early refrain — and his campaign — is that it has come to mean different things to different people and it harks back to decades ago when the concerns of working-class Americans were taken seriously.

While some regard Trump as the bastard child of the Tea Party movement, his supporters have little in common with Tea Party backers, save for the common desire to raise the middle finger to the political establishment.

Ted Cruz’s small government, lower taxes, less spending ideology didn’t create an opening for Trump. Instead, Cruz’s pioneering savage antics lay the foundation for Trump’s crash or crash-through campaign. The Texas Republican torched the Senate, calling Republican leader Mitch McConnell a liar and pushing House conservatives into shutting down the government in 2013 despite objections from GOP leaders in the House and the Senate. Trump has closed the deal because he’s far more manic and more doggedly ill-informed.

It pays to remember that America’s economic stagnation under Jimmy Carter. These so-called Reagan Democrats stuck with the Republican president in 1984 when Reagan promised “morning again in America”. Speaking directly to the concerns of these working-class voters, Reagan asked them why they would risk returning to the moribund policies of his opponent, Walter Mondale who served as Carter’s vice-president.

Reagan Democrats deserted the Democratic Party because it stopped being the natural home of working-class Americans, instead earning a reputation as the party of welfare recipients, feminists, African-Americans, Latinos, and other minority groups.

Hardworking blue-collar workers asked themselves: who’s fighting for us? Twenty years later, Reagan Democrats can’t find a home in the Republican Party.
either. As Eugene Robinson at The Washington Post identified last month, while leading Republicans slog it out among themselves (remember John Boehner calling Ted Cruz “Lucifer in the flesh”) the GOP has ignored the concerns of millions of these working-class voters who turned away from the Democratic Party. They are not small government or free-trade or fiscally conservative. Enduring stagnant wages, jobs moving overseas, growing job insecurity, falling house prices and steep university fees for their children, these “orphaned voters” have thrown their lot in with Trump and his promise to make America great again.

It helps too that Trump doesn’t give a toss about political correctness. When Trump calls Democrat senator Elizabeth Warren “Pocahontas”, alluding to her unverified claim to Native-American heritage, Trump supporters chuckle while the Left confects outrage about “casual racism.”

To focus only on Trump’s ugly side, and there is plenty there, is to miss the real point of his triumph last week. Trump may be the backlash presidential candidate and his supporters may be angry, but they also feel entirely abandoned by both political parties. As we comfort ourselves that Australian politics could surely never produce a Trump, the double dissolution election on July 2 may well produce a small army of backlash senators dropped into Canberra by voters who feel equally neglected by the Coalition and Labor. Sadly, if that happens, there will be more, not less, political paralysis and that will fuel greater disenchantment among voters. So it pays not to get too cocky because who knows where that will end.

Comments:

Fredrick - 38 MINUTES AGO
One of the deep battles faced by the USA-Western "free and democratic world" is between NATIONALISM versus INTERNATIONALISM. Our current left-right divide is a ruse that hides this fundamental and vital lineline to prosperity. Remember, the abject poverty faced by over 40 million Americans is sheeted home to their own incompetence and character flaws, which is glossed over by the smoke and mirrors of bread and circus that has become the staple diet of especially teachers and journalists. Trump has managed to rip off the politically correct shroud draped over our global village and has thereby released an energizing force so feared by those who have been swanning along as politicians in the belief that they are giving the people what they want! Edit (in 5 minutes)

http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/columnists/ja net-albrechtsen/stop-sneering-its-high-time-to-take-trumpseriously/newsstory/024115d3474c2b74ef22d94f3 2acf30a

Ask yourself:

WHY after 10 years of having written a book called, The Victories of Revisionism, are French prosecutors now pursuing Robert Faurisson?

On 21 June 2016, 13:30 hours, the persecution of 87-year-old Robert Faurisson continues in the land of LIBERTY - FRATERNITY - EQUALITY!

Der Verteidiger von Prof. Robert Faurisson (87), der Anwalt Damien Viguier, kündigt in diesem kurzen Video - auf Französisch – an: http://www.egalitezereconciliation.fr/Maitre-Damien Viguier-annonce-la-date-de-la-prochaine-convocation-judiciaire-de-Robert-Faurisson-39654.html


Im Nachgang verfaßte Prof. Robert Faurisson noch eine zweite Broschüre, "Die Siege des Revisionismus (Fortsetzung)"; http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.de/2011/09/die-siege-des-revisionismus-fortsetzung.html

Auszüge seiner Ansprache in Teheran wie auch andere Vorträge dort sind hier zu sehen: http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL785A476837033F20

EQUALITY is an abstract concept that rejects the biological imperative, and should be replaced with the concept JUSTICE!

"SORTIN' OUT THE CULLS"
If you’re not a genuine bonafide female when you enter this room, you will be when you leave!
Unkommentierte "Mein Kampf"-Neuausgabe: Ein Schelm, wer Böses denkt

Von Isabel Metzger, Dienstag, 31.05.2016 16:26 Uhr

Originalausgaben von "Mein Kampf", Ausstellungsstücke vom Institut für Zeitgeschichte (IfZ) München. DPA

Der rechte Schelm-Verlag will Adolf Hitlers "Mein Kampf" unkommentiert veröffentlichen. Das Argument: "staatsbürgerliche Aufklärung". Die Justiz ermittelt.

Wo hört Wissenschaft auf, und wo fängt Volksverhetzung an? Diese Frage beschäftigt derzeit die Staatsanwaltschaft. Der als rechtsextrem geltende Leipziger Verlag Dr. Schelm plant für den Sommer eine unkommentierte Neuausgabe von Hitlers "Mein Kampf".

Begründung: Der Absatz diene der "staatsbürgerlichen Aufklärung" und der "Dokumentation im Rahmen der Forschung". Seitdem laufen die Ermittlungen.


Auch eine Buchhändlerin im oberfränkischen Forchheim geriet ins Visier der Justiz: Auf der Webseite hatte sie die Frau die geplante Originalausgabe beworben. Bei einer Hausdurchsuchung stellten die Ermittler Bestelllisten und Hardware sicher.

Für die zuständige Staatsanwaltschaft ist der Fall klar: Das Buch "Mein Kampf" habe "volksverhetzenden Inhalt". Deshalb sei der "unveränderte Nachdruck zum Zwecke der Verbreitung wie auch die Verbreitung aus Sicht der Staatsanwaltschaft Bamberg grundsätzlich strafbar".


"Nach § 86 StGB sind bestimmte Fälle vom Verbot rechtswidriger Propagandamittel ausgenommen", sagt Christian Bickenbach, Juraprofessor an der Universität, siehe auch die Verbreitung des Nationalsozialismus. Martin Heger, Jurapfessor an der Berliner Humboldt-Universität, sieht allerdings einen großen Unterschied zum aktuellen Fall: "Das Buch diene der "staatsbürgerlichen Aufklärung", der "Dokumentation im Rahmen der Forschung". Seitdem laufen die Ermittlungen.


Zwei Seiten Vorwort

Für den Schelm-Verlag dürfte es schwierig werden, einen wissenschaftlichen Zweck der Veröffentlichung glaubhaft zu vermitteln. Laut Verlagsseite handelt es sich um einen unveränderten Nachdruck der Auflage aus dem Jahr 1943. Der Verlag bezeichnet die Ausgabe als "wissenschaftlichen Quellentext".

"Holocaust-Revisionismus"

Verleger Preißinger hält die juristischen Ermittlungen für übertrieben. "Für mich ist das Zensur, wenn die Staatsanwaltschaft einschreitet bei Veröffentlichung eines Textes, der vor unserer heutigen Verfassung entstand", sagt er. Volksverhetzung sei für ihn eine "Interpretationsfrage". Der Text spreche für sich. "Warum braucht man hier noch eine Erklärungshilfe?"
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Zwei Seiten Vorwort

Für den Schelm-Verlag dürfte es schwierig werden, einen wissenschaftlichen Zweck der Veröffentlichung glaubhaft zu vermitteln. Laut Verlagsseite handelt es sich um einen unveränderten Nachdruck der Auflage aus dem Jahr 1943. Der Verlag bezeichnet die Ausgabe als "wissenschaftlichen Quellentext".

"Holocaust-Revisionismus"
Holocaust-Leugner-Konferenz: Braune Reisegruppe in Teheran


Montag, 11.12.2006 – 18:01 Uhr


Außenminister Manutschehr Mottaki hatte die Konferenz am Morgen in der iranischen Hauptstadt Teheran eröffnet. An der Konferenz nehmen nach iranischen Angaben mehr als 60 ausländische Gäste aus 30 Ländern teil. Hierbei handele es sich nur um Wissenschaftler und Meinungsforscher, nicht jedoch um Neo-Nazis, betonen die Veranstalter.

Rechtsextreme Promis an Einreise gehindert


Der deutsche Bundestagspräsident Norbert Lammert (CDU) verurteilte in einem Brief an Irans Präsident Mahmoud Ahmadinedschad die Konferenz, deren Ziel es sei, "antijüdische Ressentiments zu verstärken und einer dezidiert israelfeindlichen Politik eine pseudo-wissenschaftliche Rechtfertigung zu verleihen". Die historischen Fakten über den Holocaust seien vielfach wissenschaftlich bestätigt.

Diplomatische Proteste


Präsident Mahmud Ahmadinedschad nahm an der Eröffnungszeremonie nicht teil, will aber entweder heute Abend oder morgen die Teilnehmer persönlich empfangen. Er hatte in der Vergangenheit mit Äußerungen, der Holocaust sei "einen Märchen", und der Forderung, Israel nach Europa zu verlegen, weltweit für Empörung gesorgt.

http://www.spiegel.de/politik/ausland/holocaust-leugner-konferenz-braune-reisegruppe-in-teheran-a-453842.html
Holocaust denier at centre of British legal row is jailed for three months in Australia

By RICHARD SHEARS FOR MAILONLINE
UPDATED: 01:28 EST, 14 August 2009

A man who denies the Holocaust occurred and who was at the centre of a legal row in Britain last year over his claims has been sent to prison for three months in Australia.

Frederick Toben, 64, had avoided being extradited from Britain to Germany for publishing alleged anti-Semitic material on his website in 2008.

But the law was not so favourable to him in his home city of Adelaide today.

Toben, who had already been imprisoned for nine months in Mannheim Prison in 1998 for breaching Germany's Holocaust Law prohibiting anyone from defaming the dead, appeared before Appeal Court judges in Adelaide to fight a conviction of contempt of court.

It was impossible, he said, for large numbers of Jews to have been murdered there in the four years of World War II.

As for the six million Jews killed during the war, he said this was a 'mythical number' - and anyone who dared to question this and other high numbers faced imprisonment.

In an interview with an Iranian TV network four years ago, Toben indicated that it was his belief that 'Israel is founded on the Holocaust lie'. That lie, he has continued to maintain, is perpetuated by 'Holocaust racketeers and corpse peddlers.'
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In an interview with an Iranian TV network four years ago, Toben indicated that it was his belief that 'Israel is founded on the Holocaust lie'. That lie, he has continued to maintain, is perpetuated by 'Holocaust racketeers and corpse peddlers.'
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Lawyers for German-born Toben, appearing under his full name Gerald Fredrick Toben, questioned the validity of the warrant.

But District Judge Daphne Wickham said that today's hearing was to deal with a bail application only. Ben Watson, representing Toben, said that no application for bail would be made today, postponing the issue until further legal argument has taken place next Friday.

As he left the dock, Toben smiled at a small group of supporters in the public gallery, one of whom raised his arm in a Nazi gesture.

He was remanded in British custody for at least another week following the hearing.

It emerged today that three backers have stepped forward to provide Toben with £10,000 bail and a home to stay at.

Toben's solicitor Kevin Lowry-Mullins, said: 'The issue is this; should someone who has committed an offence in a foreign country, but doesn't commit an offence in the UK, be extradited to that country?

'This is not about Holocaust denial. It is about the process of extradition law in this country.'

Toben will return to City of Westminster Magistrates' Court on October 17 for his next hearing. If he is found guilty in Germany he could face up to five years in prison.

It emerged today that three mystery backers have stepped forward to provide Toben with £10,000 bail and a home to stay at.


Holocaust denier free after Berlin abandons efforts to extradite him from UK

By ALLAN HALL FOR MAILONLINE

UPDATED: 08:08 EST, 21 November 2008

Dr Frederick Toben convinced British authorities that they had no right to send him to Germany

A rabid Holocaust denier who was arrested in the UK on a warrant issued by Germany is to walk free after Berlin abandoned its efforts to extradite him.

Germany wanted Dr Frederick Toben to stand trial over his repeated claims that the murder of six million Jews in the Nazi Holocaust was a lie.

Such statements are forbidden under the German constitution and punishable with long jail terms.

But Toben - arrested at Heathrow Airport last month - convinced British authorities they had no right to send him on to Germany if he had committed no offence under British law.

Germany launched an action at the High Court in London but it was revealed on Thursday that it has now been withdrawn.

Toben's solicitor, Kevin Lowry-Mullins, said he has also signed a consent order with the German Government to end the case.

Lawyers acting for the German Government had argued that Toben, 64, the founder and director of the revisionist Adelaide Institute, should be extradited to face trial for posting claims on its website that there was no mass murder of Jews by the Nazis.

Daphne Wickham, a judge at Westminster Magistrates Court, ruled that the warrant used to arrest the Australian as he travelled from America to Dubai was 'vague and imprecise'.

Toben was unable to raise the imposed £100,000 bail, and remained in custody awaiting the German appeal.

Mr Lowry-Mullins said: 'The offence is not made out in the UK. If Dr Toben had been extradited back to Germany for Holocaust denial, which does not exist as an offence in this country, then we would have found ourselves in a situation where hypothetically, the Iranian Government could have asked for all the gay Iranian asylum-seekers to be extradited back to Iran.'

Mr Lowry-Mullins confirmed that Dr Toben was still in the UK waiting for the return of his passport. A spokesman for the Justice Ministry in Berlin confirmed the appeal was at an end but gave no further details.

German-born Frederick Toben emigrated to Australia in 1954 with his family when he was just 10. But he took with him the seed-germ of hatred for the Jews as practised by the Nazis he admired.

Toben came from the Wesermarsch area of peat bogs in northern Germany where his family were farmers.

In Australia he never forgot the 'old country' and grew up with a vicious hatred of Jews that he disguised as an academic interest in 'debunking the Holocaust myth'.

He completed a doctor of philosophy at the University of Stuttgart in 1977 and subsequently taught at various secondary schools and colleges in New Zealand, Germany, Rhodesia and Nigeria.

In 1994, he established the privately-run Adelaide Institute which teamed up with other arch-Holocaust deniers throughout the world such as Ernst Zundel of Germany and David Irving in the UK.

Toben called the Holocaust 'a lie perpetuated by the Holocaust Racketeers, the corpse peddlers and the Shoah Business Merchants'.

He further asserts that 'the current US government is influenced by world Zionist considerations to retain the survival of the European colonial, apartheid, Zionist, racist entity of Israel'.

While he similarly denies being an anti-Semite or a white supremacist, he is a favourite among white supremacist organizations such as Storm Front.

In 1999 he was imprisoned for nine months at Mannheim Prison for breaching Germany's Holocaust Law, Section 130, that prohibits anyone from 'defaming the dead'.

Toben still refuses to concede that the Holocaust as asserted by mainstream historians ever occurred, rather asserting that mass killings took place on a much smaller scale. 'The state of Israel is founded on the Holocaust lie,' he also said.

One of his institute's biggest publicity campaigns was conducted on the eve of the Australian premiere of the movie Schindler's List.

In April 1999, Toben was arrested in Germany and sentenced to ten months' imprisonment for denial of the Holocaust. He only served seven months, and was then released upon paying a $5,000 bond.

Maître Damien Viguier annonce la prochaine convocation judiciaire de Robert Faurisson
"Le point à trancher: révisionnisme ou négationnisme?"

Nouvelle conférence de Robert Faurisson (Damien Viguier)
Published on May 30, 2016
Dans la chronique qu’il anime régulièrement pour Médias-Presse-Info, Maître Damien Viguier annonce la date de la convocation de Robert Faurisson devant la XVIIème chambre du TGI de Paris pour une conférence donnée en Iran en 2006.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0iLG4s_stzo
Publié le : lundi 30 mai
Mots-clés : France; Histoire; Iran; Justice & Droit; Robert Faurisson; Seconde Guerre mondiale
Commentaires : 11
Nombre de vues : 11 437
Dans le cadre de la chronique qu’il anime régulièrement pour Médias-Presse-Info, Maître Damien Viguier annonce la date et l’objet de la convocation de Robert Faurisson devant la XVIIème chambre du TGI de Paris.
En lien, sur E&R:
E&R | 19 mai | 20 Histoire Justice & Droit
http://www.egaliteetreconciliation.fr/+--justice+-html
Affaire Un Homme : le professeur Faurisson relaxé en appel
Mais les persécutions continuent
Dans l’affaire des propos tenus par Robert Faurisson dans le film Un Homme, où le professeur s’entretenait avec Paul-Éric Blanrue, la cour d’appel de Paris, dans un arrêt du 19 mai 2016, a confirmé le (...)  
ERFM | 21 mars | 52

L’Heure la plus sombre du 21 mars 2016 – Invité : le professeur Robert Faurisson
Pour ce 29ème numéro de L’Heure la plus sombre, nos journalistes Vincent et Xavier recevaient le professeur Robert Faurisson.
Procès en appel du Professeur Faurisson : les images d’ERTV
ERTV | 21 mars | 68 Histoire Justice & Droit
17 mars 2016
Cinq mois de prison avec sursis et 10 000 euros d’amende ont été requis en appel contre l’historien Robert Faurisson pour des propos tenus dans le documentaire Un (...)
http://www.egaliteetreconciliation.fr/Maitre-Damien-Viguier-annonce-la-date-de-la-prochaine-convocation-judiciaire-de-Robert-Faurisson-39654.html
***
Conférence de Robert Faurisson aux Amis de RIVAROL (version intégrale)
Published on Apr 19, 2016
Conférence du samedi 9 avril 2016 du Professeur Robert FAURISSON à la journée de RIVAROL.
Justice & droit
http://robertfaurisson.blogspot.fr/20...
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3qhCikPK_g

Holocaust 101 with Jim Rizoli # 5 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GUdSJAkz1vA
Dipl.-Ing. Wolfgang Fröhlich
Mittlerweile mehr als 10 Jahre menschenrechtswidrig in Haft!
Unter Bruch des von Österreich ratifizierten CCPR und der EMRK!
JA-Stein, H.Nr. 46484, Steiner Landstrasse 4
A-3504 Krems/Stein

An das Präsidium des
EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
Council of Europe
67075 Strasbourg Cedex
Frankreich

JA-Stein, 18. Mai 2016

DRITTE URGENZ MEINER MENSCHENRECHT BESCHWERDE
GEGEN DIE REPUBLIK ÖSTERREICH


Sehr geehrtes Präsidium!

Wie bereits dargelegt, halten es Kammer der gegenwärtigen politischen Verhältnisse in Österreich für möglich, dass Richter, die von der Republik Österreich an den ECHR entsandt worden sind, meine Eingaben blockieren! Oder sie werden sogar schon in Österreich abgefangen und gar nicht an den ECHR weitergeleitet!

Der Grund meiner Intervention ist, wie gesagt, der Bruch der Menschenrechte durch die Republik Österreich und jahrzehntelanger politischer Terror gegen meine Familie und mich.

Ergänzende Anmerkungen zu meiner Causa

Von Menschenrechtsexperten wurde mir geraten, dem ECHR ein Zusammenfassung des politischen Terrors gegen meine Familie und mich zu übermitteln, der mittlerweile 1/4 Jahrhundert andauert, seit der Veröffentlichung meines unwiderlegten technisch-wissenschaftlichen Gutachtens über Zyklon-B-Menschenvermischungs-Gaskammern zu Beginn der 90er-Jahre des vergangenen Jahrhunderts. Politischer Terror, wie er sicher in keinem anderen westlichen Land möglich wäre!

Dabei meine ich nicht nur die fünf gegen mich gerichteten rechtss- und menschenrechtswidrigen Gerichtsurteile – die von prominenten Juristen als „groteske Gerichtsurteile“ und als „größter Justizskandal der Zweiten Republik“ bezeichnet werden – und meine mittlerweile insgesamt mehr als 11 Jahre andauernde politische Gefangenschaft, sondern auch die unzähligen weiteren politischen Repressalien, die da sind:

• Gewalttatsche Verhinderung eines von mir eingeleiteten Volksbegehrens, unter Missbrauch der österreichischen Polizei, die von einem Richter angewiesen worden war, die Unterzeitenlisten meines Volksbegehrens zu konfiszeren! Ein klarer Fall von Missbrauch der Amtsgewalt, §302 StGB, und ein Verbrechen nach §267 StGB, Verhindern einer Wahl oder Volksabstimmung. Meine diesbezüglichen Strafanzeigen wurden von der österreichischen Justiz verworfen!


• Unzählige gesetzwidrige Hausdurchsuchungen und Beschlagnahme von Schriftstücken und legal in meinem Besitz befindlichen Jagdwaffen!

• Einschüchterungen von Firmen, die mich eingestellt hatten oder bei denen ich mich beworben hatte - ja sogar offene Drohungen gegen sie!

• Aufkündigung meines Girokontos durch die Raiffeisenbank, ohne sachlichen Grund!
• Politischer Druck auf das Österreichische Bundesheer, meine langjährige Mitgliedschaft beim Österreichischen Heeresportverein zu beenden.

• Mein Sohn wurde in einem öffentlichen Wiener Gymnasium von der verbotenen Lehrerschaft wie ein Aussätziger behandelt und schikaniert, so dass meine Gattin und ich ihn aus dieser Schule nehmen und in eine christliche Privatschule schicken musste, wo er gerecht behandelt wurde.


• All dies war gespickt mit jahrzehntelanger, methodischer Verleumdung meiner Person durch gewisse Richter 5), Staatsanwälte und einschlägige Presseorgane. So bezeichnete mich der staatliche ORF in einem TV-Beitrag als „Pseudogutachter“. Meine daraufhin eingebrachten Anzeigen nach dem Mediengesetz bzw. wegen §111 StGB, Üble Nachrede, wurden von der Justiz jahrelang verschleppt und dann sang und klanglos eingestellt! Gewisse Journalisten betrachteten dies offenbar als Freibrief für immer weitere mediale Verunglimpfungen meiner Person. Und nicht selten wurde mir der „wohlmeinende Rat“ erteilt: „Sie können nur noch auswandern!“

Mit dem Ausdruck der Wertschätzung

[DJ Wolfgang Fröhlich]

Beilagen:
3. Mein Antrag an den ECHR vom 25.11.2015
5. Mein Schreiben an den Ministerrat der Republik Österreich, vom 2.11.2015
8. Mein Einspruch und Anträge an das LG Krems vom 13.3.2013
i) Der bisherige Tiefpunkt der Rechtsstaatlichkeit in Österreich war zweifellos der politische Schauprozess gegen meine Person am Landesgericht Krems am 9. Juli 2015 unter Richter Dr. Gerhard Wittmann und Staatsanwältin Mag. Elisabeth Sebek. (Siehe Beilage Nr. 6, mein Brief an den österreichischen Justizminister Herrn Dr. Wolfgang Brandstetter vom 13.7.2015).


Wer sich in Österreich im Einklang mit seinem akademischen Eid der wissenschaftlichen Wahrheit und dem Sittengesetz verpflichtet fühlt und deshalb die plumpen, Antisemitismus und Völkerverhältnisse schüttelnden Geschichtsschleier, die tagtäglich vor allem aus den Funkmedien auf das gängige Volk niederprasseln (denkende Menschen sprechen schon vom „Hitler-Fernsehen“; eine Frau schrieb mir, „Julius Streicher und Josef Göbbels sind wiedererstanden, aber sie haben die Seiten gewechselt“) kritisch hinterfragt, der wird kriminalisiert und von der herrschenden Kamarilla traditionell als Rechtsradikaler, Nazi oder Holocaustleugner verleumdet. Wer nicht trägt, der leugnet also! Ein „Logik“, die kein geistig gesunder Mensch nachvollziehen kann. Dafür ist diese politische Intrige höchst profitabel!

Profitabel vor allem für die Apparatsschilke der faktorexistenten Gedenk Industrie, die daraus ihre Existenzberechtigung ableitet und nebenbei auch noch schamlos in den Steuergeldern greift! Um ihre Kassen zu füllen schrecken sie selbst vor der Fälschung von Dokumenten nicht zurück! Da es – nach Friedrich Schiller – der Fluch der bösen Tat ist, fortwährend Böses gebären zu müssen, sahen die Volksvertreter, die bereits gut ein dreiviertel Jahrhundert die Menschen in Österreich schamlos belügen und betrogen, wohl keinen anderen Ausweg aus dem selbstverschuldeten Dilemma. Die Alternative wäre, sich fortan der Wahrheit zu bekennen, was ihnen aber nicht in den Sinn kommen kann, denn dazu bräuchte es Sitten und Anstand. Wie sagte schon der bekannte österreichische Publizist mit jüdischen Wurzeln Hans Weigel so treffend: „Es ist nicht alles Gold, was im Dritten Reich nicht gegließen hat!“


In dem Zusammenhang ist die Rechtstage völlig klar. Wer sich durch das Fälschen von Dokumenten und Vorspiegelung falscher Tatsachen Vorteile verschafft – z.B. das Lukrieren von Steuergeldern –, der behaftet das Verbrechen des Schweren, gewerbsmäßigen Betrogens nach §148 StGB! Strafverfahren bis zu 10 Jahren Gefängnis!


Die verwerfliche Strategie Andersdenkende unter Bezug auf verworrene religiöse oder politische Dogmen zu kriminalisieren, auszugrenzen und zu entmissen wird seit je her das gesellschaftspolitische Kredo von Oligarchen und Scheindemokratie! Erspart man sich doch damit die Auseinandersetzung mit deren Argumenten. Die Verhaltensforschung nennt dies „Pseudospezifizierung“ oder „Scheinartenbildung“ und Goethe lässt in Faust Mephisto sagen: „Von allen Teufelsfesten wirkt der Parteinass doch am besten!“